

From: [REDACTED]  
To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
Subject: [REDACTED]  
Date: [REDACTED]  
Attachments: [REDACTED]

---

Dear Mr Smith and Examiners,

Please find attached our comments/feedback on Written Representations.

We would like to say that 14 days to go through submissions and take relevant and make a considered response by the deadline has not really been adequate.

We would like to request the right to make further submissions at a later date if needed.

Best regards,

Nicholas Thorp

Black View Studios Park - A hidden gem on the Suffolk Coast

[REDACTED]

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail



Responses to Written Submissions – Deadline 2  
East Anglia One North IP 20024928  
East Anglia Two IP 20024929

Submission	Extract	Thoughts or comments of Beach View Holiday Park
<p>Submission from Developer SPR Deadline 1 Submission - Socio Economics and Tourism Clarification Note - Rev-01</p>		<p>This revision fails yet again to assess the impact of these projects in conjunction with other proposals of which there is information in the public domain.</p> <p>SPRs idea of measuring the effect on tourism is purely looking at worker numbers and accommodation demand.</p> <p>The developer has failed to assess and refer to the The Suffolk Coast DMOs 2019 Business &amp; Tourism Survey. SPR shows a complete lack of willingness to address the impact issues like tourism accessibility to the coast &amp; AONB, closure or disruption to footpath/public rights of way and economic impact of these proposals and cumulative impact of other proposals including Sizewell C</p>
<p>Submission from Alde &amp; Ore Community Partnership - 29 September 2020 17:38:32</p>	<p>The main concern with the East Anglia One North and Two applications relates to the proposed onshore entry of cables by drilling under and into the highly unstable cliff at Thorpeness. The cliff is little more than a slightly hardened, grass covered sand dune as its seaward profile clearly shows. On this highly fragile and dynamic coastline, undermining it could result in substantial changes in coastal erosion and sedimentation further along the coast</p>	<p>We would like to also raise our concerns that HDD Drilling poses a major threat to the fragile sand cliffs at the proposed onshore cable landing point north of Thorpeness. We refer examiners back to our RR's where we notified the EXA of a recent fatality caused by a cliff fall in the immediate area north of Thorpeness. <b>We are concerned the methods and works proposed represent a threat to human life and a threat to land and property along the coast and <u>north and south</u> of cable landing zone.</b></p>
	<p>A second major concern is that there are 8 other energy power projects being developed and at present all are</p>	<p>We agree with the comments made by Alde &amp; Ore Partnership in terms of the potential to damage the</p>

	looking to come on shore separately on this fragile coast. The combined impact of cables relating to these constructions coming on shore separately could well magnify changes in coastal processes	beach, foreshore or sand cliffs and unknown consequences due to: "... changed coastal processes affecting currents and sedimentation." And the lack of cumulative impact assessment of multiple energy projects all seeking to land cables along the same stretch of 'unsuitable' fragile coastline.
WR Submission from Jenny Wells - 02 November 2020 21:27:27	"...The fragility of the cliffs is glaring for all to see. On my walk last week at the site of the landfall there is a notice "DANGER DO NOT GO BEYOND THIS POINT CLIFF EDGE CAN GIVE WAY WITHOUT WARNING."	SPR have failed to assess suitability of cable landing plans to HDD cables under the cliff are too dangerous and could exacerbate erosion, landslips and cliff falls of already unstable sand cliffs.
WR Submission from Alan Collett - 27 October 2020 13:37:29	"...Boris Johnson recently signed the Leader's Pledge for Nature and set out his ambitious plans for preserving 400,000 hectares of our country's open spaces."	<b>These proposals plus National Grids blatant disregard for SC&amp;H AONB a supposedly protected landscape is inconsistent with the Prime Ministers 'Pledge for Nature'.</b>
WR Submission from Jennifer Wilson - 02 November 2020 23:20:58	The National Planning Policy Framework states that damage to an AONB should be avoided so it is impossible to accept that there is no alternative to digging up miles of the AONB with 60 metre trenches	Beach View have consistently queried the legality of these proposals, SPR did have assess viable alternatives. SPR already has land and capacity at Bramford via the Bawdsey to Bramford cable route. And National Grid also already have facilities at Bramford.
National Grid Electricity System Operator (NGESO) response to ExA's First Written Questions of the 12th October 2020	NGESO cannot comment on any unsigned connection agreements or any informal connection queries as this is commercially sensitive between the ESO and other parties.	Are the Planning Inspectorate & Examiners satisfied that National Grids answers to legitimate questions are basically cannot comment? <b>We would appreciate if some clarity is National Grid and National Grid ESO effectively above planning processes – Do National Grid &amp; NGESO have planning immunity? Because it very much looks like they believe they do!</b>
National Grid Transmission PLC Deadline 1 Submission – Response to EXAs questions	Question 1.0.16 a, b, c	Answers to questions about Grove Wood substation location & cable route are deferred to either SPR or NGESO.  Does National Grids structure not lend itself to ducking and diving batting away legitimate questions?
	Question 1.0.17 a, b, c	How can the examiners provide fair and transparent examinations when having to deal with National Grid and its shady organisation of sub-companies /subsidiaries who constantly pass the buck?

		<p>NG Ventures have already made it clear that they plan to connect via Grove Wood Friston in the email/letter sent to PINS 9/3/2020 from Alicia Dawson - Contract Consents Officer for National Grid Ventures stating:</p> <p><i>“...NGV are seeking to ensure the substation is future proofed for other future developments, namely the proposed Nautilus and Eurolink Interconnector projects.”</i></p>
<p>WR Submission Suffolk Coast DMO PINS Refs: 20024735 &amp; 20024732</p>	<p><a href="https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/projects/EN010078/EN010078-002710-DL1%20-%20The%20Suffolk%20Coast%20DMO.pdf">https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/projects/EN010078/EN010078-002710-DL1%20-%20The%20Suffolk%20Coast%20DMO.pdf</a></p>	<p>We are in full agreement with the DMOs submission, we have ourselves referred to the surveys and reports compiled by The Suffolk Coast DMO &amp; SC&amp;H AONB - We cannot understand why SPR have not taken any opportunity to expand or enhance their woeful Tourism &amp; Socio-Economic submissions.</p> <p>It is inexcusable that SPR haven't provided their own comprehensive visitor and business surveys but to fail to engage with or even reference the DMOs &amp; SC&amp;Hs AONB professionally produced research highlights SPRs lack willingness to investigate or more likely reveal the truth about the potential for EA1N &amp; EA2 DCOs to have measurable and lasting impact on the visitor/tourism economy.</p>
<p>WR Submission <a href="#">Rt Hon Dr Thérèse Coffey MP</a></p>	<p>NPS EN3 Para 2.5.33 States</p> <p><i>“in sites with nationally recognised designations (Sites of Special Scientific Interest, National Nature Reserves, National Parks, the Broads, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty and Registered Parks and Gardens), consent for renewable energy projects should only be granted where it can be demonstrated that the objectives of designation of the area will not be compromised by the development.”</i></p> <p>SPR haven't done this. Instead of facilitating a connection to the grid as close to shore as possible they</p>	<p>We agree with Therese Coffey, SPR have consistently failed to provide sufficient alternatives when it comes to site selection, or alternative connection locations.</p> <p>If a precedent is set and a connection pint is granted at Grove Wood, Friston National Grid intends to use this for multiple other projects and this will result in the AONB &amp; SSIs and other designated sites being compromised not once but over and over again.</p>

	<p>are proposing a 32-metre wide cabling corridor (No. 1 *for each of EA1N &amp; EA2) across 9km of sensitive landscape, including elements of the Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB.</p>	
--	---	--