The Planning Inspectorate

Issue Specific Hearings 12 (ISHs12): Hearings Action Points

- Application by East Anglia ONE North Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the East Anglia ONE North Offshore Wind Farm.
- Application by East Anglia TWO Limited for an Order Granting Development Consent for the East Anglia TWO Offshore Wind Farm.

Actions arising from the **Issue Specific Hearings 12** (ISHs12) held virtually on **Thursday 11 March 2021**.

	Action	Party	Deadline
1.	 Outline Code of Construction Practice (OCoCP): ambient noise levels at Friston Applicant to submit a revised OCoCP at D8, prepared following consultation with ESC and SASES technical experts, to include or address: An Appendix based on the Cobbing Report [REP7-041] providing a process to address the interpretation of BS5228 in relation to Control of Pollution Act 1974 (COPA) s61 approval, ensuring the undertaker will require the relevant contractor(s) to apply for s61 approval. Final revisions to the baseline data in respect of ambient noise levels. The materiality of works in relation to COPA and need for s61 approval for relevant onshore preparation works. Table 5 of the Cobbing Report (p14) [REP7-041] sets out working times, averaging periods, LOAELs and SOAELs derived from HS2 which were generally accepted: a means of incorporating and securing this table with the OCoCP should be provided. Consideration should be given to OCoCP measures to control the hours within which construction traffic movement would be permitted, which were proposed to be different to the hours set out in Table 5 of the Cobbing Report. 	Applicants ESC SASES Other IPs comments	D9
2.	OCoCP: construction noise at Friston village, church and other sensitive receptors Consideration of amendments to:	Applicants	D8

the Planning Inspectorate

	Action	Party	Deadline
	 Include reference to specific measures to reduce adverse noise effects at St Mary's Church, Friston and its environs Possible further measures to better document the process for community liaison and consultation during construction. Consideration should be given to amending the current 75m buffer to a residential receptor adopted by the OCoCP as the threshold above which specific acoustic protection measures would not apply to 100m 		
3.	OCoCP: noise monitoring during onshore preparation works Applicant to consider amending the OCoCP to include general noise monitoring measures to be undertaken as part of the Onshore Preparation Works Management Plan.	Applicants	D8
4.	 dDCO Requirements 23 and 24 Consideration of revised drafting to include: provision by the undertaker of post-event explanations of events undertaken as emergencies under the R23(2)(e) and R24(2)(e) text to ESC within a defined time period of the event; and provision that events undertaken as essential activities out of hours outwith the provisions pf R23(2)(a) to (d) or R24(2)(a) to (d) (the essential activities `included but not limited to') are subject to advance approval as provided for in R23(3) and R2493). 	Applicants	D8
5.	 Operational noise Applicants to provide further evidence and appropriate examples to support its view in respect of the adequacy of assessed background noise levels and the consequences of these. Applicants ESC and SASES to provide final written positions explaining their technical position in relation to the assessment method and approach to background noise levels, reasons for the apparent differences of view and 	Applicants ESC SASES	D8 Comment at D9

The Planning Inspectorate

Action	Party	Deadline
 evidence in the technical literature upon which each view is based. Applicants to set out their reasons for the rejection of the background level at SSR9 and ESC and SASES to comment on whether the rejection is valid and if not, why not? If the value found at SSR9 is accepted, what are the implications for the approach to the control of operational noise? Each party to comment on the others' positions at D9. 		
 Tonality, interference patterns and related operational acoustic effects Final submissions are requested from the Applicants, ESC and SASES in respect of the 6dB correction proposed by SASES to address the tonal characteristics of operational noise (as suggested by BS4142) explaining whether this approach is justified and if not, why not. Noting ESC and SASES position that a true worst case requires the application of a 6dB correction (or specific demonstration that this is not required), the Applicants are requested to either address this requirement or to set out clearly in final submissions why this is not required. Similar submissions are requested in respect of any other relevant characteristics of operational noise, including multiple sources and the possibility of interference patterns. Each party to comment on the others' positions at D9. 	Applicants ESC SASES	D8/D9

The Planning Inspectorate

ISHs 12, 11 March 2021 Hearing Recording: Agenda Timings¹

Session 1	10:00am			
Item 1 Procedure and introductions		00:00 ² - 00:15		
Item 2 Noise from construction works		00:15 - 00:42		
a) Tran	smission connection location	00:42 - Close of session		
Session 2	11:45am			
Item 2 a) cont 00:00 – Close of se				
Session 3	01:50pm			
Item 2 Noise from construction works				
c) Lar	ole corridors and haul roads ndfall hway network	00:00 - 00:18 00:18 - 00:25 00:25 - 00:38		
Item 3 Operational Noise		00:38 - 00:48		
a) Tran	smission connection location	00:48 - Close of session		
Session 4 04:00pm				
Item 3 a) cont		00:00 - 01:11		
b) Othe	er operational noise effects	01:11 - 01:12		
Item 4 Cumulative effects		01:12 - 01:21		
Item 5 AOB		01:21 - 01:22		
Item 6 Procedural decisions, review of actions and next steps				
Item 7 Close	2	01:22 - 01:23 01:23 - Close of session		

Please note that the timings given are an approximate guide.

¹ <u>Agenda for ISHs12</u> (from EA1N website) (the EA2 Agenda is identical).

² Livestream/ recording times in hours and minutes from the session commencement.