

From: [East Anglia ONE North](#)
To: [East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: FW: written representation
Date: 02 November 2020 10:10:19

From: Theresa Tollemache [REDACTED]
Sent: 31 October 2020 12:57
To: East Anglia ONE North <EastAngliaOneNorth@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>
Subject: written representation

EAST ANGLIA ONE NORTH (EAIN) Pin ref. ENO1 0077
EAST ANGLIA TWO (EA2) Pin ref. NO 200078

My ref. 20023066

I am in favour of Wind Energy but oppose the location, at Friston, for the Grid Connection, on shore Sub Station and Cable Corridors. Why can we not follow the good example of Germany and Denmark and make sure that the energy is brought, from the Wind Turbines, to a single hub which is a Brown field site? Bradwell?

By vandalising agricultural land, ancient forest, and bringing cable over AONB land, wetlands, marshes and the habitat of rare species of birds and reptiles, surely this goes totally against the green credentials of Wind Power. For many years the excavations and construction plans will bring chaos and devastation to a unique rural coastline which will never recover as more and more sub stations are added to the landscape. Competing energy companies will continue to wreck the land with a cable trench dug into fragile cliffs, disrupting bird sanctuaries and excavating valuable farm land, and continuing to build more sub stations over green field sites. This will bring heavy HGV lorries, light and noise pollution to an area that is not capable of sustaining such an invasion.

There will be an unrecoverable impact on tourism which will result in an estimated loss of £24 million revenue per annum for at least 9-12 years while construction is taking place. Also no new jobs will be brought to the area once the construction is completed as there will be an incoming temporary workforce for the duration of the construction requiring temporary housing on a large scale.

The Plans cannot be looked at in isolation as they do not take into account the cumulative upheaval and industrialisation impact of the following energy projects- Nautilus, Eurolink, Greater Gabbard, Wind farm Extension, Galloper Windfarm, Extension SCD1 and SCD2 and the relocation of Sizewell B.

This is judged to be an ill conceived plan where the process of choosing the site for a

mega infrastructure hub is shown to be flawed. There are a number of better Brown Field sites for this vast complex. This will cause devastating harm to one of the most fragile eco systems in the UK and it must be saved at all costs for future generations.

Theresa Tollemache

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Please note that the contents of this email and any attachments are privileged and/or confidential and intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them, nor must you copy or show them to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error and then delete this email from your system.

Recipients should note that e-mail traffic on Planning Inspectorate systems is subject to monitoring, recording and auditing to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. The Planning Inspectorate has taken steps to keep this e-mail and any attachments free from viruses. It accepts no liability for any loss or damage caused as a result of any virus being passed on. It is the responsibility of the recipient to perform all necessary checks.

The statements expressed in this e-mail are personal and do not necessarily reflect the opinions or policies of the Inspectorate.

DPC:76616c646f72

[REDACTED]