

# OFH 1 SESSION 2 Planning Inspectorate - East Anglia

Wed, 10/7 1:26PM • 39:33

00:03

Good evening and welcome everybody to today's open floor hearing one for East Anglia, one North and East Anglia to offshore wind farms, session two, before we start to hear or oral submissions in session two, I will deal with just a few preliminary matters. Can I check with a case team that you can hear me and the recordings and the live streams have started again?

00:24

That's great, John. So I've started recording in the live stream is going on.

00:30

Excellent. Thank you, Mr. Williams. I will be leading this session. But if I could ask my fellow panel members to briefly introduce themselves, starting with the panel lead, Mr. Smith.

00:40

Thank you very much for stopping Rynd Smith speaking panel lead.

00:47

Good evening, everyone. I'm Caroline Jones, a member of these panels.

00:53

Good evening, everybody. My name is Jessica Powis. And I'm also a member of these panels.

00:58

Good evening, everybody. My name is Guy Rigby and the fifth member of the panels for these applications. Thank you.

01:05

Thank you very much, Mr. Rigby. We advised you in the agenda, we are being live streamed and recorded, the recordings that we make are retained and published. Therefore they form a public record that can contain your personal information, and to which the general data protection regulation applies. Does anyone have any questions about the terms on which our digital recordings are made? Okay, I'm not seeing any raised hands or hearing anybody. So we'll move forward on the basis that this is all understood. Mr. Ennis is representing the applicant here this evening. And I wanted to just remind you again, Mr. Is that the main purpose of this evening is to hear from interested parties, and you're here to listen in a main. But before I close the session, I will give you a brief opportunity to make responding remarks on matters that you consider must be drawn to our attention, please can find these to five minutes. Detailed responses can be provided in writing at deadline one. open floor hearings are an opportunity for individuals and community groups to speak directly to the examining authority, and not

about a particular location or topic. To reiterate, we as the examining authority are very conscious, and not everyone who is requested to be heard, has been able to speak today, tomorrow or Friday. To assist in this situation. We confirm yesterday at the preliminary meeting, that we will hold further meetings at the beginning of November. And that there will also be hearings held in January, where anyone who hasn't been heard in our initial series of hearings, even the ones being held this week, or next month will be heard. And so to this evening's meetings, you know, the order that I intend to speak, it takes fingers in and I've seen the speaking time guidance in the agenda. So you know, when you are likely roughly to speak, one slight change to that is that, at present, we only have three of you who have attended so far, who would you to speak. So at the moment, the new the new order, if you like will be Mr. bagnell, followed by Mr. Bell trendy, followed by Mr. Brown. If other event attendees are invited to turn up, then I will invite them to speak if they if they turn up in time. Please don't leave until you've had your turn if you do. We are fully booked in here in this week and will not be able to include you in a later session. Once you have spoken, you can leave if you wish, but you're welcome to remain until the end of the session to hear any response for the applicant. And I should also say that I will need to be quite strict in terms of timings this evening, due to the number of speakers that we have so that everyone has their opportunity to speak. So please don't be offended if I asked you to stop talking at the end of your allotted time. If there is more than you would like to say that this can be submitted in writing for deadline what I've just done notification that we also have Mr. Chadwick with as well. So Mr. Chad will be speaking as well this evening, thank you. Finally remind you this is opportunity for everyone here to have their say, in fairness, just as you would not wish to be interrupted, please do not interrupt the other speakers. If you agree with or disagree with what they say then you can make that clear to us in your own speaking time. Or if you have already spoken then in writing at deadline one, and we will take your views into account, every speaker should be allowed to floor and their time to speak. If anyone does interrupt you, I will warn them and ask them to allow the hearing to continue. If the same person interrupts again, I will warn them again. And be aware repeated interruptions that lead to disruption can be viewed as unreasonable behavior for which awards of cost can be sought by other interested parties. Finally, interrupts again following two warnings. On the third occasion, I will ask the case manager to exclude them from the hearing. Okay, the introductions are now complete. Before I move on to the main business of session two does I don't have a burning question of an introductory or predatory nature that needs to be resolved. Now I will not arise during the remaining agenda items. Okay, I'm not seeing any hands or any cameras on so we'll move on that basis onto our speaker contributions in the second session. So the first speaker I have now in this session is Mr. Graham bago. Mr. bago you have five minutes In wish to make your point. Mr. Williams will let you know after four minutes that you have a minute left and when you begin your contribution, could you also just introduce yourself please, for our recordings? Mr. Wagner, we are ready whenever you are.

05:14

Thank you and good evening. Good evening.

05:18

I am a resident of obrah in Suffolk and I am an independent voice. I would like to say initially are disappointed and concerned I am that the discussion of this issue and such long standing, far reaching consequences is taking part as a virtual meeting. Such a format format fails to convey the level of depth of objection raised by local residents in my view. I understand Snape malting , a local venue was

available as both a safe, convenient and appropriate venue to have conducted this hearing. The failure to even consider this venue illustrates both concerning and I feel illustrative of the approach to residents feelings on this subject. As to the proposal itself, I believe the East Anglia North one proposal to be flawed in many ways. The use of both technology with all the disorder and damage it brings with it the models of cabling, the building of the substations in villages, etc, has been already stated by previous speakers in a very profound and good way. I see that we are faced with the start of the rape of Suffolk dramatic, yes, it is a dramatic statement. But that's how I feel about it. I believe we need a government led approach, one that puts the concerns of those who will have to live with the visual consequences every day of this scheme at its heart, as opposed to the capitalist approach that is under consideration, which has the concerns of its shareholders at its heart. Scottish power is owned by Iberdrola, a company based in Bilbao in Spain. It is a global distributor of electricity and is motivated by profit for its own growth and its shareholders profit, nothing else. scottishpower is owned by Iberdrola the city to have Iberdrola will have no empathy for victims who are displaced from their homes, their land, their families, the destruction of Friston village, the breaking up communities, and the sacking of a rural area in the pursuit of profit. Scottish power is the tool of Iberdrola for our power women needs. It will not take any responsibility for the break up of our fragile economy. altruism is not on the company agenda. Yes, we have to harness wind power. But the whole ethos of renewable energy is the preservation of our ecology, we must harness it with as little destruction as possible. I fear this scheme does not achieve that at all. There are good ways and there are bad ways. So we must really think and we must get it right. To illustrate the issues that I'm both concerned about and seeking to convey. If you haven't already seen it, and other people have mentioned it is the David Attenborough film about our planet and its demise there will be destruction of rural land in Suffolk that will be lost if this proposal is passed. Once lost, it can never be recovered. So we must proceed with caution. And my personal view and net of many others is that this application must be refused. Short and I thank you for your time.

09:16

Thank you very much, Mr. bagnol. That's very useful. Thank you. We shall now move on to our second speaker of this second session. Who is Luigi Bell trendy Mr. Bell trendy as before you have five minutes into which to make your points and we'll let you know after four minutes that you have a minute left. And again if you could introduce yourself and your comment that would be very useful for recording. Okay, Mr. Bell trendy whenever you're ready.

09:43

Good evening. My name is Luigi Bell trendy. I live in Bristol about 500 meters as a gross fly from the proposed substation development. I'd like to confine my statement to land use and general comments on the location of the impact of substations development. The village of Friston as they also support the views of sources and other local action groups. scrutinizing these application is partly due to the transmission current within the cable corridor connection to Branford having been changed. What was a non material amendment is in fact a material change, at least in part it has necessitated the two applications before us. The site selection process for the substation locations perversely favored the site at Friston for having good screening to the east, and to the north, from ancient ancient woodland, which supposedly will screen distant views from the judicial and Aldenham, ignoring the lack of close up screening to the south and west in views from the village of Bristol. The visual impact assessment questionably describes the impact of the development on views from the village and its surrounding as

moderate insignificant, it rigorously reliant on mitigation by planting at 15 years growth. My first year to two years to see an architect can only persuade his client to grow it over his mistakes. The site is within an ancient landscape. The setting of several listed building of particular importance is the setting of the grapes to start 11th century St. Mary's Church, the views from the church on the surrounding landscape will be compromised. And even more relevant will be the views of the church and belltower from the ancient path, some of which will be obliterated by the development, as these views connect the village and its church into this historic landscape. The work is connected with William Miller and will come so close to St. Mary's Church, suggesting that the location chosen is too small and cramped. For the proposals to be successfully integrated into the surrounding landscape. The village of Friston sits on the edge of an outstanding area of natural beauty, with no discernible difference in the quality of the surrounding landscape to that of areas within doing be immediately to the west of the a 109. For the proposed development of Friston are roughly a third larger than the area of the village and the equivalent size to that size will be more appropriate description of what is proposed. The priston is a huge energy hub the size of will Wembley Stadium with numerous structure as tall as six or seven or six or five storey buildings, which are alien and in Congress in form and scale in this landscape. And this is just the beginning. Given the sensitivity of this site, and its proximity to the village listed structure within the ancient landscape, and physical scale of the proposals, is the use of the Rochdale envelope a suitable method for assessing the impact of the proposed development or should the applicant not have been asked to produce a fully detailed proposed design? What independent scrutiny has been given to the design on technical issues? For example, could parts of the development go underground? Or is the design of the equipment as mall or assignment as can be achieved or designed? noise from the substation what is currently a rural environment is a particular concern, particularly at night. Council matter if offenders pointed out the cumulative impact of the numerous additional projects that are in the pipeline, which is not being properly scrutinized nor planned, and will cause irreversible physical impact on this pressure of landscape. But the disruption will furthermore affect the physical and mental health of the economic well being of the communities. This project alone for this project alone, the applicant has submitted two separate application with the prospect of the two developments be allowed to happen sequentially. Allowing the developers to propose individual wind farm projects within with independent Korean cable corridors in grid connection is not a sustainable method for procuring onshore wind generation. Thank you.

14:02

Thank you very much Mr. Beltrandy. out there it was. It was very useful. Thank you. And we'll move on to our next speaker now, which is in brown. As before, Mr. Brown, you have five minutes in which to make your points and Mr. Williams will let you let you know after four minutes, do you have a minute left? Again, if you could introduce yourself when you commence that'd be very useful for the recording. Thank you, Mr. Brown.

14:27

Good evening. My name is Ian Brown, and I'm concerned all bruh resident and I thank you for the opportunity to speak in this platform this evening. The tranquil beauty of the Suffolk coast is what attracted us to live here in the very first place. A wonderful blend of social and cultural amenities allied with it access to unspoiled coastline and countryside. Was there as a small to us. No unfortunately, like many other residents of Suffolk We're seemingly fighting a rearguard action against this treasured

heritage cost no being re designated as the energy cost. And with that designation, all the problems and the issues that entails one of the most impressive developments of this entire process has been the decisive actions and commitment of the many campaign groups such as the Caesars and C verse and Linksys and others. Also the laudable advocacy of many of the parish and council members who have actively and diligently and what to analyze copious amounts of information and data to help interpret and form and counter the SPR proposals and the community's behalf. Add to this the valid concerns and protestations of many individual respondents condemning not only the proposed siting of construction works, but also the density and peak nature of the DCR process. And you can gauge the depth and feeling and trepidation that's felt by East Coast residents. Much has already been said about the concerns and fears for the OMB and the impact of not only proposed plans for EA one north and D two, but also the cumulative effect of the other six energy projects that may follow. to sanction such industrialization in this rural protected landscape is tantamount to environmental vandalism on a grand scale. And in a region that is so rich in history and so important ecological. And the ramifications of this eco side will not just be taught localized, it will not be limited to thought near soldiering and size. Well interesting. If these proposals are allowed to progress, as described, environment and infrastructure of the entire region will be adversely affected for decades to come. communities will be blighted by intrusive construction, excessive traffic and pollution of established businesses and livelihoods will be lost. Any short term benefit of employment will not offset the long term damage to the communities It is intended to serve. And we all favor alternatives to fossil fuels and to fight climate change and commit to green policies and renewable energy and protect the environment. However, it is paradoxical to obliterate the special coastal environment to do so and what is evidently an ill conceived and hasty rush toward planning approval. It appears that naked commerce dogma is running roughshod over the will and views of the local communities denying common sense and the fragility of the environment that will be most adversely affected by the SPR projects. I'm also astounded this DCR process has not been deferred until we all know the results of the day's review. But that's another story. alternatives to the proposed Kristen substation in the darkness landfall of in highlighted by a number of the local campaign groups and previous respondents this evening, that are clear alternatives to East Coast landfall, which should be obviously directed through brownfield sites. And it appears willful and counterproductive to ignore the prospect of establishing a modular offshore grid that could deliver the electricity required in a more secure and efficient manner. I mean, why have these alternatives been overlooked? Is it purely down to economic and cost factors? Surely there must be due consideration. And why is National Grid ventures not been more active in coordinating the growing multitude of energy projects through this process? Perhaps the announcement of 100 and 60 million to invest in wind farms will allow a portion of that funding to be allocated to those communities affected and enable them to afford the legal expertise to help combat the debt the resource that African companies have so readily to hand.

19:10

Finally, 50 years ago, the great phone saying of Joni Mitchell saying about the rampant commercialization and industrialization of the ecology of the American West Coast. In Big Yellow Taxi, her lyrics are chillingly prophetic and resonate with us today. Don't always seem to go that you don't know what you've got till it's gone to paradise and they put up a parking lot. We can allow that to happen to this cost. Thanks for your indulgence.

19:42

Thank you very much, Mr. Brown. understand my colleague, Mrs. Jones would just like to point something out.

19:48

Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hockley and Mr. Brown. I'm just just wondering if you managed to watch the live stream of the preliminary meeting Part Two yesterday.

19:58

No, unfortunately didn't have time to watch that.

20:02

Okay, well, the recording of that will be going up on our website in the next few days. Some of the points that you've raised this evening were discussed and perhaps answered during that. Watching that and hopefully it might answer some of the points that you've raised tonight.

20:19

Okay, thank you very much.

20:22

Thanks. Thank you. Thank you, Mrs. Jones. Thank you very much, Mr. Brown for your time and your contribution. Okay, so we can move on now to our last public speakers today are Peter and Peter Chadwick. As we explained in yesterday's plenary meeting, in order to be able to hear as many people as possible, we did grouped together households. But we do obviously understand that it's entirely possible for people in the same household to have differing views. And so those of you who are grouped as a household in our agendas will also receive a priority slot for future open floor hearings in January next year. You have seven minutes in which to make your point, and Mr. Williams will let you know when you have one minute left. And as before, if you could introduce yourself when you start your presentation, that would be most useful. Thank you. Hello, Hello, Miss Jamaica. Yes. You hear me? Yes, I can hear you as well. Yes.

21:19

This time I'm speaking in, in my personal capacity, and with my wife, Bridget, and we live back in the house I was born in this was built by my parents, very near the San links eastland have placed in common in the 1930s. Both my parents were pioneers in entreating nature and the landscape as a whole. In the 1950s my father, Paxton Chadwick illustrated some of the king Penguin Books, including British reptiles and amphibians, and British butterflies.

22:15

And he drew from life.

22:19

And many of the specimens

22:23

he depicted were found virtually on our doorstep. My mother, Lee Chadwick went on to write in search of he flattened. This book included a study of the unique sanderlings lowland eve of the Suffolk coastal strip. This area was designated an area of outstanding natural beauty in 1970. Most of the sanderlings had been lost over the previous century, and very much under threat, even without the devastating continuing assault from the proposed project. The sanderlings AONB area around sizeable and fault nets is home to many endangered species nightjars nightingales skylarks, Tawny owls linnets yellow hammers Meadow pipits stone chats, buzzards in areas and rare stone curlews have returned. There are red deer and other deer and butterflies such as silver studded blue butterflies, damsel flies and dragonflies and glow worms. Along with adders, grass snakes, slow worms, lizards, red natterjack toads are all found here as stated on an old British energy info board. This is quote our our rain forest and bird watchers come tuned from minsmere following the sanderlings walks created by the Heritage Lottery Fund to safeguard the sanderlings the signposts on the walks have a nightjars symbol on them. So visitors come here to enjoy the peace and tranquility the large open spaces dark skies of fresh air feeling of escape and being off grid in our jewel of an ao and B. But this is the very area that is now under threat from consecutive cable routes, the width of a motorway and the whole roads with heavy traffic and lorries all around. Also, there will be many associated public right of way closures. allowing this project to go ahead will greatly hasten the dummy's of an already endangered, unique and precious sanderlings Thank you.

25:51

Thank you Miss Chadwick.

25:54

Good evening.

25:59

I'm sure you are must be aware of a recent news headline, a lost decade for nature as the UK fails on 17 of 20 un biodiversity targets. The government itself has said it failed on two thirds of its targets. Its 2015 policy states in England much for biodiversity including many of our birds, butterflies and plants is declining. And insects Of course, our wildlife areas are too disjointed and fragmented, making it harder for wildlife to flourish and respond to climate change and other pressures. So these proposed cable trenches would sever the wildlife corridor, which stretches the long 30 miles of the Suffolk coast and he co NB this project, along with the proposed Nautilus neural link projects, which aim to connect here as well will likely amount to 1215 who knows 20 years of continuous works, resulting in another permanent loss to precious biodiversity. My first point is I'd like to be sure the planning Inspectorate is seriously assessing this biodiversity issue. Next, the 2019 intergovernmental global assessment of biodiversity and ecosystems concluded, we are eroding the very foundations of our economies, livelihoods, food security, health and quality of life. And I believe that SPR are bulldozing their way through with no acknowledgement of these factors. There are no significant local jobs here, but instead will be job losses. So my second point is I'd like to be sure the planning Inspectorate is seriously assessing the impact on our local tourist economy, and on our national health, through loss of immunity and nature for all. Finally, I believe that this SPL project is flying in the face of many policies, targets and reports set up by government. I could give you half a dozen examples here. The 2010 Convention on Biological Diversity showing progresses to slow with protecting and restoring ecosystems a particular challenge.

There are others. But my main point is what the hell is the point of all these committees and conventions? It is obvious there's no joined up thinking between government departments and the objectives of one can just cancel out those of another. Remember, this is our rain forest. How can a so called eco project countenance Greenfield sites instead of brown nor this desecration of rare habitats? Why is National Grid dictating that SPR join site wields power lines instead of installing new ones to existing industrial sites, especially in light of all the future intended projects in East Anglia? And finally, how can we expect foreign owned private companies to take care of our wildlife and biodiversity when our own government abdicates any responsibility for ensuring that their own targets are upheld, with national grid and other private company having carte blanche to dictate where these projects should go? With the bottom line of foreign owned companies being about profit, and with government not doing the job it says needs to be done? what hope is there for our nature and our biodiversity?

29:24

Thank you very much. Thank you, Joe. I just like to reassure you that we will, of course be the topics you mentioned are specifically obviously biodiversity, we will be examining that as part of the examination. In fact, our first issue specific hearing at the start of December is on the topic of biodiversity. And you also mentioned tourism, which, of course is another issue, one of our principal issues that we will be looking at. So thank you very much both for your contributions. And if I could just ask you one quick procedural matter, yourself for Mr. Chadwick. And I mentioned before you spoke that we weren't offer a priority slot in the January sessions for yourselves, because obviously you had to join one in this case, for the purpose of our planning and recognize that you may not be able to answer this question at this moment, which is, of course, fine. Do you know if you'd like to such a slot in January as well at the moment?

30:19

Yes, I think that would be quite likely. Yes, yes.

30:22

Okay, that's fine. Thank you. No problem. That's very useful. Thank you. Okay. Thank you very much to both of you for your contributions.

30:32

Okay, I'm just checking if we have any more

30:36

of the list of speakers and we don't at the moment. So we have now reached the end of the speakers under agenda item for so I now turn to the applicant and Mr. Innes, if there is anything that you you wanted to come back to now.

30:53

Mr. Ennis,

30:55

yes, I'll be brief. colonists on behalf of the applicants. Thank you. I would just like to, on behalf the applicants to thank all those parties who've taken the time to make presentations this evening, whether on behalf of organizations or as individuals, the presentations have been very measured, and very clear. And I've set a very good start to this examination, and recognizes the importance of participation. In terms of the topics that have been raised, they range from the structural to the strategic to the site specific. I certainly couldn't do justice in a response in five minutes, therefore, will come as no surprise that the applicant will respond in writing at the appropriate time, to the submissions that have been made this evening. And I don't really have anything to further add at this stage. Thank you

31:49

very much, Mr. Ennis.

31:52

To to all of our speakers and everybody else watching the livestream. Obviously, if if the applicant has raised anything, specifically, maybe just then or during the examination that you think is wrong or you disagree with then please respond to us in writing by deadline one. Okay, that's your end of agenda item five. I shall now hand over to Mr. Smith.

32:14

Thank you very much, Mr. Hockley. So we are moving on to the agenda item six for those of you who are following our agenda, which is briefly a review of issues and actions arising and because this is the first formal hearing in these examinations, I'll say this is a normal part of almost every hearing that we will hold that, before we close it, we will just check to see if there are any actions that arise that require any of the parties attending to do anything specific, typically by the next deadline. Now, in this particular case, our next deadline is deadline, one, which sets quite allegedly pace, it's nearly a month away. But typically, in hearings that we'll be holding later, we normally have an action deadline for submissions, one week after and the relevant week of hearing blocks. And so quite often, when people subject to actions or being asked to do something, they do have to do things reasonably swiftly. And I just raised that. So as everybody is aware for future rounds of hearings, that these deadlines will be a little tighter than they currently are. In terms of actions for deadline one, there is only one that myself and Mrs. Paris who've been tracking these have on our list, and which is that in relation to SASES, who referred to a downward shift in the transmission infrastructure capacity for the East Anglia one project cable connection, reducing that from an originally planned capacity of 7.2 gigawatts to two gigawatts. That was the suggestion that was made in the SOC submission. I would very much like the applicant by deadline one to please confirm what the final constructed capacity of the East Anglia one transmission alignment was. And now just checking our notes is now there was one other apologies and action but it wasn't to the applicant. And that was in relation to CS. And we would like to ask the CS please set out in its written submissions that deadline one and put an expansion of its broad suggestion that adverse impacts would outweigh the benefits of the project and refer in so doing to the provisions of Section 104 bracket seven of the Planning Act of 2008. So those are the two actions that we had on record. Moving on Then from agenda item six, to agenda item seven, next steps. these hearings for both of the applications, open floor hearings, one, and are essentially now drawing to a close. And I'll refer you to the notice for hearings that identified that we, as we always do, now, with virtual hearings, had a precautionary time reservation for open floor hearings, one a commencing at 10am tomorrow morning,

as an emergency place to which to adjourn any business from here that might have been lost had we had the disfavor of the internet, gods and things have not gone to plan. Now, as it turns out, and this hearing has not been disrupted, we have not had poor reception. As far as we're aware, everything has gone smoothly, everybody who requested to speak has been able to. So on that basis, I need to be clear that open floor hearings, one a reservation that was set out in the draft timetable will not be needed. And so I'm going to announce here that it will be canceled, and we will attempt to get a banner change on to the national infrastructure planning website as shortly as may be. So when everybody wakes up tomorrow's it's clear that that reserved hearing is indeed cancelled. So then I will move forward to remind you that open floor hearings to the main hearing will proceed tomorrow, with arrangements conference at 1:20pm. And the main hearings starting at 2pm. open floor hearings three will proceed on Friday, with an arrangements conference at 9:20am starting at 10am. Now interested parties were due to speak at either of these events can see the agendas on the national infrastructure planning website. And either have already received or for the open floor hearings three on Friday will shortly receive their joining instructions, emails.

37:04

If you are watching today on the live stream, and particularly if you requested to be heard at open floor hearings, one two or three. But we're not on this occasion invited to speak a reminder, if you made that request. And during the pre examination period, you should find that you have been given a speaking slot in the next round of open floor hearings in these examinations. open floor hearing four and five on the fifth and sixth of November that were not shown in the draft timetable had been added to the timetable. As a consequence of a decision made at yesterday's preliminary meetings. And people who did request to be heard at an open floor hearing but didn't get a slot in one, two or three should find they have a space there. And you'll see the details of those including the agendas in our rule eight letters that we will send out early next week. If you do want to speak at an open floor hearing, but you have not yet requested to be heard yet. Again, a reminder, you need to make your request by deadline one deadline one will be the final canvassing of requests to be heard to speak at open floor hearings. Okay, ladies and gentlemen, moving them back to today. And just as others have before me, I would like to thank all of the speakers for their contributions. I would like to assure you that everything said today will be weighed up very carefully and considered. Now if necessary, the examining authorities may need to pursue matters that have been identified here using other methods such as written questions, or indeed in other hearings. And I reassure you that work is going on quietly behind the scenes. I would specifically also like to thank the case team led by Mr. Andre Williams for their Sterling support for these hearings. Now ladies and gentlemen, unless there is anything else that anyone would like to raise and I will check around the room at this point to see if there are any hands rising or cameras being switched on. And I do not see any. So on that basis. Ladies and gentlemen, I will now move to close open floor hearing one. Thank you very much for your time.