

From: [Sheridan Steen](#)
To: [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: East AngliaOneNorth - EN010077 - Reference 20023458 & East Anglia Two Project - EN010078 - Reference 200023459 Issue Specific Hearings 1 & 2 (ISH 1 & 2)
Date: 13 December 2020 14:04:22
Attachments: [Response to PINS following Issue Specific Hearings 1 & 2.pdf](#)

FOR THE ATTENTION OF MR BRYN SMITH

Please find attached my response to **Issue Specific Hearings 1 & 2 (ISH 1 & 2)**

Yours sincerely
Sheridan Steen

Dear Mr Smith,

East Anglia One North Project – EN010077 Reference: 20023458

East Anglia Two Project – EN010078 Reference: 20023459

ISSUE SPECIFIC HEARINGS 1&2 (ISH 1&2)

Dear Mr Smith,

Having observed the above hearings I feel firstly that I must write and support the contributions made by the following :

East Suffolk & Suffolk CC (Items 2 A&B) and councillor Marianne Fellows (ISH2 - Items 2B/C, 2D, 2E), Graeme Keen QC - SASES (Items 2C, 2D, 2E) & Fiona Gilmore - SEAS (Items 2A/B/C, 2D, 2E).

I have already written expressing my serious concern that National Grid are still choosing to disregard your request to attend, so will not touch upon this again, just to say that it would appear that this privately owned company seems to be able to plough its own furrow and not be held to legal account for its actions? Is this in breach of the law?

I also want to raise the matter that Councillor Marianne Fellows raised re Magnox and their preparedness to sell their ownership of the land at Sizewell. It appears that could this land be purchased by SPR (the Applicant) it would appear to be an excellent choice for the proposed substations and National Grid's ambitions. Magnox have sold some of their hardware to the makers of Harry Potter films, <https://www.civilserviceworld.com/news/article/hollywood-beckons-for-nuclear-power-stations-scifi-control-desk-says-agency> it would make sense for SPR to negotiate a deal with them over the purchase the land at Sizewell.

The uncertainty over the development of Sizewell C, must also be a consideration, imagine if Planning Permission is granted for SPR/National Grid's plans for Friston are given the green light and Sizewell C fails to go ahead? The affect on SPR's plans will be seriously impacted. I understand that SPR are counting on the A12 two village bypass to make it possible for them to move their AILS. It is highly likely that the village bypass and importantly the roundabout at Friday Street will not be constructed if dependent on Sizewell. The corner in Farnham is a fascinating place to stand when heavy traffic, such as mobile caravans/combine harvesters, HGVs move along the A12.

I want to add to the matter of air pollution, a matter which was highlighted by Ms King at the first Open Floor meetings and other speakers. As I am sure you are aware the death of nine year old Ella Kissi-Debrah, is currently under scrutiny, Ella may become the first person in the UK for whom air pollution is listed as the cause of death - let us not forget that SPR's planned trenches/haul roads run right up against the boundary of Cold Fair Green Primary School. Is it just a hope that fingers will be crossed that no children at the school or living in close proximity of the trenches/haul roads doesn't suffer a similar death over the coming years because of the toxic fumes they will be subjected to?

Finally, I have to say that I was taken aback by the Applicant's representative announcing that Friston was selected as the ideal site for the planned development because it was deemed to be an "industrial urban" site. This is an agricultural village, and of course there are farm buildings, a duck farm, is an agricultural development as was the windmill. Proximity to the A12 was given as another factor? The only way to successfully access the A12 from Friston for HGVs is via the A1094, through Snape village, Snape also has farm buildings and pylons!

On a more personal note, I have to write to express how utterly devastated I am at the proximity of the siting of the substations so close to our church. Friston church sits at the heart of the village. From time to time the village has been without a pub, but always the church has acted as our hub. As I stood facing the War Memorial on Sunday 8th November, with my family remembering the members of our families whose lives were sacrificed during the two wars, I was inwardly broken hearted at the prospect of the desecration that is about to be meted out on our community should the development be given the go ahead. The peace of the site, broken only by our prayers and the playing of the Last Post may never come again. The FRISTON BUZZ and the light will always be there. Inevitably I believe that granting of the development will be the death knell of the church, its tranquillity will be gone forever. The Applicant may offer to stop working on the site on Remembrance Sunday (in fact they shouldn't be working on that day), however, I am sure they won't cease work for marriages, funerals and the services that are held in the precincts of the church throughout the days and weeks of the years. HOW CAN THIS DISRESPECT BE ALLOWED?

Finally, like many I have spoken to, I want to thank you and your team for the amazing respect and courtesy that has been shown at all times during these consultations. It is not easy for any of us and there is so much still to be regarded in this matter. I have a horror at the idea that this process is being hurried through, not by yourselves but by the constraints that you are under. The BEIS review and the news from Sizewell C in my view should come first before this development can be truly and honestly viewed.

Yours sincerely

Sheridan Steen