

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)  
**Subject:** Deadline 2 - written submission  
**Date:** 15 November 2020 18:49:41

---

Dear Sirs,

Ref: EA1N - EN 010077 and EA2 - EN 010078

My ref: 010078

Having listened to the oral and written submissions sent in so far I am particularly struck by a couple of things.

No one supports this plan, it is blindingly obvious that there are better solutions available and that Friston risks being destroyed by a technical timing issue. We cannot keep destroying huge swathes of the countryside by this piecemeal approach to energy distribution.

National Grid are behaving like bandits - they are planning infrastructure by stealth and without proper scrutiny, this cannot be right. Even privatised companies must be held accountable, they surely cannot smuggle their plans in under the cover of another company?

The overwhelming sense is of a stitch up, the decision to build at Friston was made by a cosy arrangement between Scottish Power and National Grid. They found a beautiful, and to their eyes, empty stretch of land and thought that they could use it to build and build. They concocted flawed RAG assessments and meaningless consultations. The redacted reports from National Grid says# it all.

The cumulative affect of the plans from Scottish Power, National Grid, and other power companies must be taken into account when reviewing these plans. Please use your influence to get the secretary of state or the regulatory authority OFGEN to ensure that National Grid attend the hearings and answer your questions.

The human impact of these plans have had a devastating affect on our community, we cannot understand how something like this could be allowed to happen. SPR and National Grid have not, at any time, taken this into account.

Thank you for the time and dedication you are putting into this process. At the moment you are our only hope.

Anthony and Louise Fincham