Issue Specific Hearings 16 (ISHs16): Hearings Action Points

- Application by East Anglia ONE North Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the East Anglia ONE North Offshore Wind Farm.
- Application by East Anglia TWO Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the East Anglia TWO Offshore Wind Farm.

Actions arising from the **Issue Specific Hearings 16** (ISHs16) held virtually on **Wednesday 26 May 2021**.

	Action	Party	Deadline
1.	 National Grid Substation Your answer to ExQ2.10.6 [REP6-110] seems to state that it is highly unlikely that the proposed NG substation would be gas insulated for various reasons including lower operational costs, climate change and meeting Government targets. Is this a fair summary? Detail any potential reasons why a GIS substation may be chosen and the likelihood of such reasons occurring in this instance. How important are your Electricity Act 1989 s9 duties when choosing technology for your substations? 	NGET	D11
2.	National Grid Substation The Applicants have provided plans to the examinations showing a range of site designs in response to a request from the ExAs [AS-122].	NGET	D11
	 Would the size of the proposed NG Substation be the same regardless of whether one or both projects (East Anglia One North and East Anglia Two) were to be approved? Is the location of the proposed NG substation tied to its location as shown on the plans, whether in AIS or GIS form? For instance, could the GIS proposal be moved west or eastwards for environmental purposes? 		
3.	National Grid Substation The Applicants Substation Design Principles Statement [REP8-082] documents how the	NGET	D11

	Action	Party	Deadline
	East Anglia One substation and ancillary works evolved through the design process.		
	Outline how the NG substation for East Anglia One evolved in design terms through the lifetime of the project (through to the built substation), if at all.		
4.	Location of National Grid Substation Please provide further information and details to clarify submissions in relation to the efficiencies of siting the National Grid Substation (whether AIS or GIS) in the location shown in the R17QE response [AS- 122]. Please describe and quantify the efficiencies you have referred to and state whether these would be in terms of performance/longevity of the infrastructure or financial considerations. If the latter, then please state how this would relate to the viability of the project(s) overall.	Applicants	D11
5.	Location of National Grid Substation As per Action 4, during ISHs16 the Applicants referred to the efficiencies inherent in siting the proposed National Grid Substation (whether AIS or GIS) in the position shown on their R17QE response [AS-122]. Please describe and quantify any efficiencies to NGET of placing the substation in the shown location.	NGET	D11
6.	Surface water run-off containment during construction The applicants are requested to provide a further submission responding to the issues raised by ESC and SASES in relation to containing surface water run-off in the construction phase both within the cable corridor and within Works No.33 using worst case scenario. This should demonstrate that indicative measures can be contained within the Order limits and provide prudent and adequate mitigation against run-off related hazards at Friston; and if not to provide a thorough justification explaining why. if this information has been provided for the proposed.	Applicants	D11

	Action	Party	Deadline
7.	Sizewell C 1:100 year return Applicants to provide commentary on why if the Sizewell C project are using a 1:100- year return period for construction run-off management, it cannot be provided for EA1(N) and EA2.	Applicants	D11
8.	Results and commentary in relation to on-going infiltration testing To be submitted into the examinations as soon as possible to enable a decision to be made on acceptance by the ExAs and for IPs to comment by D12 if possible.	Applicants	
9.	CIRIA SUDS Manual Please submit the most recent edition of the CIRIA SUDS Manual (C753F) (December 2015) into the Examinations.	Applicants	D11
10.	 Updated Outline Operational Drainage Management Plan (OODMP) Applicants to provide a consistent position in relation to the Factor of Safety in the revised Outline Operational Construction Management Plan. Water levels to be shown on the cross- sections in future iterations of the OODMP. Applicants to consider relocation of the additional storage area proposed to be relocated downstream from its current location north of the National Grid Substation. Applicants to report on discussions with the EA in respect of funding any required additional maintenance of the Friston Watercourse to address SCC concerns in relation to potential discharge into the Friston Watercourse. Applicants to report on discussions with SCC highways over structural stability of road over pipe and potential maintenance 	Applicants	D11
11.	Order/Works Plans Applicants to provide an inset plan at a suitable scale to demonstrate that the proposed outfall to the Friston watercourse is located within the Order limits.	Applicants	D11

	Action	Party	Deadline
12.	Proposed SUDS basins Please provide any further detail available in respect of the likely appearance, fencing, bunding, engineering works, landscaping, biodiversity, and heritage impacts of the proposed SUDS basins.	Applicants	D11

ISHs16, 26 May 2021

Hearing Recording: Agenda Timings¹

Session 1 10:00am

Item 1 Procedure and introductions $00:00^2 - 00:09$

Item 2 00:09 – End of session

Session 2 11:40am

Item 2 (continued) 00:00 - 00:05

Item 3(a) 00:05 – End of session

Session 3 1:50pm

Item 3(b) 00:00 – End of session

Session 4 3:30pm

Item 3(c) 00:00 - 00:40

Item 3(d) 00:40 - 01:16

Item 4/5 01:16 – End of Session

End of session 4:56pm

Please note that the timings given are an approximate guide, taken from a review of the livestream.

¹ Agenda for ISHs16 (from EA1N website) (the EA2 Agenda is identical).

² Livestream/ recording times in hours and minutes from the session commencement.