

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)  
**Subject:** D8 Submission  
**Date:** 25 March 2021 14:53:42

---

## **Richard Reeves, AP EI1N AFP 133 / IP 2002765**

Dear Inspectorate Panel,

May I take this opportunity to echo the thanks expressed by all parties attending the final Hearing on 19/03/21 for the exemplary way the whole team has conducted these Examinations, a demonstration of even-handed, meticulous, debate of inspirational quality.

D8

Actions arising from the Issue Specific Hearings 15, 19/03/21

Action Point 2

As advised by Actions deriving from the above Hearings, I would like to reserve the right to offer responses at D9 on Applicants Revised Preferred Draft DCOs, which the Applicants are actioned to submit at D8

Action Point 5

Schedules 17: Documents to be certified

Having regard to the Applicants' dDCOs submitted at D8, provide at D9 any final comments (and document versions) to be included in Schedule 17

With regard to D9 submissions, and Draft DCOs, I think all individuals and organisations who have spoken or made submissions in opposition to the proposed SPR projects would feel the process would be clearly and demonstrably flawed and unfair should the Applicants be afforded the opportunity at D9 to present a revised version of the Draft DCOs of which we have had no sight or opportunity to respond. I would be most grateful therefore if it could be made clear that the version of Draft DCOs submitted at D8 will be the final draft permitted by the Inspectorate to be included in the applications. I make this enquiry not only on behalf of myself, but several other IPs and AFPs who have become worried that this might be a possibility, and I would be happy to convey to them reassurance that this could not possibly be permitted.

Many Thanks, take care, stay safe,

Richard Reeves.