

ENO 10077 + ENO 10078

03/02/2021

I am writing in follow up to site inspection ASI1 at Friston House on the 26/01/2021.

I attach with this submission requested photographic illustration of Friston House and it's relationship with the site.

A photographic illustration of growth rates for a managed and irrigated mixed hedgerow in the environment of this application.

Friston House is a Grade 11 listed building with a curtilage of 21 acres of gardens, park land and woodland.

There is a further 16 acres of agricultural land that lies NE of the house which borders the applicants site to the West.

The impact of this application on Friston House cannot be underestimated. It will be severe both in terms of the construction period 5/7 yrs and thereafter in the operational lifetime of the site.

At present it is barely recognised by the applicant. Indeed, the applicant is wholly unaware of Friston House since prior to site selection no attempt was made by the applicant to understand or evaluate the consequences this application will have on this listed building.

Although the applicants representative objected to the term "Friston Hum" in an open hearing we are subjected to the term "EA Hub" frequently. In the same open hearing sessions regarding noise we heard the same representative detail 31 (34) db, a desk top prediction, at the nearest receptors. Friston House is one of the closest receptors. If you include our actual property which we must surely be allowed to enjoy we are the nearest receptor.

As detailed in a previous representation, an environmental consultant on behalf of the applicant advised that we would need double glazing at Friston House. Grade 11 listing prevents the owner of Friston House using double glazing even if it were possible to do so. It is patently obvious to anyone that visits Friston House that double glazing would be extraordinarily difficult to fit even if it were permissible. None of the other Grade 11 houses will be permitted to fit double glazing either.

Regarding the actual impact of Grade 11 listing on the owner or custodian.

In law it is the responsibility of the owner to maintain and sustain the property.

In the event that Friston House, and other listed houses around the site, cannot be sold due to the actual blight suffered by this and future industrialisation of Friston it becomes an unbearable burden not only on the occupant but possibly on the next generation of the owner.

In the event of unavoidable transfer of ownership (Death) the burden of upkeep and liability is simply unacceptable and must be recognised as an impact of this application.

In the event of this application being successful I suggest that the impact on all of the Grade 11 listed houses around this site will be extraordinary and significant. Friston House in particular will have no viable future as it is now.

The significance of the site visit on 26/01/2021 was to inspect the relationship between the site and Friston House. The site occupies most of the valley to the East and North east of Friston House. At present there are no plans to even attempt to mitigate the impact of this vast site on Friston House either from a visual or noise point of view.

It should, therefore, be assumed that the 10 acres of arable farm land that at present separates Friston House from the site will be withdrawn and employed to attempt to improve the lot of Friston House by the owner. This process has already begun at great expense as witnessed on 26/01/2021.











