
NORTH LONDON WASTE AUTHORITY
**NORTH LONDON HEAT AND POWER
PROJECT**

EN10071

**TABLE OF AMENDMENTS TO THE
DRAFT DCO SUBMITTED FOR
DEADLINE 8 OF EXAMINATION**

The Planning Act 2008 The Infrastructure
Planning (Applications: Prescribed
Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009
Regulation 5 (2)

AD07 . **33**

August 2016

NORTH LONDON HEAT AND POWER PROJECT

APPLICATION REFERENCE EN010071

**TABLE OF REVISIONS TO THE DRAFT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER
SUBMITTED AT DEADLINE 8 OF EXAMINATION (APPLICATION DOCUMENT
REFERENCE AD03.01)**

SUBMITTED BY THE APPLICANT ON 22 AUGUST 2016

General Note: the table below refers to the article, requirement, paragraph or schedule number in the draft DCO submitted for deadline 7 (document number AD03.01/REP7-002). Any new numbering in the revised draft DCO as at deadline 7 is indicated in the “Amendment” column in the table below, where relevant.

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
Article 2 (Interpretation)			
1	Definition of “DCO schedules 6-8 explanatory diagrams”	The word “schedules” in the defined term has been amended so that it begins with a capital “S”.	This amendment was made by the ExA in its Rule 17 letter dated 1 August 2016 (“Rule 17 Letter”).
2	Definition of “EcoPark House”	The words “within the Edmonton EcoPark to the west of the River Lee Navigation as part of the authorised development” have been deleted and replaced with “as part of Works No. 3”.	This amendment has been made in response to The ExA’s query in the Rule 17 Letter as to whether the construction of EcoPark House will fall within Works No. 3.
3	Definition of “enabling works”	The reference to ‘land clearance’ in this definition has been retained. Reference to “creation of enabling works access” has been amended to “creation of	In the Rule 17 Letter, the ExA comments that the term “land clearance” is a broad element which is neither defined nor constrained by the requirements. The ExA suggests that reference to land

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		enabling works accesses“.	<p>clearance is deleted from the definition of enabling works and is included as one of the elements requiring detailed design approval in requirement 4.</p> <p>The Applicant has considered the ExA’s suggestion and concluded that the reference to “land clearance” needs to remain in the definition of enabling works. This is because “land clearance” will involve specific actions that would not normally require prior permission or involve detailed design (such as removal of surface vegetation). The Applicant has therefore inserted a definition of “land clearance” in Article 2 (works to clear land of surface vegetation and to remove detritus) in order to make it clear what it will cover.</p> <p>The Applicant has also deleted the ExA’s insertion (in the Rule 17 Letter) of a reference to land clearance in requirement 4; this is because the Applicant does not believe that, ordinarily, it would be necessary to obtain detailed design approval for works to clear land of surface vegetation and to remove detritus, and also because these types of works would not normally require detailed design. The works that are caught under the definition of land clearance are also specific</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			<p>and narrower than the type of works that would fall under Works No. 6 (iv) (works to prepare land shown on works plan C_0010 Rev 01).</p> <p>Reference to “creation of enabling works access” has been amended to “creation of enabling works accesses” to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.</p>
4	Definition of “land clearance”	This definition has been inserted.	<p>This definition has been inserted to address the ExA’s comment in the Rule 17 Letter in relation to the definition of “enabling works”.</p> <p>For further detail, please see the entry in this table above in relation to the definition of enabling works.</p>
5	Definition of “temporary laydown area”	The reference to plot 17 has been deleted.	<p>This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter. Plot 17 was deleted from Schedule 12 (land of which temporary possession may be taken) in the revised DCO submitted at Deadline 7. In light of this, the Applicant agrees with the ExA that it is not necessary for plot 17 to be included in the definition of the temporary laydown area (temporary possession of which will be required).</p>

Article 4 (Limits of deviation)

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
6	Article 4(2)	<p>Article 4(2) has been re-drafted so that it now states:</p> <p>“Subject to Schedule 2 (requirements), in constructing, operating and maintaining the authorised development, the undertaker may –</p> <p>(a) deviate laterally from the lines or situation shown on the works plans to the extent of the limits of deviation shown on the works plans; and</p> <p>(b) deviate vertically from the levels shown on the works plans -</p> <p>(i) to any extent upwards within the limits of deviation shown on the works plans; and</p> <p>(ii) to any extent downwards as may be necessary, convenient or expedient.”</p>	<p>The original drafting of Article 4(2) could be interpreted as meaning that requirement 5 only relates to the ‘upwards’ limits of deviation and requirement 4 only related to the ‘downwards’ limits of deviation. This was not the intention behind the drafting of article 4(2) and it therefore needs to be clarified.</p> <p>These drafting amendments do not change the meaning behind the previous draft of Article 4(2).</p> <p>The amendments make Article 4(2) simpler and make it clear that all the limits of deviation are subject to more constraining restrictions in the requirements. The words at the start of the amended Article 4(2) "<i>Subject to Schedule 2 (requirements),...</i>" mean that the limits of deviation relating to piling approved in the piling risk assessments and method statements submitted pursuant to requirement 4 will be caught.</p> <p>As the wording of Article 4(2) was agreed as part of the Statement of Common Ground between the Applicant and the Environment Agency (EA), the Applicant has already notified the EA of these drafting amendments and confirmed to the EA that it is not seeking to undermine what had been agreed as part of the Statement</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			of Common Ground.
Article 5 (Maintenance of authorised development)			
7	Article 5(1)	The word “and” has been deleted from between the words “(discharge of water” and “article 28”.	This amendment was made so that Article 5(1) makes better grammatical sense.
8	Article 5(2)	Article 5(2) has been deleted.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s comment in the Rule 17 Letter that the works specified in article 5(2) would be covered by article 5(1) and are all authorised development within the Order land.
Article 6 (Operation of the authorised development)			
9	Article 6(1)	The words “(and any person to whom the undertaker grants a contract to operate the authorised development)” have been deleted.	<p>In the Rule 17 Letter, the ExA queried whether the words “(and any person to whom the undertaker grants a contract to operate the authorised development)” covers those who are not the same as a transferee or lessee under article 8. The Applicant’s response is that yes, they are not the same. The person to whom the Applicant will grant a contract to operate the new facility may not necessarily need to benefit from the powers in the DCO (other than the power to operate the new facility).</p> <p>Nevertheless, the Applicant has concluded that it can delete the words “(and any person to</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			whom the undertaker grants a contract to operate the authorised development)” as the power to operate the authorised development in Article 6(1) implies that there is also the power to operate the authorised development through a contract.
Article 10 (Street works)			
10	Article 10(1)	Article 10(1) has been amended so that the words “for the purposes of the authorised development” have been replaced with the words: “...for the purposes of constructing and maintaining the authorised development...”	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment and to address the ExA’s query in the Rule 17 Letter. The ExA queried whether we will need this power to extend to the operation and maintenance of the authorised development. On reflection, the Applicant has concluded that this power will also need to apply to the maintenance of the authorised development as it may be necessary to carry out street works as part of maintaining, for example, Lee Park Way which will be used as a new access into the Edmonton EcoPark.
Article 12 (Public rights of way)			
11	Article 12(1)	The words “for the purposes of constructing and maintaining the authorised development” have replaced “in connection with the carrying out of the authorised development”.	The words “for the purposes of constructing and maintaining the authorised development”, have been inserted to (in part) reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter, and to also address the ExA’s query as to whether this power needs to apply to operation and

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		References to “Rev 01” for drawing numbers C_0012 and C_0014 have been retained.	<p>maintenance, as well as to construction. As it requires the power to carry out street works in Article 10 to cover the maintenance of the authorised development (as well as construction) as a whole, the Applicant has concluded that it will therefore also need the power in Article 12 to temporarily suspend public rights of way during the maintenance of the authorised development.</p> <p>References to drawing numbers C_0012 Rev 01 and C_0014 Rev 01 have been retained as these drawings will be submitted for deadline 8.</p>
Article 13 (Temporary stopping up of streets)			
12	Article 13(1)	Article 13(1) has been amended so that it begins with the following words: “The undertaker, for the purposes of constructing and maintaining the authorised development, may temporarily...”	<p>This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments and query in the Rule 17 Letter.</p> <p>The ExA has queried in the Rule 17 Letter whether we will need this power to extend to the operation and maintenance of the authorised development. As it requires the power to carry out street works in Article 10 to cover the maintenance of the authorised development (as well as construction) as a whole, the Applicant has concluded that it will therefore also need the power in Article 13 to temporarily stop up</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			streets during the maintenance of the authorised development.
13	Article 13(4)(a)	<p>Article 13(4)(a) has been amended so that it begins with the following words: “any street specified in Schedule 8 (streets to be temporarily stopped up) without first...”</p> <p>The words “in accordance with the provisions of Schedule 3 (procedure for approvals, consents and appeals)” have been deleted.</p>	<p>This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.</p> <p>The words “in accordance with the provisions of Schedule 3 (procedure for approvals, consents and appeals)” have been deleted because the provisions contained within Schedule 3 would not apply to the undertaker’s consultation of a street authority in the context of Article 13(4)(a).</p>
Article 14 (Access to works)			
14	Article 14	<p>The formatting of Article 14 has been amended so that the sub-paragraphs begin with “(a)” and “(b)” respectively.</p> <p>The opening words to article 14 “For the purposes of the authorised development” have been replaced with the words: “For the purposes of constructing the authorised development”.</p>	<p>This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter. The ExA has queried in the Rule 17 Letter whether we will need this power to extend to the operation and maintenance of the authorised development. The Applicant has concluded that it does not require this power to apply to the operation and maintenance of the authorised development.</p>
15	Article 14(2)	<p>This is now article 14(b). The words “for the purposes for the authorised development” have been amended so that they now state “for the purposes of constructing the authorised</p>	<p>This change has been made to mirror the opening words of Article 14.</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		development”.	
Article 16 (Discharge of water)			
16	Article 16(1)	Minor drafting amendments have been made so that article 16(1) now reads: “The undertaker may use any watercourse, public sewer or drain on any land within the Order limits for the drainage of water in connection with the construction, operation or maintenance of the authorised development and for that purpose may lay down, take up and alter pipes and may make openings into, and connections with, the watercourse, public sewer or drain.”	These changes have been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.
17	Article 16(2)	The words “on any land within the Order limits” have been inserted after the words “The undertaker must not discharge any water into any watercourse, public sewer or drain...”	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
18	Article 16(3)	The words “on any land within the Order limits” have been inserted after the words “The undertaker must not make any opening into any public sewer or drain”.	This amendment has been made to make the wording consistent with the wording used (as amended by the ExA in the Rule 17 Letter) in article 16(2).
19	Article 16(4)	The word “or” (which appears in the fourth line between the words “watercourse” and “public sewer”) has been	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		deleted.	
20	Article 16(6)(a)	The word “or” has been inserted before the words “a sewerage undertaker”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
Article 17 (Protective work to buildings)			
21	Article 17(2)(a)	The words “carrying out” have been replaced with the word “construction”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter. The ExA has queried in the Rule 17 Letter whether we will need this power to extend to the operation and maintenance of the authorised development. The Applicant has concluded that it does not require article 17(2)(a) to refer to the operation and maintenance of the authorised development as article 17(2)(b) contains a power to carry out protective works for 5 years after completion of the authorised development.
22	Article 17(8)(b)	The words “carrying out or use” have been replaced with the words “construction, operation or maintenance”.	This amendment has been made to ensure consistency in approach wherever the DCO refers to “carrying out” or “use” of the authorised development. This amendment is consistent with the amendments made by the ExA in the Rule 17 Letter in relation to article 17(11).
23	Article 17(11)(a)	The words “carrying out, maintenance or use” have been replaced with the words “construction, operation or maintenance”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
24	Article 17(11)(b)	The words “carrying out, maintenance or use” have been replaced with the words “construction, operation or maintenance”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
Article 18 (Authority to survey and investigate)			
25	Article 18(2)	The opening words “A notice must be served on...” have been replaced with the words “The undertaker must serve a notice on...”	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
Article 19 (Compulsory acquisition of land)			
26	Article 19(1)	The word “to” has been inserted, so that the opening words read: “Save in relation to land to which article 23...”	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
Article 21 (Power to override easements and other rights)			
27	Article 21(1)	The words “by its successor or under a transfer or lease under article 8 (consent to transfer benefit or Order)” in the first line have been deleted.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter. The reason for this amendment provided in the Rule 17 Letter is that successors and persons with the benefit of the Order pursuant to Article 8 are already caught within the definition of “undertaker” in Article 2.
Article 22 (Statutory authority to override easements and other rights)			
28	Article 22(1)	The words “carrying out or use” have been replaced with	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		the words “construction, operation or maintenance”.	the Rule 17 Letter.
Article 23 (Compulsory acquisition of rights)			
29	Article 23(1)	<p>The phrase “the existing rights” has been replaced with “existing rights”.</p> <p>The phrase “the new rights” has been replaced with “new rights”.</p> <p>The phrase “and/or” has been replaced with “and”.</p>	These changes have been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments and comment in the Rule 17 Letter.
Article 25 (Rights under and over streets)			
30	Article 25(1)	The words “for the purposes of the authorised development” have been replaced with the words “the construction, operation or maintenance of the authorised development”.	These changes have been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments and comment in the Rule 17 Letter.
Article 26 (Rights over land)			
31	Article 26(1)	The words “for the purposes of the authorised development” have been replaced with the words “the construction and maintenance of the authorised development”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter. The ExA has queried in the Rule 17 Letter whether we will need this power to extend to the operation and maintenance of the authorised development. The applicant has concluded that it will require this power during the maintenance of the authorised development.
32	Article 27 (Temporary use of land for construction of the authorised development)		

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
33	Article 27(1)	<p>The words “the carrying out of the authorised development” have been replaced with the words “the construction of the authorised development”.</p> <p>The same amendment has been made to the sub-heading for article 27 for consistency.</p>	<p>This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter (in part).</p> <p>The Rule 17 Letter amended article 27 so that it also applies to the operation and maintenance of the authorised development. The Applicant does not think this is necessary as article 28 already provides a power to temporarily use land for the purposes of maintaining the authorised development.</p>
34	Article 27(1)(c)	<p>The words “erect fencing and/or other means of enclosure” have been replaced with “erect fencing and other means of enclosure”</p> <p>The words “or both” have been deleted from the phrase “erect fencing and other means of enclosure or both” in Article 27(1)(c).</p> <p>The words “including the provision of means of access” have been replaced with “including access”.</p>	<p>These changes have been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments in the Rule 17 Letter and also a query in the Rule 17 Letter as to whether the use of “and/or” was appropriate drafting for a statutory instrument.</p> <p>The words “or both” have been deleted from the phrase “erect fencing and other means of enclosure or both” in Article 27(1)(c), because it is not necessary to say “or both” in this context; the preceding words cover both situations.</p>
35	Article 27(2)	<p>The word “service” has been replaced with the word “serve”.</p>	<p>This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.</p>
36	Article 27(4)	<p>The words “from the date of completion of the authorised development” have been</p>	<p>In the draft DCO submitted for deadline 7, “The undertaker may remain in possession of</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		replaced with the words “from the date of completion of Works No. 7”.	<p>the temporary laydown area for up to two years from the date of completion of the authorised development.”</p> <p>The Rule 17 Letter contained a request by the ExA to consider referring to a works no. rather than the words “authorised development”.</p> <p>The Applicant has considered this and has amended Article 27(4) so that it refers to “Works No. 7”, rather than the “authorised development”.</p>
37	Article 27(6)	The semi-colon at the end of article 27(6) has been replaced with a full stop.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
Article 29 (Statutory undertakers)			
38	Article 29	The formatting of this article has been amended so that each sub-paragraph starts with a lower case letter (e.g. “(a)”).	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.
Article 30 (Recovery of costs of new connections)			
39	Articles 30(2)(a) and 30(2)(b)	<p>References to the word “communicated” have been replaced with the words “is connected”.</p> <p>Reference to “is” in Article 30(2)(a) has been replaced with “are” so that it now reads “(a) the owner or occupier of premises the drains of which are connected with that</p>	<p>This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.</p> <p>The amendment to Article 30(2)(a) has been made to correct the grammar.</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		sewer..."	
Article 31 (Application of landlord and tenant law)			
40	Article 31(1)(b)	The words "construction, maintenance, use or operation of the authorised development" have been replaced with the words "construction, operation or maintenance of the authorised development".	This change has been made to reflect the ExA's amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.
Article 33 (Felling or lopping of trees)			
41	Article 33(1)(a)	The words "construction, maintenance or operation of the authorised development" have been replaced with the words "construction, operation and maintenance of the authorised development."	This change has been made to reflect the ExA's amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.
Article 34 (Certification of documents and plans)			
42	Article 34(1)(h)	The word "schedules" now begins with a capital "S".	This change has been made to reflect the ExA's amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
Article 38 (Approvals, consents and appeals)			
43	Article 38(2)	This article has been amended so that it now states that consents, agreements, approvals or notices applied for must not be unreasonably withheld.	In the Rule 17 Letter, the ExA suggests that the Applicant deletes the entirety of article 38(2) on the basis that paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 3 specifies a decision making period of 56 days. The Applicant is of the view that this article is required, and has therefore retained it (in an amended form). Not all matters

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			<p>requiring a decision by a discharging authority may need the full 56-day period allocated in paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 3 and to avoid setting out what different timescales could apply for what types of applications, the Applicant believes it is still necessary to place an obligation on the discharging authority to not unreasonably delay a decision. As an alternative approach therefore, the Applicant has deleted the reference to "unreasonably delay" in Article 38(2) and instead amended paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 3 so that it now reads "the discharging authority must give notice to the undertaker of its decision on the application promptly and in any event within a period of 56 days..."</p> <p>The Applicant has kept reference to "unreasonably withheld" in Article 38(2) as Schedule 3 does not contain wording that prohibits the discharging authority from unreasonably withholding its consent, agreement etc. Schedule 3 only requires the discharging authority to give reasons. It is for this reason that the Applicant also believes it is important to for there to be an obligation on the discharging authority to not unreasonably withhold its consent or agreement.</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			The Applicant has deleted the reference to a decision being made in writing as it notes that the ExA has, in the Rule 17 Letter, amended paragraph 1(2) of Schedule 3 so that a written notice is required.
44	Article 38(3)	The words “carried out in accordance with” have been replaced with the words “constructed, operated or maintained in accordance with”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
Schedule 1 (Authorised Development)			
45	Paragraph 2	The words "referred to in" in the first line of paragraph 2 have been deleted.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
46	Paragraph 2(a)	Reference to "Rev 00" has been replaced with "Rev 01".	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
47	Paragraph 2(a)(i)	The word "a" has been inserted before the words "wastewater treatment plant".	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
48	Paragraph 2(b)	The letter "s" has been inserted at the end of the word "building".	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
49	Paragraph 2(d)(i)	No amendments have been made to the formatting.	The formatting of paragraph 2(d) is such that its sub-paragraphs are lettered "(aa)", "(bb)" and so forth. The ExA has, in the Rule 17 Letter, amended this formatting so that the sub-paragraphs are lettered

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			"(a)", "(b)" and so forth. The programme that formats and validates statutory instruments does not accept the ExA's formatting amendments and the Applicant is therefore unable to make the ExA's changes.
50	Paragraphs 2(d)(viii) and 2(d)(ix)	The word "the" has been inserted at the beginning of these paragraphs.	These changes have been made to reflect the ExA's amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.
51	Paragraph 2(e)	The words "works for the creation of the temporary laydown area" have been replaced with the words "the creation of a temporary laydown area"	This change has been made to reflect the ExA's amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
52	Paragraph 2(f)(i) to (iii)	The word "the" has been inserted at the beginning of these paragraphs.	These changes have been made to reflect the ExA's amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.
53	Paragraph 3	<p>The formatting of paragraph 3 has been amended so that it is split into sub-paragraphs, as follows:</p> <p>"In connection with Works No. 1 to Works No. 7 (inclusive), to the extent that they do not otherwise form part of any such work, being associated development within the meaning of section 115(2) of the 2008 Act:</p> <p>(a) the enabling works; and</p> <p>(b) such other works as may be necessary or expedient for the purposes of or in</p>	These changes have been made to reflect the ExA's amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		connection with the construction, operation and maintenance of the authorised development which do not give rise to any new significant adverse environmental effects that were not assessed in the environmental statement."	
Schedule 2 (Requirements)			
54	Paragraph 1(1)	<p>The wording of paragraph 1(1) has not been revised as per the suggestion in the Rule 17 Letter in relation to tailpiece wording.</p> <p>The words "is unlikely to give rise to any new significant adverse environmental effects" have been replaced with "does not give rise to any new significant adverse environmental effects"</p>	<p>In the Rule 17 Letter, the ExA suggests that the tailpiece wording in the relevant requirements be deleted and instead, paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 2 be revised so that there is a single general provision covering the effect of tailpiece wording. The ExA also suggests an alternative approach in the Rule 17 Letter, which is that the Applicant provides a detailed justification for each of the tailpieces in the Explanatory Memorandum. The Applicant has considered both approaches and has decided to retain the tailpiece wording in the relevant requirements and to provide a detailed justification for each of the tailpieces in requirements 4, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16 and 17 in the Explanatory Memorandum.</p> <p>The Applicant has adopted this approach because: (i) it only requires tailpiece wording for certain requirements; and (ii) if</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			<p>it amends paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 2 so that it contains a general provision as suggested by the ExA, that would mean that all the requirements would be subject to the effect of tailpiece wording, which is not the intention of the Applicant.</p> <p>The words "does not give rise to any new significant adverse environmental effects" have been inserted to reflect the ExA's amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.</p>
55	Requirement 3 (Stages of authorised development)	The words "(except enabling works and the temporary display of site notices and advertisements) have been inserted after the words "No authorised development...".	This amendment incorporates the changes made to the draft DCO by the ExA in the Rule 17 Letter. In addition, the Applicant has added reference to the temporary display of site notices or advertisements" as these are excluded from the definition of "commence".
56	Requirement 4 (Detailed design approval)	<p>A reference to land clearance has not been inserted as an element that will require detailed design approval.</p> <p>Requirement 4(3) has been moved so that it is now requirement 4(4). The words "unless otherwise approved by the relevant planning authority" have been retained at the end of what is now requirement 4(4).</p>	<p>Contrary to the ExA's suggestion in the Rule 17 Letter, a reference to land clearance has not been inserted as a matter that will require detailed design approval. Please see the entry above in this table relating to the definition of "enabling works" for an explanation.</p> <p>The amendments to requirements 4(3) and 4(4) reflect the changes made by the ExA in the Rule 17 Letter.</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		Requirement 4(4) is now requirement 4(3), and minor drafting amendments have been made.	With regard to the retained tailpiece wording at the end of what is now requirement 4(4), please see the explanation provided above in relation to paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 2.
57	Requirement 5 (Parameters)	<p>The word "No." has been inserted after the word "Works" in requirements 5(1), (2), (3) and (4).</p> <p>In requirement 5(1), the words "Works No. 1a paragraph i(c) and Works No. 1a paragraph i(e)" in the third row of the table have been simplified to "Works No. 1a paragraphs 1(c) and 1(e)".</p> <p>In requirement 5(3), the words "Works No. 2 paragraph (i), Works No. 2 paragraph (ii), and Works No. 2 paragraph (iii)" have been replaced with the words "Works No. 2 paragraph (i), (ii), and (iii)".</p>	These changes have been made to reflect the ExA's amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.
58	Requirement 7 (Types of waste to be managed)	<p>The words "must be limited to 890,000 tonnes" have been replaced with "must not exceed 890,000 tonnes".</p> <p>References to "the management of" have been deleted.</p> <p>The word "must" has been inserted after the words "890,000 tonnes per annum and..."</p>	<p>These changes have been made to reflect the ExA's amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.</p> <p>The word "must" has been inserted after the words "890,000 tonnes per annum and..." in order to tidy up the drafting.</p>
59	Requirement 8	The formatting of this	These changes have been

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
	(Notices)	requirement has been amended so that the sub-paragraphs are now lettered, instead of being numbered.	made to reflect the ExA's amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.
60	Requirement 9 (BREEAM)	The tailpiece wording "unless otherwise approved by the relevant planning authority" has been retained.	Please see the explanation provided above in relation to paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 2.
61	Requirement 10(2) (Provision of landscaping)	The tailpiece wording "unless otherwise approved by the relevant planning authority" has been retained.	Please see the explanation provided above in relation to paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 2.
62	Requirement 12 (Access and roads)	The wording in requirement 12(2) has been deleted. The words "of accesses" have been inserted after the words "The construction" in what is now requirement 12(2) (it used to be requirement 12(3)). The tailpiece wording "unless otherwise approved by the relevant planning authority" in what is now requirement 12(2) (it used to be requirement 12(3)) has been retained.	The deletion of requirement 12(2) and the insertion of the words "of accesses" has been made to reflect the amendments made by the ExA in the Rule 17 Letter. With regard to the retained tailpiece wording, please see the explanation provided above in relation to paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 2.
63	Requirement 13(2) (Operational Surface and Foul Water Drainage)	The word "operations" in requirement 13(2) has been amended to "operation".	This change has been made to reflect the ExA's amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
64	Requirement 14 (Contaminated land and groundwater)	The word "written" has been inserted before the word "scheme" in requirement 14(2). The words "submission of the" have been deleted from requirement 14(2).	These changes have been made to reflect the ExA's amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		<p>The reference to "paragraph 14(2)" has been amended to "paragraph 14(1)" in requirement 14(3).</p> <p>The words "If during any stage of the authorised development" in requirement 14(4) have been amended to "If during any stage of the construction of the authorised development".</p> <p>The words "shall be carried out" in requirement 14(4) have been amended to "must be carried out".</p> <p>The word "written" has been inserted before the word "scheme" in requirement 14(5).</p> <p>The words "submitted as part of the written scheme" have been inserted at the end of requirement 14(5).</p> <p>Requirement 14(6) has been amended so that is now states: "(6) A second verification report must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority (in consultation with the Environment Agency) when all long term monitoring has been completed. The second</p>	

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		verification report must contain the results of monitoring required by the management plan pursuant to paragraph 14(2), details of any necessary contingency action undertaken as required by the management plan and confirmation that all long term remedial works approved pursuant to paragraph 14(1) and paragraph 14(4) have been carried out and that all long term remedial targets have been met."	
65	Requirement 15 (Ecology)	Requirement 15 has been amended so that it now states: "(1) Full operation of the electricity and heat generating station must not occur until written details of the approach to monitoring and managing the landscaping and bird and bat boxes (in accordance with the environmental commitments and mitigation schedule), including an implementation timetable, have been approved by the relevant planning authority. (2) The authorised development must be carried out in accordance with the details approved under paragraph 15(1), unless otherwise approved by the relevant planning authority."	Other than the tailpiece wording, the revised requirement 16 reflects the ExA's amendments in the Rule 17 Letter. With regard to the retained tailpiece wording, please see the explanation provided above in relation to paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 2.
66	Requirement 16 (Code of construction practice)	Requirement 16 has been amended so that it now states: "(1) Before commencing the	Other than the tailpiece wording, the revised requirement 16 reflects the ExA's amendments in the Rule

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		<p>enabling works or any stage of the authorised development, the undertaker must review the code of construction practice to establish whether it should be updated to reflect any new relevant construction methodology or environmental guidance and, where it is to be updated, the undertaker must seek the approval in writing of the relevant planning authority to any update of the code of construction practice.</p> <p>(2) The authorised development must be undertaken in accordance with the code of construction practice, unless otherwise approved by the relevant planning authority."</p>	<p>17 Letter.</p> <p>With regard to the retained tailpiece wording, please see the explanation provided above in relation to paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 2.</p>
67	Requirement 17 (Control of noise during operational stage)	<p>Requirement 17 has been revised so that it now states:</p> <p>"(1) Full operation of the electricity and heat generating station must not commence until a written scheme for noise management, including monitoring and attenuation in relation to the authorised development, and an implementation timetable, has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority.</p> <p>(2) The written scheme for noise management submitted pursuant to paragraph 17(1) must replicate any noise</p>	<p>Other than the tailpiece wording, the revised requirement 17 reflects the ExA's amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.</p> <p>With regard to the retained tailpiece wording, please see the explanation provided above in relation to paragraph 1(1) of Schedule 2.</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		levels set out in any environmental permit relating to the authorised development. (3) The authorised development must be carried out in accordance with the written scheme approved pursuant to paragraph 17(1), unless otherwise approved by the relevant planning authority."	
68	Requirement 18 (Combined heat and power)	The reference to "Works 1A" has been amended to "Works 1a" in requirement 18(1). "; and" at the end of requirement 18(1) has been deleted and replaced with a full stop.	These changes have been made to reflect the ExA's amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.
69	Requirement 19(2) (Transitional period)	The words ", on the Edmonton EcoPark" have been deleted from requirement 19(2).	This change has been made to reflect the ExA's amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
70	Requirement 20 (Decommissioning and demolition of the energy from waste facility)	The words "None of the works comprising Works No. 7 are to commence until a written scheme for such works" have replaced the opening words of requirement 20(1).	This change has been made to reflect the ExA's amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
71	Requirement 21 (Decommissioning and demolition of the proposed electricity and heat generating station)	Reference to the "approved plan" at the end of requirement 21(2) has been replaced with the words "the plan approved under paragraph 21(1)."	This change has been made to reflect the ExA's amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.

Schedule 3 (Procedure for approvals, consents and appeals)

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
72	Paragraph 1(1)	The words “promptly and in any event” have been inserted before the words “within a period of 56 days”.	Please see the explanation provided above in this table in relation to Article 38(2).
73	Paragraph 1(2)	The words “in a written notice” have been inserted at the end of this paragraph.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
74	Paragraph 1(3)	This is now paragraph 1(4). The words “the grounds of any such disapproval” have been amended to “the reasons for any refusal”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
75	Paragraph 1(5)	Paragraph 1(5) has been moved so that it is now the new paragraph 1(3), but without the words “in a written notice”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter. The words “in a written notice” have not been included in the new paragraph 1(3) as the words “in a written notice” have been (in the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter) been inserted into paragraph 1(2) instead.
76	Paragraph 2(1)	The words “or notice” have been inserted.	The amendment has been made so that the words are consistent with the formula of words used elsewhere in schedule 3 (i.e. consent, agreement, approval or notice).
77	Paragraph 2(2)	The words “or notice” have been added to the reference to consent, agreement or approval. The words “whether or not to approve, agree, or consent”	These changes have been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		have been replaced with “whether to grant or refuse consent under paragraph 1(2)”	
78	Paragraph 4(1)	The words “or notice” have been added to the references to consent, agreement or approval throughout paragraph 4(1).	These changes have been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.
79	Paragraph 4(2)	This paragraph has been retained.	<p>In the Rule 17 Letter, the ExA refers to the Applicant’s responses to question 10.9 of the ExA’s First Written Questions and to question 2Q10.14 of the ExA’s Second Written Questions. The ExA also states that it does not consider the particular circumstances of this application do not appear to be so exceptional to require a purpose built appeals mechanism rather than relying on the processes of the TCPA 1990, which would be the situation if this was a planning application.</p> <p>The Applicant has considered these comments and concludes:</p> <p>(i) The application for the North London Heat and Power Project is not an application for planning permission. The appeals process in the TCPA 1990 should not apply to a development consent order under the Planning Act 2008 because the TCPA 1990 was</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			<p>not drafted with the contents of a development consent order in mind.</p> <p>(ii) The draft DCO for this application contains a large number of circumstances where the undertaker is obliged to seek the approval, consent, agreement of a third party. The process contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Schedule 3 to obtain approvals etc. applies to all these provisions (see Article 38(1)(a) which states that Schedule 3 applies to the provisions of the Order). It would not, for example, be appropriate to apply the mechanism for appeals in relation to planning conditions to the appeals relating to the refusal to stop up a street under Article 13(4)(b) of the DCO.</p> <p>(iii) The particular circumstances of this application are therefore such that there is a need for a bespoke appeals mechanism, as the large number of approvals the undertaker is required to obtain means that it will be imperative to the timely delivery of the project that the draft DCO contains a clear and certain process for appeals. The undertaker should not need to rely on other legislative provisions (which were not drafted with DCOs in mind) that may change or be repealed</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			<p>without necessarily having regard to the needs of nationally significant infrastructure.</p> <p>(iv) The bespoke appeals process in the draft DCO is a considered and much faster process than that which is contained under the TCPA 1990. A faster appeals process is important given that what is being delivered is nationally significant infrastructure (rather than local development that benefits private parties). For example, under the proposed bespoke appeals process, appeals must be made within 42 days, whereas under the TCPA, an appeal should be made within 6 months.</p> <p>(v) The bespoke appeals mechanism in the draft DCO will apply to more situations than the appeals process under the TCPA applies. The bespoke process allows the undertaker to appeal requests for further or additional information on the basis that that information is not necessary (see paragraph 4(1)(d) and (e)).</p> <p>(vi) The bespoke appeals process reflects the equivalent provisions in The National Grid (North London Reinforcement Project) Order 2014, which has been through the examination process and involves the same</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			<p>local authority.</p> <p>Please also see the reasons set out in the Applicant's Response to the Rule 17 Letter Dated 1 August 2016 (AD07.36).</p>
80	Paragraph 4(10)	The words "of Schedule 2 (requirements)" have been deleted.	<p>Paragraph 4(10) stated that "If an approval is given by the appointed person under this Schedule, it is to be treated as an approval for the purposes of Schedule 2 (requirements) of this Order as if it had been given by the discharging authority."</p> <p>As the appeals process in Schedule 3 applies to all decisions required by the relevant provisions of draft DCO as a whole (and not just requirements), it is necessary to delete the reference to Schedule 2, so that paragraph 4(10) now reads: "If an approval is given by the appointed person under this Schedule, it is to be treated as an approval for the purposes of this Order as if it had been given by the discharging authority."</p>
Schedule 4 (Streets subject to street works)			
81	Schedule 4	No amendments have been made.	In the Rule 17 Letter, the ExA comments that Revision 01 of drawing numbers C_0012 and C_0014 have not been submitted and therefore Revision 00 of these drawings should be referred to instead. The Applicant is submitting

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			Revision 01 for Deadline 8 and has therefore not made the suggested amendments to Schedule 4 contained within the Rule 17 Letter.
Schedule 5 (Streets subject to alteration of layout)			
82	Schedule 5	<p>The heading of the first column of the table has been changed to “Area”.</p> <p>References to “cycleways” have been amended to “cycle paths”.</p>	These changes have been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.
Schedule 6 (Public rights of way to be temporarily suspended)			
83	Schedule 6	<p>References to “footpath” in the headings for the second and fourth columns in the table have been replaced with references to “public right of way”.</p> <p>The word “schedules” in the term ‘DCO schedules 6-8 explanatory diagrams’ now begins with a capital “s”.</p> <p>References to “cycleways” have been amended to “cycle paths”.</p> <p>No amendments have been made to the revision number of drawing C_0014.</p> <p>Fifth row, fourth column – the 201 metres of temporary footpath to be provided will be shown on drawing number C_0014 Rev 01 (this drawing</p>	<p>These changes have been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.</p> <p>In the Rule 17 Letter, the ExA comments that Revision 01 of drawing number C_0014 has not been submitted and therefore Revision 00 of this drawing should be referred to instead. The Applicant is submitting Revision 01 for Deadline 8 and has therefore not amended the drawing numbers in Schedule 6.</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		will be submitted at deadline 8), therefore no amendment has been made.	
Schedule 7 (Public rights of way to be extinguished)			
84	Schedule 7	<p>References to “footpath” in the headings for the second and fourth columns in the table have been replaced with references to “public right of way”.</p> <p>References to “cycleways” have been amended to “cycle paths”.</p> <p>The word “schedules” in the term ‘DCO schedules 6-8 explanatory diagrams’ now begins with a capital “s”.</p> <p>No amendments have been made to the revision number of drawing C_0014.</p>	<p>These changes have been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.</p> <p>In the Rule 17 Letter, the ExA comments that Revision 01 of drawing number C_0014 has not been submitted and therefore Revision 00 of this drawing should be referred to instead. The Applicant is submitting Revision 01 for Deadline 8 and has therefore not amended the drawing numbers in Schedule 7.</p>
Schedule 8 (Streets to be temporarily stopped up)			
85	Schedule 8	<p>The word “schedules” in the term ‘DCO schedules 6-8 explanatory diagrams’ now begins with a capital “s”.</p> <p>No amendments have been made to the revision number of drawing C_0014.</p> <p>References to “cycleways” have been amended to “cycle paths”.</p>	<p>These changes have been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.</p> <p>In the Rule 17 Letter, the ExA comments that Revision 01 of drawing number C_0014 has not been submitted and therefore Revision 00 of this drawing should be referred to instead. The Applicant is</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
		References to “to after the junction of Advent Way” have been changed to “to the junction of Advent Way”.	submitting Revision 01 for Deadline 8 and has therefore not amended the drawing numbers in Schedule 8.
Schedule 9 (Access to works)			
86	Schedule 9	<p>Fifth row, column (2) – “at the south” has been amended to “to the south”.</p> <p>No amendments have been made to the revision number of drawings C_0012 and C_0014.</p>	<p>These changes have been made to reflect the ExA’s amendments in the Rule 17 Letter.</p> <p>In the Rule 17 Letter, the ExA comments that Revision 01 of drawing numbers C_0012 and C_0014 have not been submitted and therefore Revision 00 of these drawings should be referred to instead. The Applicant is submitting Revision 01 for both these drawings for Deadline 8 and has therefore not amended the drawing numbers in Schedule 9.</p>
Schedule 10 (Land in which rights etc. may be acquired)			
87	Schedule 10	Paragraph 1, Row 1, column (2) – the word “construction” has been inserted so that this entry now reads: “for the purposes of or incidental to the construction, operation and maintenance of the authorised development”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s query in the Rule 17 Letter as to whether this phrase should also mention construction.
Schedule 13 (Protective provisions)			

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
88	Paragraph 4, Part 2	The words “undertaker does not” have been amended to “undertaker must not”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
89	Paragraph 5(2), Part 2	“works limits” has been amended to “Order limits”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
90	Paragraph 5(6), Part 2	The words “without the prior approval of the statutory undertaker” have been inserted at the end of paragraph 5(6).	Paragraph 5(6) prohibits the undertaker from From carrying out utilities works within 300 millimetres from the statutory undertakers’ apparatus. The Applicant’s Utility Strategy (AD05.10/APP-029) sets out where known existing electricity, gas, water and sewerage apparatus exists and how (at this stage of design) the Applicant may affect that apparatus. As can be seen from the Utility Strategy, it is likely that there will be a lot of utilities works carried out over certain parts of the application site. The exact nature and details of utilities works will be worked out during design stage. The outright prohibition in paragraph 5(6) may mean it would prevent the undertaker from carrying out necessary utilities works in order to deliver the Project. In order to safeguard the delivery of the Project, the words “without the prior approval of the statutory undertaker (such approval not to be unreasonably withheld or delayed)” have been inserted at the end of paragraph 5(6). In this way, there is still a

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			prohibition unless the statutory undertaker gives prior approval.
91	Paragraph 6(2), Part 2	The phrase “Those works” has been amended to “Any works of the type referred to in paragraph 5(2)”. The word “watch” has been changed to “observe”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
92	Paragraph 6(4), Part 2	The words “paragraphs 1 to 6” have been amended to “paragraphs 6(1) to 6(6)”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
93	Paragraph 6(5), Part 2	The words “Nothing in this paragraph” have been amended to “(nothing in this paragraph 6”.	This change has been made to reflect the ExA’s amendment in the Rule 17 Letter.
94	Part 3	Part 3 has been retained with no amendments made.	Part 3 currently contains the form of protective provisions benefitting CRT that was in the version of the draft DCO submitted with the application (Original CRT Protective Provisions). The Original CRT Protective Provisions are based on a number of DCOs granted in relation to energy projects which also contained protective provisions benefitting CRT, including The Knottingley Power Plant Order 2015 and the Willington C Gas Pipeline Order 2014. Further detail on the precedents used for the draft protective provisions in the draft DCO is contained in the revised explanatory

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			<p>memorandum (AD03.02), submitted to the ExA at deadline 8.</p> <p>At deadline 2 of the Examination, CRT submitted a request for a revised version of the Original CRT Protective Provisions based on that contained in the Knottingley Power Plant Order (REP1-001).</p> <p>The Applicant responded to this at deadline 3 (in section 2.4 of AD07.05) stating that it had based the Original CRT Protective Provisions on other DCOs made by the Secretary of State (including Knottingley) and that what the Applicant would find helpful, would be for CRT to provide details of the specific drafting amendments that it would like to see to the Original CRT Protective Provisions.</p> <p>At deadline 6 of the Examination (on 19 June 2016), CRT submitted its proposed amendments to the Original CRT Protective Provisions (CRT Preferred Protective Provisions).</p> <p>The Applicant does not agree with many of the amendments CRT is seeking to Part 3. The reasons for this are set out in the Statement of the Final Position Concerning Outstanding Objections to CA</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			<p>(AD07.31).</p> <p>Despite on-going negotiations, the Applicant and CRT have not been able to reach agreement on the content of the protective provisions to be inserted into Part 3 of Schedule 13 of the draft DCO.</p> <p>The Applicant prefers that no amendments are made to Part 3, because Part 3 already reflects the provisions of the Knottingley DCO that the Applicant is prepared to accept. It is for this reason that the Applicant has therefore not made any amendments to Part 3.</p> <p>If, however, the ExA wishes to consider the amendments made to Part 3 by CRT (submitted by CRT at Deadline 6), the Applicant has attached to the Statement of the Final Position Concerning Outstanding Objections to CA (AD07.31) an amended form of the CRT Preferred Protective Provisions which reflects what could be acceptable to the Applicant, together with an explanation of why certain amendments by CRT are not acceptable or need to be changed to be relevant to the Application.</p> <p>The Applicant and CRT are submitting a joint statement in</p>

	Provision in the draft DCO (as at Deadline 7, document number AD03.01/REP7-002)	Amendment and, where relevant, numbering of new provision in revised draft DCO	Reason for amendment
			relation to the Original CRT Protective Provisions at deadline 8.
95	Part 5 (Protective provisions for the benefit of National Grid)	The Applicant's preferred version of these protective provisions have been inserted.	The full reasoning for this is set out in Schedule 4 of the Statement of the Final Position Concerning Outstanding Objections to CA (AD07.31)

**NORTH LONDON WASTE
AUTHORITY**

1b Berol House, 25 Ashley Road
Tottenham Hale
N17 9LJ

Telephone: 020 8489 5867

Fax: 020 8365 0254

Email: project@northlondonheatandpower.london