

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [East Anglia Two](#); [East Anglia ONE North](#)  
**Subject:** SPR - EA1N and EA2 - Deadline 9 submission  
**Date:** 14 April 2021 18:10:17

---

Our ref: 2000023185 and 200023184

Deadline 9 Submission, 14th April 2021

We would like to record our concern, upset and objection to the three month extension of the DCO hearings in relation to EA1N and EA2.

This sorry business has consumed our energy and resources for three years. SPR's plans to destroy the land between Thorpeness and Friston have caused considerable anxiety in our household and has affected our mental health.

We engaged fully in the DCO hearings, carving out time from our holidays on more than one occasion. At all times it was made clear to us that the strict 6 month timetable had to be adhered to. We went to a lot of trouble to submit evidence in good time.

Before the hearings started in October 2020 we expressed concern that members of the local community might be disenfranchised by an online hearing. We were told that the hearings must go ahead and that a strict timetable would be enforced, we accepted this and co-operated. We believed that the considerable difficulties in running a hearing like this during a global pandemic had largely been overcome. At the final oral hearing there was much congratulation and thanks recorded and the absolute impression was given that the hearings would come to a close as scheduled on the 6<sup>th</sup> April.

We were therefore dismayed to be informed that the hearings will continue for another three months. Another three months of distress, another three months when we have to try to muster the energy to deal with late submissions by SPR. Three months in which information which should have been supplied by SPR months ago can be slipped in at the last minute. This cannot be right. SPR have the support of teams of engineers, lawyers and other professionals. We do not have such luxury. If the planning inspectors are under strain or need more time to examine the evidence we could understand it but we cannot understand why SPR should be granted more time to submit more evidence. They have known about these projects long before they informed residents. We feel it is unfair and distorts the examination. We cannot understand why it has been allowed to happen.

Anthony & Louise Fincham

[REDACTED]