



SPR EA1N and EA2 PROJECTS

DEADLINE 2 - COMMENTS ON ARCHAEOLOGY AND CULTURAL HERITAGE CLARIFICATION NOTE

Interested Party: SASES PINS Refs: 20024106 & 20024110

Issue: 1

Title of submission/topic	Relevant content reference	SASES comment
Setting of heritage assets	Paragraph 12	The Applicants' conclusion " <i>that obstruction of views along the historic trackway from Little Moor Farm is the only circumstances where the significance of the church would be materially diminished by the project</i> " is incorrect. See SASES Written Representation on Cultural Heritage.
Historic trackway	Paragraph 93	Contrary to the Applicants' statement, the two boundaries, the Hundred boundary and the parish boundary would have been one and the same feature. Parishes are carved out of Hundreds and share their boundaries.
Moated Site	Paragraph 96	The Applicants seem to imply that the lack of public accessibility somehow affects the assessment of the Moated Site's setting or its significance. However accessibility is not a criterion for either setting or significance.
Historic trackway	Paragraph 152	SASES' also welcomes the additional information provided by the Councils in their RHLA with regard to the historic trackway. SASES supports the conclusions which have been drawn about the trackway as an historic parish and Hundred boundary.