



Issue Specific Hearings 7 (ISHs7): Hearings Action Points

- Application by East Anglia ONE North Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the East Anglia ONE North Offshore Wind Farm.
- Application by East Anglia TWO Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the East Anglia TWO Offshore Wind Farm.

Actions arising from the **Issue Specific Hearings 7 (ISHs7)** held virtually on **Wednesday 17 February 2021**.

Action	Party	Deadline
1. Hundred Wood Applicants to submit updated habitat surveys of the woodland to the west of the Hundred River and the adjacent meadow, with relevant accompanying explanatory text, including an assessment of the potential for micro-siting to avoid features of importance.	Applicants	D6 ¹
2. Watercourse crossing method statement Updated watercourse crossing method statement to be submitted by Applicants, to including a more detailed justification for the trenching methodology proposed.	Applicants	D6
3. Bats Applicants to respond to concerns raised by ESC with regards to bats and noise impacts, including reference to modelling used by other NSIPs in the area.	Applicants	D6
4. Badgers Applicants to respond to submissions that have been made in respect of badgers.	Applicants	D6
5. OLEMS Applicants to submit updated OLEMS.	Applicants	D6
6. Harbour porpoise of the Southern North Sea SAC Submission of wording for DML Condition(s) to secure the project commitments to mitigation for project alone effects (e.g. in relation to restrictions on concurrent piling	Applicants	D7

¹ All references to 'D' refer to the relevant timetable Deadline – eg D6 is Deadline 6.



	Action	Party	Deadline
	and limits on piling / UXO events in a given 24-hour period). Also, submission of updated In Principle Site Integrity Plan for the mitigation of in-combination effects and updated draft MMMPs to reflect this and other updates since Deadline 3.		
7.	<p>Harbour porpoise of the Southern North Sea SAC</p> <p>In light of the Applicants' agreement to return the scope of the SIP to the mitigation of in-combination effects only, NE to provide an update on its position in terms of the comment on the project alone effects on the SNS SAC.</p>	NE	D7
8.	<p>SNS SAC Regulator's Group</p> <p>Provide update on any relevant matters arising from meeting that is due to be held on 18 Feb or any other comfort that can be given about the certainty of a mechanism to manage multiple SIPs.</p>	MMO	D6
9.	<p>In-Principle Site Integrity Plan (IP SIP)</p> <p>Please respond to the following questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do you agree that the IP SIP provides an appropriate framework to agree mitigation measures and that the scope of the measures within the IP SIP are appropriate? • Are you satisfied that through the IP SIP, the Applicant will use the most appropriate measures for the Project based on best knowledge, evidence and proven available technology at the time of construction? • Do you have confidence that the mitigation measures contained in the IP SIP are deliverable? 	NE and MMO	D6
10.	<p>SIP DML condition wording</p> <p>Applicants to implement the MMO's revised DML condition wording that secures the SIP in light of Section 9.10 of the MMO's [REP5-075].</p>	Applicants	D6



Action	Party	Deadline
<p>11.</p>	<p>DML Co-operation Conditions Applicants and MMO to revisit the wording of the 'co-operation conditions' that are set out in Condition 25 of Schedule 13 and Condition 21 of Schedule 14 of the dDMLs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The 'co-operation conditions' require co-operation on the MMMP and SIP for UXO clearance but MMMP and not the SIP for piling (condition 17(2) (Sch 13) and 13(2) (Sch 14)) – what are the reasons for this difference in approach? • What is the intended effect of the co-operation conditions? • Does current drafting achieve that effect? • Do the conditions require an implementation clause, to specify for example what the MMO must do with any comments received under sub-section (1)? 	<p>Applicants and MMO</p> <p>D6</p>
<p>12.</p>	<p>Timescales for discharge of plans NE to provide comments on the timescales proposed for the discharge of plans and documents relating to UXO clearance activities under revised condition 16(3) of the generating assets DMLs and condition 12(3) of the transmission assets DMLs [REP5-004].</p>	<p>NE</p> <p>D6</p>
<p>13.</p>	<p>Construction monitoring – cessation of piling NE to confirm whether it is content with the wording of Condition 21(3) of the generation assets DMLs and Condition 17(3) of the transmission assets in relation to the cessation of piling.</p>	<p>NE</p> <p>D6</p>
<p>14.</p>	<p>Offshore In-Principle Monitoring Plan Applicants to submit updated Offshore In-Principle Monitoring Plan to take account of NE [REP5-086] where possible.</p>	<p>Applicants</p> <p>D6</p>
<p>15.</p>	<p>Underwater noise assessment: Section 7.5 of [REP5-075] MMO to clarify whether the points raised under section 7.5 of [REP5-075] remain an area of outstanding disagreement, and if so,</p>	<p>MMO</p> <p>D6</p>



	Action	Party	Deadline
	to confirm what further action it is seeking from the Applicants.		
16.	<p>Herring MMO to submit the example from the Rampion DCO regarding a seasonal restriction condition for herring.</p>	MMO	D6
17.	<p>Fish and Shellfish: Outstanding NE concerns Applicants and NE to review the outstanding ‘amber’ matters that have been raised by NE in its Deadline 5 Risk and Issues Log [REP5-088]. These are (from page 49):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Matter 33: fish spawning and larval abundances – specifically Herring. Impacts on prey availability for interest features of MPAs. • Matter 34: tolerance to elevated suspended sediment concentrations during construction • Matter 35: effects on sand eel • Matter 36: cable burial to a minimum depth of 1.5m <p>Please confirm whether these (as the Deadline 1 draft Statement of Common Ground between you suggests) are matters that are largely settled, or whether the amber status in the Risk and Issue Log indicates significant outstanding issues. If these issues are still outstanding, please record whether they are anticipated to be resolved by Deadline 8 or are matters that need to be decided by the ExAs.</p>	Applicants and NE	D6



ISHs7, 17 February 2021
Hearing Recording: Agenda Timings²

Session 1 10:00am

Item 1 Welcome and introductions	00:00 ³ – 00:24
Item 2 Effects on terrestrial ecology	00.24 – end of session

Session 2 12:10pm

Item 2 Effects on terrestrial ecology (continued)	00:00 – 00:35
Item 3 Effects on marine mammals (including HRA considerations)	00:00 – end of session

Session 3 2:00pm

Item 3 Effects on marine mammals (continued)	00:00 – 00:26
Item 4 Effects fish and shellfish ecology	00:26 – 00:55
Item 5 AoB	00:55 – 01:10
Item 6 Procedural decisions, review of actions and next steps	01:10 – 01:12
Item 7 Closure	01:12 – 01:13

² [Agenda for ISHs7](#) (from EA1N website) (the EA2 Agenda is identical).

³ Livestream times in hours and minutes from the session commencement. Recording times may vary slightly.