

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: Re East Anglia One North and East Anglia Two Windfarms DCO.
Date: 24 February 2021 10:33:15

Re East Anglia One North and East Anglia Two Windfarms DCO.
REF 200223461 And REF 200223462.

Dear Mr Smith.

Well here we are, and possibly, like lock down, coming to the end of the hearings with regards SPR's application for the East Anglia One North and East Anglia Two Windfarms DCO. What an interesting two years it has been and where do we stand, and what conclusions can be made.

The first that can be made is just how unsuitable Scottish Power are to be allowed to be involved in such a project. From the beginning they have shown themselves to be untrustworthy, manipulative, ill informed, which is all the more shocking considering that they have been involved in offshore wind farms for some time. Or maybe they were just arrogant and lazy, thinking that they could just use their wealth and influence to steam roller all before them. This was strikingly highlighted last week [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

For what point. To unnecessarily build on and industrialise a large area of unspoilt rural Suffolk, to threaten our fragile coastline, to severely damage the rural economy , to blight thousands of peoples lives with heavy construction on unsuitable roads over many years. To disrupt, threaten and destroy wildlife and the local ecology. The list goes on and on. For what purpose. To distribute electricity.??? All the parties know that this can be done either offshore or on a brown field sight. The recent judgment over the Norfolk Vanguard wind farm shows that enough is enough and that the offshore power industries must be more progressive in their thinking and less destructive in their application.

With regards the virtual hearings, at the start I was very against the idea as I thought that it would disenfranchise many people. (I still think that it has disenfranchised some.) However over the past few months I now think that the virtual hearings have in fact allowed the lay person to be more involved in the whole process and for their voice to be more clearly heard, and what a voice it is. Thank you and your team for the way in which the whole hearings have been conducted. As examiners you have now made many site visits to this area and have a better understanding of why those that live and work in this part of England feel so strongly about this application and perhaps in the future should any of you and your families find themselves in this area again you will still find it beautiful, peaceful and tranquil and be able to hear the birdsong. With best regards.

David Steen.