

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)  
**Subject:** Deadline 11 Submission  
**Date:** 06 June 2021 20:01:05

---

Dear Examining Authority

I write in response to Issue Specific Hearing 16 on flood risk. In particular, SPR's water engineering expert's assertion that Friston is not liable to flooding.

As a resident of Friston, I take exception to this statement. In October 2019 flood water was half way up our driveway (which is not downward sloping) and made the road impassable.

There are fields and roads in Friston, as residents are only too aware, that flood with every heavy rainfall. Climate change will only make this situation worse.

The last thing that Friston needs is more hard-standing.

The site of Friston for these substations was chosen on a flawed RAG assessment which did not give due investigation and understanding to our local flooding issues. It should never have been chosen and other brownfield sites should still be looked at.

I would endorse everything that has been said by SASES, SEAS, SOS, Aldeburgh Town Council and all other participants. Their hard work, dedication, time, effort and expense shows the depth of feeling in this part of the Suffolk coast.

I would urge the Inspectorate to recommend to the Secretary of State a split decision in that:

1. The offshore turbines are recommended for consent to ensure no time is wasted in respect of construction of the turbines.
2. The onshore infrastructure is rejected in favour of full consideration of better locations where the adverse impacts are minimised at a brownfield or industrialised site.

Kind regards.

Carol Bizzell