

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: EA1N & EA2 on shore development
Date: 25 March 2021 12:16:32

Dear Planning Inspectorate,

EA1N & EA2

I have been following the opening hearings on line and I am grateful that this service has been available.

My concerns for the on shore proposals have only been intensified by what I have heard. Thankfully the local community through SEAS, SASES and the Aldeburgh Town Council have had the wherewithal and ability to scrutinise SPR's proposals. It is not clear to me if they had not how this procedure would have been able to adequately do so.

-Site selection: after all this time it is still unclear how this site was chosen and why it is the right location for a development of this scale.

-Land fall site: the geographical difficulties and lack of proper investigation

-Cable corridor: how wide is it & what is it allowing for is still not clear

-Traffic & transport: the road network simply can not accommodate what will be required for the construction

-Noise Pollution: will ruin the peace and tranquillity of the area forever

-Flooding: more extensive than the proposal can accommodate

-Mitigation: too close to the village for any meaningful mitigation

-Economic Harm to the existing community: Tourism will be severely damaged by the disruption caused during the extensive construction period

-Vagueness as to what the development entails: how tall how big what is it, still a mystery

-Cumulative Impact: Other projects that will be drawn to use the area in the near future; still not clear if this will be a magnet for other projects and what will the cumulative impact of all these projects be on the area.

The hearings have clearly demonstrated that the detrimental impact of the onshore proposals outweigh any benefit and that the chosen site is not suitable for the kind of development proposed.

During the period of the hearings many changes in both government policy and judicial findings have occurred: emerging policy has now acknowledged that coastal communities should not be harmed by the onshore developments required for Off Shore Wind Power generation; that the DCO process can allow for split decisions to be made.

I am asking you to recommend to the Secretary of State a split decision so that:

- The offshore turbines are recommended for consent

· The onshore infrastructure is rejected in favour of full consideration of better locations for this infrastructure where the adverse impacts are minimised at a brownfield or pre-industrialised site.

Yours sincerely,

Mya Manakides

My Ref ID No 20023260 and 20023261