

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: Deadline 6 submission
Date: 24 February 2021 21:43:50

My Reference :

EA1N 20023282

EA2 20023287

Dear Mr Smith and Colleagues

I wish to respond to Action Point 2, The Planning Balance from Open Floor Hearing 6.

I write to ask that the ExA recommend a 'split decision' to the Secretary of State

I support renewable energy and if the ExA is of the view that the off shore elements of the applications should proceed then those can be recommended for consent.

However, the onshore infrastructure should be rejected. There should be a full consideration of other and better locations for this infrastructure at brownfield sites where the devastating negative impacts of these applications would be avoided.

I have closely followed and participated in these proceedings. The harmful impacts of this particular onshore site location substantially outweigh the benefits of the application when taken as a whole.

These harmful impacts are unnecessary. As the Secretary of State, Dr Therese Coffey, who gave evidence has pointed out there are alternative sites available which could be used.

There is wide consensus that offshore wind power can contribute to reducing the UK's carbon emissions and meeting the government's targets. There is also a powerful and growing consensus around the detrimental impacts of radial connections, such as those for which consent is sought. Refusing consent to the onshore elements of this application will not materially hinder achievement of the Governments 2030 target. A 'split decision' would allow construction of the offshore turbines to proceed and would enable the question of on-shore to be reconsidered afresh, consistent with the Governments object of minimising on-shore harm and disruption.

Thank you for all of your work on this inquiry

Yours sincerely

Fiona Cramb

Sent from my iPad