

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North; East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: Friston EN010077 and N0200078
Date: 02 November 2020 20:13:26

Dear Sirs,

I write to object strongly to the proposed sub stations near Friston .

Suffolk is a very important contributor to self sufficiency in agriculture.Replacement of farm land with sub stations when there are plenty of brown sites is uneconomic.

Suffolk is also an exceptional destination for tourism.Its unspoilt countryside,wild and bird life,performing and visual arts,estuaries for sailing and beautiful walks should not be desecrated.The loss of revenue from tourism will be difficult for the region to bear.

Agriculture and tourism are very significant employers in an area where it is difficult to retain young people and maintain a balanced demography.

A site likes Bradwell needs regeneration and it makes economic sense to locate on shore sub stations there.

A substantial sub station at Friston may cause leukaemia in children and should not be located near a village.

I am very much involved in tourism and land in East Suffolk .I cannot see an economic case for sub stations in an area of outstanding natural beauty which is vitally important for agriculture and tourism.

I urge you to reject the proposals for location in Friston and insist we use already derelict places which will not harm a local economy and its children's health.

Yours faithfully,

Guy Heald
Sent from my iPad