

From: [REDACTED]
To: [East Anglia ONE North; East Anglia Two](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: PINS Ref: EA1N - EN010077 and EA2 – EN010078 my unique ref no. 20023726
Date: 14 November 2020 13:44:06

Dear Examining Authority, my unique ref.no. 20023726

Re. East Anglia One North and East Anglia Two

I would like to respond and take issue with National Grid's response to the Examining Authorities written questions, in which they have stated they will not attend Issue Specific Hearings 1 & 2 and have not properly or fully answered the Examining Authority's written questions by using evasive and deflective answers.

I do not believe the Examining Authority can continue with a fair, open and thorough examination when a very major player such as National Grid will not participate and therefore the full extent of the examination with regard to additional connections to the Grid at Friston is not known. At the last virtual hearing the Inspectors indicated they would take into account the cumulative impact of the various projects in the pipeline and asked for a list. I do not believe National Grid is allowing either the public or it seems even the Inspector to see the fullness of their long term plans and hence the impact on the area in terms of health, tourism, traffic, wildlife, landscape etc.

I am respectfully calling for the Examining Authorities to enlist the support of the Secretary of State to then insist/ summon/ subpoena/ or by whatever means, to get National Grid Electricity Transmission (NGET) to:-

1. Attend the Issue Specific hearings
2. Answer the Examining Authority's Written Questions in full, in particular questions 1.0.16 and 1.0.17 with reference to ALL six additional energy projects that have been put on the table.

Confidence will be lost in the NSIP Examination process should PINS not bring National Grid to these and other outstanding questions.

It would be a tragedy if the whole of East Suffolk, currently an important recreational area, full of culture, wildlife and beautiful areas for tourists, were to be turned into an enormous industrialised site by the backdoor, by way of lack of information of the true nature of National Grid's long-term project to Inspectors and thus not allowing them to make a balanced judgement, especially as there are good offshore and brownfield alternatives.

Kind regards,
Sally Sturridge.

Sent from my iPad