



GLYN PERIS



GUEST HOUSE

Glyn Peris, Llanberis, Caernarfon, Gwynedd LL55 4EL
T: 01286 872 711 E: stay@glynperisguesthouse.com
www.glynperisguesthouse.com

5th September 2016

Our registration identification number: 10031932

Dear Mr Cowperthwaite,

Once again we would like to thank you for your continuing detailed questions put to the Applicant and Gwynedd Council.

We would like to reiterate our support for the arguments made by Jane Huuse and others re the access road via Waunfawr and the devastating effect it will have on the residents of that lane. Their lives and their properties will surely be ruined by this scheme and if it really has to go ahead, the Applicant must be made to look at alternative routes to that part of the site despite the extra costs that may be incurred by them.

We are again unnerved by the feeling that the Applicant is trying to do as little as possible in preparation for the scheme before gaining the decision to go ahead but relieved that you are picking up on important details of strategies, plans and designs that are still missing.

Question 5.3

Placing a cap on the maximum traffic movement would be an excellent move to stop the situation getting out of control.

Question 5.4

CCTV cameras must be installed along Ffordd Cefn Ddu as suggested by Jane Huuse to prevent any possible dispute of responsibility should any incidents occur.

Question 6.4

We as the owners of Glyn Peris Guest House expect it to be clarified in the CNMP that there will be noise monitoring stations at both the front and back of our property as part of the noise mitigation package offered by the Applicant.

Question 6.5

We are concerned that the Applicant has suggested a higher daytime vibration dose value than that suggested by Gwynedd Council. Strict control of vibration limits is essential for the sake of all those living and working around the sites.

Question 6.6

There should not be any work allowed on Saturday afternoons, Sundays or Bank Holidays - it is bad enough for us all to have to cope with the hours already set.

Question 7.3(c)

Thank you for showing the Applicant where Glyn Peris Cottage actually is!

Selwyn Hughes the resident of Glyn Peris Cottage tells us he is extremely concerned about the disruption to the well in his garden. Residents from cottages opposite (long since demolished) used to come across with enamel buckets to collect water from this well and it has supplied them and him and his family with an excellent supply of water for over 70 years. He is not only concerned that disturbance of the ground at Q6 during construction will disrupt the supply to that well by perhaps diverting the channels that feed it but also has the tremendous fear that flooding will become a major factor in the future. As slate waste is porous and there is little or no topsoil above us at Q6 there is no doubt that the water table will be affected when there is all the extra water stored in the newly enlarged quarry/reservoir. As water seeps out the water table will rise (and it is already pretty close to the surface as it is). The risk of flooding and excess dampness in the property is therefore inevitable and Mr Hughes, (aged 76 and with a heart condition) does not need this sort of worry hanging over him.

In reference to 7.3(d), Mr Hughes tells us that apart from the initial survey we all received some years back asking who has a private water supply and a brief discussion with the Applicant at a meeting at the Victoria Hotel, he has had no contact from the Applicant at all. He has not been kept up to date with any decisions made about the monitoring or lack of it at his property.

Question 7.8

We, like Mr Hughes, are hugely concerned about the risk of flooding to our property, not just from the extreme case of breaching of the damn at Q6 but of a possible more regular risk of flooding as a result of the raising of the water table and the effects of the construction process on surface run-off and ground seepage. As mentioned before, we have both already suffered from the tarmac of the area at Q6 and would like reassurance that a careful survey will be carried out and the necessary drainage system installed to prevent this happening again.

Question 8

The response from the MOD finally admitting that there were chemical weapons stored at Glyn Rhonwy is a serious development and reiterates the concerns raised by Jeff Taylor and others during this process - it is not a safe site to develop!

Once again we have to state that we cannot stress enough the devastating effect this scheme will have on us, our fellow businesses and neighbours, the community, the landscape and the tourist economy and we implore you not to let this plan go ahead.

Kind regards

Paul Haydock and Ceris Meredith