



SCOTTISHPOWER  
RENEWABLES

# East Anglia ONE North and East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarms

## Applicants' Comments on Historic England's (Onshore) Deadline 5 Submission

Applicant: East Anglia TWO and East Anglia ONE North Limited  
Document Reference: ExA.AS-17.D6.V1  
SPR Reference: EA1N\_EA2-DWF-ENV-REP-IBR-001245

Date: 24<sup>th</sup> February 2021  
Revision: Version 01  
Author: Royal HaskoningDHV

Applicable to **East Anglia ONE North** and **East Anglia TWO**



#### Revision Summary

Rev	Date	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
001	24/02/2021	Paolo Pizzolla	Ian MacKay/ Lesley Jamieson	Rich Morris

#### Description of Revisions

Rev	Page	Section	Description
001	n/a	n/a	Final for submission at Deadline 6



---

# Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Comments on HE's Deadline 5 Submission</b>	<b>2</b>



## Glossary of Acronyms

EA1N	East Anglia ONE North
EA2	East Anglia TWO
ExA	Examining Authority
HE	Historic England
SoCG	Statement of Common Ground
SPR	ScottishPower Renewables
WSI	Written Scheme of Investigation



## Glossary of Terminology

Applicants	East Anglia TWO Limited / East Anglia ONE North Limited
East Anglia ONE North project	The proposed project consisting of up to 67 wind turbines, up to four offshore electrical platforms, up to one construction, operation and maintenance platform, inter-array cables, platform link cables, up to one operational meteorological mast, up to two offshore export cables, fibre optic cables, landfall infrastructure, onshore cables and ducts, onshore substation, and National Grid infrastructure.
East Anglia TWO project	The proposed project consisting of up to 75 wind turbines, up to four offshore electrical platforms, up to one construction, operation and maintenance platform, inter-array cables, platform link cables, up to one operational meteorological mast, up to two offshore export cables, fibre optic cables, landfall infrastructure, onshore cables and ducts, onshore substation, and National Grid infrastructure.
National Grid substation	The substation (including all of the electrical equipment within it) necessary to connect the electricity generated by the proposed East Anglia TWO / East Anglia ONE North project to the national electricity grid which will be owned by National Grid but is being consented as part of the proposed East Anglia TWO / East Anglia ONE North project Development Consent Order.
National Grid substation location	The proposed location of the National Grid substation.
Onshore substation	The East Anglia TWO / East Anglia ONE North substation and all of the electrical equipment within the onshore substation and connecting to the National Grid infrastructure.
Onshore substation location	The proposed location of the onshore substation for the proposed East Anglia TWO / East Anglia ONE North project.



# 1 Introduction

1. The responses of East Anglia TWO Limited and East Anglia ONE North Limited (the Applicants) to comments received from Historic England (HE) for the East Anglia ONE North project and the East Anglia TWO project (the Projects) are provided in **Section 2**.
2. This document is applicable to both the East Anglia ONE North and East Anglia TWO applications, and therefore is endorsed with the yellow and blue icon used to identify materially identical documentation in accordance with the Examining Authority's (ExA) procedural decisions on document management of 23<sup>rd</sup> December 2019. Whilst for completeness of the record this document has been submitted to both Examinations, if it is read for one project submission there is no need to read it again for the other project.



## 2 Comments on Historic England's Deadline 5 Submission

ID	Written Representation	Applicants' Comments
1	<p>1) Proposed Changes to the Order Limits and Additional Land required (EA1N and 2)</p> <p>The WSI produced (Headland Archaeology) and submitted with the ES has not to the best of our knowledge been changed at all, and the comment we made in our Written Representation (see section 2.8 :pp14) have yet to be taken on board. We recommend revisions are made and a revised version is submitted by the applicant at Deadline 6</p>	<p>The Applicants have reviewed Historic England's Written Representation (REP1-143) and although there is no section 2.8, note the comments made on page 14. Within section 2.6 on page 14, there is a suggestion made to include a reference; this reference is included within the <b>Outline Written Scheme of Investigation Archaeology and Cultural Heritage (Onshore)</b> (REP3-026). Within section 2.7 on page 14, there are three additions requested to Appendix 3 of REP3-026. Although the Applicants note these comments, trial trenching began in August 2019, and completed in December 2019. The Applicants deem any updates to Appendix 3 at this point redundant as they would have to be made retrospectively and would have no material influence on the conclusions already drawn.</p>
2	<p>2) Heritage Assessment Addendum (Document Reference: ExA.AS-11.D4.V1)</p> <p>We can confirm we have considered the amendments in relation to the historic environment and do not wish to provide further comment at this stage.</p>	<p>Noted. The Applicants welcome this position.</p>
3	<p>3) Applicants' Comments on Historic England's Deadline 3 Submission (Document Reference: ExA.AS-19.D4.V1)</p> <p>We note the applicants have provided comments in relation to our Deadline 4 submission and do not wish to provide further comment at this stage. Our view remains fundamentally the same.</p>	<p>Noted.</p>



ID	Written Representation	Applicants' Comments
4	<p>4) Revised SoCG</p> <p>Following a meeting with the applicant in January further progress has been made on the SoCG and a number of items are still under review.</p>	<p>Noted. The Applicants will continue to liaise with HE through the Statement of Comment Ground Process (SoCG) process.</p>
5	<p>5) Deadline 4 Project Update Note (Document Reference: ExA.AS-2.D4.V1)</p> <p>We note the applicants have a new project update, we do not wish to provide further comment at this stage, we welcome the additional proposed planting with regards to the NGET station to screen from the north (see section 1.4 Additional Planting at National Grid Substation) which is also illustrated with an updated and revised photomontage and viewpoint (CHVP4). Whilst we welcome the screen planting, our view remains fundamentally the same. This will reduce the effects but not change the fundamental harm with regards to the views of the church from the north, which will remain cut off as illustrated, or from the loss of the historic northern route way.</p> <p>At our last meeting with the applicant the impact of the sealing end compounds was also discussed. This is still an area of concern for Historic England in relation to their location and the impact on historic field boundaries.</p>	<p>Noted. The Applicants will continue to liaise with HE through the SoCG process.</p>
6	<p>Historic England conclusion and Position</p> <p>As set out in our previous letter we conclude that the development of the sub-stations both individually and in conjunction with each other and with the NGET sub-station would result in harm to the historic environment. This is harm to the significance of a number of designated heritage assets from development within their setting. Our primary concern is still the grade II* listed church of St Mary's, Friston, and because of the important relationship of the development area to the church and the erosion of its</p>	<p>The Applicants note HE's position. As presented in the <b>Heritage Assessment Addendum</b> (REP4-006), the Applicants recognise that the Church of St Mary's, Friston is of high heritage importance, however remain of the position that the magnitude of impact would be <b>low adverse</b> and the significance of effect <b>moderate</b>.</p>





ID	Written Representation	Applicants' Comments
	<p>rural setting. We have concluded and continue to be of the view that this would be a high degree of less than substantial harm.</p> <p>Although we welcome the changes Historic England do not consider this is sufficient to alter our overall position and we maintain our in principle objection to the sub-station elements of both developments and the NGET infrastructure. This would be a high degree of less than substantial harm.</p> <p>If there are any further material changes to the proposals, or you would like further clarification in relation to our advice, please contact us.</p>	