

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [East Anglia ONE North](#)  
**Subject:** NO 20023693 for EN010077  
**Date:** 01 June 2021 16:11:12

---

Dear Sirs

I wish to continue to strongly object to the selection of this site for the onshore structures with its attendant infrastructure.

Until early May 2021 I was extremely honoured to be an East Suffolk District Councillor, elected to represent the most affected area, the Aldeburgh and Leiston Ward.

My resignation, communicated to and accepted by the Leader of East Suffolk Council Steve Gallant on the 6<sup>th</sup> May, was solely due to my wishing to reflect the electorate's lack of trust in the capability, management of, and importantly its motivation in general regarding the applications for the various Energy NSIPs within the Ward (and associated infrastructure), by East Suffolk Council.

It was with great sadness and after much thought I realised that resigning was my best and ultimately only way of drawing some attention to East Suffolk Council being deficient within the democratic process, in not sufficiently representing affected residents' best interests within its pivotal role in the PINs process.

For some further clarity and brief background on my decision, I include a link (below) to an article published by [Jason Noble, the BBC-funded Local Democracy Reporter \(covering district, borough and county council business across the whole of Suffolk\) on 21 May 2021](#). I completely agree with him that 'Making sure those communities have a voice through this is an important part of our reporting and holding-to-account of decision-makers'.

<https://www.eadt.co.uk/news/local-council/east-suffok-aldeburgh-and-leiston-councillors-resign-7986576>

While this EADT article references Sizewell C particularly, my concerns currently relate considerably more to the issue of ESC's management of the SPR and National Grid Ventures applications. Whereas there may be some benefits should Sizewell C be granted, it is without doubt that the economic benefits of the Scottish Power projects are at best limited, and would go very little way towards compensating for the potential damage to for example the existing tourism sector or related inward investment which are key drivers of East Suffolk's economy.

I firmly believe that in any basic cost benefit analysis this would not stack up.

Yours faithfully

Jocelyn Bond

[REDACTED]



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)