

From: [REDACTED]
To: East Anglia ONE North; [REDACTED] East Anglia Two;
[REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: SPR & NATIONAL GRID IMPACT TO ALDEBURGH & SURROUNDING AREAS.
Date: 24 February 2021 11:22:52

Dear Sirs/Madam

Reference Numbers 20024331 and 20024332

Further to my email of 16th Feb '21, I am writing once again to object to this application.

Firstly, my wife and I are not against the production of offshore wind energy at all - indeed we're sure it will make a valuable contribution to the ranges of energy sources for our future. Our objections relate to the onshore infrastructure which is planned. We are firmly of the opinion that Scottish Power Renewable's Wind farm Applications should urgently reconsider exactly where they bring the energy onshore because the proposed onshore substations and cable corridors will cause permanent and indefensible damage to an environmentally sensitive area including an AONB, the destruction of mature woodland and swathes of Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land, and the very fragile and rapidly eroding littoral areas. The scale of the cumulative impact on a small medieval village (Friston) in the midst of unspoilt countryside and the surrounding area from Aldeburgh and Thorpeness to Snape would also be devastating. It is simply too destructive to our environment and coastal communities.

Should the current plans be permitted, the substation at Friston would doubtless become the focus for multiple future planned projects in the area (including Nautilus, Eurolink, Galloper and Greater Gabbard Extensions, SDC1 and SDC2), and would eventually become the largest industrialised complex of they kind in Europe. This must not be permitted. There's a lot of talk about the necessity for a "fully integrated" and "more strategic" approach to offshore energy production generally in this country, and we urge you to reject the application as planned and support the split decision in which the onshore infrastructure plans were rejected in favour of full consideration of better locations, especially Brownfield sites, for this infrastructure where the adverse impacts are minimised.

Yours faithfully,

Simon Seymour-Taylor

[REDACTED]