



## SPR EA1N and EA2 PROJECTS

### DEADLINE 4 - COMMENTS ON SUFFOLK COUNTY COUNCIL DEADLINE 3 SUBMISSIONS

**Interested Party:** SASES    **PINS Refs:** 20024106 & 20024110

**Date:** 13 January 2021

**Issue:** 2

#### introduction

1. These comments relate to a variety of the submissions made by Suffolk County Council (“SCC”) at Deadline 3. The fact that comment is not made on any particular submission should not be construed as SASES agreeing with a submission.

#### Comments of Suffolk County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority<sup>1</sup>

2. Please see Section 2 of Flood Risk Related Comments on Deadline 3 Submissions prepared by GWP Consultants dated January 2021 attached at Appendix 1.

#### Comments of Suffolk County Council as Local Highway Authority in Response to the Clarification Note: ‘Sizewell Projects Cumulative Impact Assessment (CIA) (Traffic and Transport)’<sup>2</sup>

SCC Para No	Comment
5.1	SASES notes that the SCC response is restricted to comments on traffic flow and not to related issues such as air quality, noise and vibration.
5.2	SASES recognises that the Applicant may need to update the CIA in light of evolving Sizewell C DCO.
5.5	SASES concurs with SCC that all potential links should be screened for in combination Cumulative Impact.
5.6	As in other areas, SASES is concerned by what seems an arbitrary setting of “magnitude’ by the Applicant when assessing human related impacts.
5.7	As above

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010077/EN010077-003342-DL3%20-%20SCC%20-%20Floods%20Comments.pdf>

<sup>2</sup> <https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010077/EN010077-003341-DL3%20-%20SCC%20-%20Highways%20Comments.pdf>

5.10	Although outside the area studied by SASES in respect of HGV traffic, SASES is puzzled as to why the Applicant feels that some relatively minor changes to pedestrian amenity at Theberton will mitigate an otherwise significant adverse impact
5.10	SASES is concerned that the projected increase in HGV movements on Lovers Lane Sizewell, with or without the cumulative impact of Sizewell C traffic, will adversely affect the safety of local residents, particularly those exiting from Leiston and wishing to access the recycling centre  Approximate map reference TM 45500 63500
5.11	As above
5.12	SASES concurs with SCC regarding the comments on severance.
5.15	SASES remains concerned that the Applicant's traffic movement and any cumulative effects on traffic flow at the A12/A1094 junction will adversely impact the local community
5.18	SASES suggests the Applicant revisits all projected developments with planned access to the A12 north of Ipswich to gauge cumulative impact
5.20	SASES concurs with the SCC position that Projects such as EA1N, EA2 & NG substation build , that result in a 20 to 30% increase in link usage should make a fair contribution to mitigation measures.

**APPENDIX 1**

**Flood Risk Related Comments on Deadline 3 Submissions prepared by GWP  
Consultants dated January 2021**