

From: [REDACTED]
To: EastAngliaOneNorth@planninginspectorate.gov.uk
Cc: [East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: last submission ref 20023093 20023092
Date: 25 March 2021 16:02:36

Dear Planning Inspectorate Team

At last the final chance for us mere mortals to put to you our objections .

I cannot emphasize enough the intrusion that Scottish Power Renewables have made on our lives in this part of Suffolk.

To think that this is set to continue with Sizewell C /D DCO which has now started then Nautilus to follow, someone has got to stop this madness !!!

I will now continue with my submission.

Trying to understand how abnormal indivisible loads can access the substation site via Leiston then through Knodishall finally turning onto a haul road beggars belief but could be entertaining to watch.

I listen to these hearings and become frustrated as time goes on .

I wonder how feasible it will be to monitor workers movements and given the fact that temptation to do short cuts is in everyone's make up I fear monitoring will fail.

To be frank once construction commences I expect people will accept the problems and just want the job finished.

As far as operational noise of the substations is concerned,

I live approximately one mile from Galloper /Gabbard substations

and generally noise is insignificant at that distance unless there are strong winds.

These winds create noise presumably because the turbines out to sea are working flat out which means the power being created and going into the substations is putting the mechanism under pressure.

The noise is akin to fighter jets revving continuously and is very loud.

This continues until the wind abates .

I am aware that technology is always improving and sincerely hope that the SPR substations will have better equipment than Galloper/Gabbard otherwise the residents of Friston will be extremely impacted when the winds are strong.

There is no point my going over the same points but one point I must again make is this Government needs to make sure offshore multiple point connections are put in place for all the wind energy companies to connect to and therefore stopping all these individual connections that are taking place at the moment and will therefore ruin the countryside.

The efforts of everyone has been remarkable and I fully endorse all the comments made at the end of the hearings on Friday 19th March as to the conduct of all parties involved.

I am going to also say that Michael Mahoney's comment regarding the fact that SPR intends to start investigation now regarding the cable route and substation area is unfeeling and shows SPR attitude to be one of arrogance.

I was pleased that Mr Rigby raised the question regarding clarification of the actual width of cable route because obviously if permission were granted for 70metres x2 that would leave the option open for future projects to proceed .

However as Natural England have stipulated that 200 metres has to be maintained from SPA 's that would actually be impossible to achieve as there is only a maximum of 70 metres working width available before hitting residential properties and Sizewell Common.

May I at this juncture

refer to N E question R17QB .33 Rule 17 letter from Planning Inspectorate on Nightingale mitigation will this question be satisfactorily answered as far as the Nightingale is concerned ?

There are so many questions unanswered by SPR regarding this DCO .

I appeal to the planning inspectorate to refuse this application on the grounds that cumulative effects were not properly explored and the benefits of this project does not outweigh the devastation that will befall the area.

With sincere gratitude to your hard work in these hearings coping with covid restrictions which placed a huge burden on everyone.

Sincerely

Mrs P Dorsey