



SCOTTISHPOWER
RENEWABLES


East Anglia ONE North and East Anglia TWO Offshore Windfarms

Applicants' Comments on Susan Seabrook's Deadline 10 Submissions

Applicants: East Anglia ONE North Limited and East Anglia TWO Limited
Document Reference: ExA.AS-24.D11.V1
SPR Reference: EA1N_EA2-DWF-ENV-REP-IBR-001094

Date: 7th June 2021
Revision: Version 1
Author: ScottishPower Renewables

Applicable to **East Anglia ONE North** and **East Anglia TWO**



Revision Summary

Rev	Date	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
001	07/06/21	Ian Mackay	Lesley Jamieson	Rich Morris

Description of Revisions

Rev	Page	Section	Description
001	n/a	n/a	Final for Submission





Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Comments on Susan Seabrook's Deadline 10 Submission	2
2.1	Applicants' Comments on Susan Seabrook's Deadline 10 Submission	2




Glossary of Acronyms

DCO	Development Consent Order
PD	Procedural Decision



Glossary of Terminology

Applicants	East Anglia TWO Limited / East Anglia ONE North Limited
Development area	The area comprising the onshore development area and the offshore development area (described as the 'order limits' within the Development Consent Order).
East Anglia ONE North project	The proposed project consisting of up to 67 wind turbines, up to four offshore electrical platforms, up to one construction, operation and maintenance platform, inter-array cables, platform link cables, up to one operational meteorological mast, up to two offshore export cables, fibre optic cables, landfall infrastructure, onshore cables and ducts, onshore substation, and National Grid infrastructure.
East Anglia TWO project	The proposed project consisting of up to 75 wind turbines, up to four offshore electrical platforms, up to one construction, operation and maintenance platform, inter-array cables, platform link cables, up to one operational meteorological mast, up to two offshore export cables, fibre optic cables, landfall infrastructure, onshore cables and ducts, onshore substation, and National Grid infrastructure.
East Anglia TWO windfarm site	The offshore area within which wind turbines and offshore platforms will be located.
National Grid infrastructure	A National Grid substation, cable sealing end compounds, cable sealing end (with circuit breaker) compound, underground cabling and National Grid overhead line realignment works to facilitate connection to the national electricity grid, all of which will be consented as part of the proposed East Anglia TWO / East Anglia ONE North project Development Consent Order but will be National Grid owned assets.
National Grid substation	The substation (including all of the electrical equipment within it) necessary to connect the electricity generated by the proposed East Anglia TWO / East Anglia ONE North project to the national electricity grid which will be owned by National Grid but is being consented as part of the proposed East Anglia TWO / East Anglia ONE North project Development Consent Order.
National Grid substation location	The proposed location of the National Grid substation.
Onshore development area	The area in which the landfall, onshore cable corridor, onshore substation, landscaping and ecological mitigation areas, temporary construction facilities (such as access roads and construction consolidation sites), and the National Grid Infrastructure will be located.
Onshore substation	The East Anglia TWO / East Anglia ONE North substation and all of the electrical equipment within the onshore substation and connecting to the National Grid infrastructure.
Onshore substation location	The proposed location of the onshore substation for the proposed East Anglia TWO / East Anglia ONE North project.



1 Introduction

1. This document presents the Applicants' comments on Susan Seabrook's Deadline 10 submission (REP10-075).
2. This document is applicable to both the East Anglia TWO and East Anglia ONE North DCO applications, and therefore is endorsed with the yellow and blue icon used to identify materially identical documentation in accordance with the Examining Authority's procedural decisions on document management 23rd December 2019 (PD-004). Whilst this document has been submitted to both Examinations, if it is read for one project submission there is no need to read it for the other project submission.



2 Comments on Susan Seabrook's Deadline 10 Submission

2.1 Applicants' Comments on Susan Seabrook's Deadline 10 Submission

ID	Susan Seabrook's comments at Deadline 10	Applicants' Comments at Deadline 11
1	<p>Since the closure for deadline 10 representations, I have been on drive-throughs at Friston to see what was happening there. To date we have been mostly concerned locally at Aldringham-cumThorpe as we are on the cable route and are of course unhappy about what is happening near us</p>	<p>N/A.</p>
2	<p>At Friston though, we were absolutely horrified by the dreadful damage being done to the fields intended for the SPR sub station. The amount of poison sprayed over an extensive acreage is staggering but to see that it has actually been sprayed into ditches carrying water is highly questionable. Farmers are not allowed to spray near/over ditches as the damage spreads far afield and does untold damage to the environment, invertebrates, wild life in general, the aquifers, nesting birds, foraging birds, possibly woodland – it really is unspeakably depressing. There has been heavy rainfall over the past few weeks accompanied by high winds; the ditches are carrying water and the high winds could have spread the weed killer indiscriminately over the headlands, hedges and neighbourhood. Surely what they are doing is against the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 and the Natural Environment Rural Communities Act 2006. Where were the so-called environmental team that were supposed to be accompanying the work force?</p>	<p>The onshore site investigation works are being carried out in agricultural fields within the onshore development area. These agricultural fields are all privately owned, and all works are carried out with landowners consent. The Applicants have not sprayed any form of weed killer or requested the landowners to do so on their behalf.</p> <p>Landowners are free to continue their land management practices such as weed control, which is a regular occurrence on agricultural land and not undertaken as a result of, or in relation to, the ongoing site investigation works. The Applicants have appointed ecologists who are regularly surveying and monitoring the agricultural fields prior to the commencement of, and throughout the onshore site investigation works.</p>
3	<p>One has to assume that this outcome is a deliberate act of vandalism. I saw no applications published to permit this work and am wondering who could or would have given permission.</p>	<p>See response to ID2.</p>



ID	Susan Seabrook's comments at Deadline 10	Applicants' Comments at Deadline 11
	<p>It would appear that SPR have absolutely no regard for the environment and could not be considered to be carrying out "green" activities.</p> 