

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: EA1N Project - ENO 10077 and EA2 Project - ENO 10078
Date: 22 March 2021 12:36:10

Dear Mr. Smith and the planning inspectorate team,

My Unique Reference 20023726

This is a last minute plea to you.

Please use your influence and advise the government not to allow SPR and National Grid to wreck the beautiful and extremely popular coast of Suffolk from Southwold down to Woodbridge by covering the area in substations, trenches, concrete and construction traffic for years to come.

It has become increasingly obvious to anyone following this process that once National Grid has built its substation at Friston, while hiding behind SPR's applications for EA1N and EA2, it will naturally follow that Energy Companies will link up to it. Whatever promises or hints at other locations are made, none are enforceable once permission has been granted.

As Crown Estates and government have huge ambitions to expand wind power, which most people agree is a good idea, they should be made to give urgent consideration as to how they achieve this. While the upcoming review, promised by government, will look at the possibility of Offshore solutions to delivery of Energy, such as Offshore Ringmain etc, projects such as EA1N and EA2, with all the possible other Energy links may fall through the net. Indeed [REDACTED] indicated a reluctance to consider those projects already in the pipeline.

This would be a disaster for the Suffolk Coast, ANOB, Wildlife and areas of special scientific interest etc, and importantly would put a wrecking ball through the local economy, which relies on tourism and its spinoffs. Southwold, Walberswick, Blythburgh and Aldeburgh are all important and thriving little gems. This has all been documented by SEAS, Aldeburgh Council and Snape Maltings among others and submitted to you.

As far as I can see SPR and National Grid have not laid out their true ambition for the scale of industrialisation to be visited on the area and there is a palpable lack of trust in them locally. They do not seem to have given enough consideration to brownfield sites available, such as Bramford and Bradwell, preferring to go with the most convenient and cheapest option (to them) and ignoring the disastrous Cumulative Effect of their projects on the local area.

This was well articulated by our local MP with a scientific background, [REDACTED]. The concept of a split decision seems the best option, allowing work on the wind farms at sea (stage one) to go ahead without delay while turning down onshore development of substations etc which would give time for government to properly consider delivery options, hopefully avoiding wrecking the countryside, which they now say they wish to protect.

I hope you will not mind receiving yet another, slightly repetitive letter, but we have to do everything we can to prevent what we see as the destruction of this area.

Since the Judge at the Judicial Review in Norfolk on the Cumulative Effect of Energy construction onshore quashed consent for Norfolk Vanguard, we are hopeful that we in Suffolk also have a strong case to prevent the proliferation of energy projects which threaten us and with proper advise the government can be helped to make the best decision.

Yours sincerely,
Sally Sturridge.

Sent from my iPad