

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [North London HPP](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Fw: North London Heat and Power Project EN010071  
**Date:** 30 June 2016 13:36:37

---

My interest is to protect those of resident of Edmonton that have been left out for 50 years. It is to protect the interest of areas in midlands that have been starved of cash like Leicester, Birmingham, derby, hull. It is to get rid of undemocratic decision like Edmonton NWLA recycling plant and reinstating Edmonton Municipal Council.

Brexit has done one part to get rid of legislation that took as to EU but still remaining is the civic act 1963 is just not acceptable. We had to campaign hard for brexit to get rid of the 1972 EU legislation and the Civic act of 1963 should go. The reason why residents do not accept it is because it goes against consultation. While all in all part of UK can be consulted resident in Edmonton are like second class citizen. their local council pulled out of their control and decision that should be made locally is far away from them. This is after having history as old as stratford upon avon. Even maidenhead is smaller and still has local control. but look at edmonton. Other local councils. Walthamstow, Haringey, Barnet, Islington Camden, hackney and enfield make decision regarding the area ignoring local concerns. that is why the refuse NWLA Edmonton still exist and that is why no homes have never been build in the area to deal with homelessness. Instead they all pay for any homeless families with local connection to be moved as far as possible from the area to Walsall, or distant place. And all we need is control to get the area going. No that Civic act 1963 has to go and area control returned to how it was.

Actually we would want Middlesex back [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] It cannot be steady as you go as the model of 1963 has not worked but created unemployment and lack of spending in most area. It is just plain unacceptable and that is why I just do not see Theresa as the solution to this problem. it is only those in the brexit that can understand it. Even Stephen Crab. He should have understood this perfectly but did not. He campaigned on the remain ignoring concerns of his local area, unemployment, the port talbort being close. it is people like us the brexit who understood this and linked it to local issue, and no justification for continued funding of EU while areas like this are left out. If you cannot see poverty in your backyard, how can you then suddenly start to understand if you failed to grasp the relationship. Corbyn picked the Mp for Edmonton to give the illusion of this but that is not acceptable as well. And he knew very well the local concerns in Edmonton and sort of decided to distance himself from campaigning with PM. But he does not understand the problem and politics of the Edmonton NWLA recycling plant and the 7 borough councils. If he did and the local council understood the injustices of the plant they should be getting out of the areas fast. the plant represent lack of consultation and it represent 50 years of status quo and lack of consideration for some communities in Uk. It represents the unfairness of 1963 civic act which created poverty and continue to restrict social mobility.

Maidenhead is the same in space and number of resident like Edmonton. so why is Maidenhead a borough and Edmonton not?

Often legislation are not renege when it comes into effect. One has been renege which is the ones governing the Uk entry to EU.

Next is the civic act 1963 which also involved consultation of residents in issues that matter as Edmonton NWLA. Politically I will fight to make sure the site is used for housing and not refuse as was refused in 2010. It is just plain wrong an undemocratic to take advantage of lack of voice of residence or abuse the consultation rules or stamp against their objection to Edmonton NWLA

-----  
On Thu, 10/3/16, DAvid Arweny [REDACTED] wrote:

Subject: Fw: North London Heat and Power Project EN010071  
To:  
Cc: nlhpp@pins.gsi.gov.uk  
Date: Thursday, 10 March, 2016, 0:15

Sharon Igwiro, a 17-year-old student at Lee Valley, said that she was always worried about the younger children walking or taking the bus to school in the polluted air.

At least the Enfield MP is now appreciating what I have been banging on about that NWLA. Edmonton MP she uttered any world about this. none what so ever. I thought if you are representing an area that is one of the most important things to consider. There was discussion on improving the number of shops in the high street. I did not see the local MP for Edmonton in the meeting. These are some of the question we are beginning to ask. Did we really pick the right person for the area.

Anyway back to air quality. Local council which truly represent local people such as Gloucester council was on the side of residents. You know why because it is the resident that pay council tax for the local services. And to even show solidarity with then gave at least £2100 to campaign to local group to help campaign to improve the quality of air in their areas and help them in their campaign against incinerator by Veola. It was the same in Hertfield. In all these councils they did actually consult residents.

Now turn to NWLA Edmonton . They have decided to illegally go against the grain of democratic movement by ignoring the basics of consultation.

Their idea of consultation is similar to EU where you dilute the votes and ignore local residents. So they claim to have formed a company but have their local councillors employed in it.

All in an attempt to abuse the planning process. So you have the 7 council including Camden who have a say on air quality of residents in Edmonton. Look it is so similar to EU having control on UK is it not. And is that fair to residents in Edmonton. No . It is exactly the same reason why most are going to vote out.

And the reason why Council border have to be redrawn to abuse such misuse of council boundaries.

This is not consultation , and I do not know how a council like Camden can use its legal services to spoil air for resident in another London. The same goes to hackney, Islington which is Corbyns council, barnet ( all the rich council. They are so rich they do not want refuse in their area. It is the same in Edmonton too. We do not want you refuse in our area to)

It is similar to insider dealing. where the trader already know when the share price or information on how high a share would rise and when.

Similar to the Us pharmaceutical attempting to by the similar business in Ireland. Or that attempted purchase of Glaxo pharmaceutical.

Yes the council wants all wanting planning has to comply to their rule. But why should people comply when the council in this case is play outside the box bending rules to suit them and ignoring residents. Look at the other contract involving powerday Ltd. Did they ask councillors in the 7

council. Did they also ask residents in Edmonton.  
Nothing was received from the council and this is why this should be refused and once and for all.  
Since NWLA started no proper consultation has been carried. Instead they have continued to act seedy illegally outside due process.

It is not a mafioso running the show here. They should be forced to sell up and as a penalty residents compensated for each of the years they have soiled the air in the area. It is this abuse of system that makes all people so annoyed. It was wrong then and any variation now does not make it right any more. So go and visit the refusal plan and continue from there. It is not an infrastructure that benefits the local. Why because the council has been bending rules to make sure that few understand it.

So they have not increased the number of residents in the area because they feel that it would impact on their plan to have the NWLA at whatever cost regardless of residents. This goes to the grain of consultation. Edmonton has had the worse outcome from losing its local council. All decisions made regarding the area have been adverse and not beneficial to residents. Moving the council to Enfield has been abused as shown by the 7 council who behave like they control Edmonton.

Even the legal services is in Camden. It is as if Camden is running Edmonton and decisions about Edmonton.

Even Meridian water has not been built as yet and they continue to delay it because of this waste plan and yet they have got the money.

If you look to rugby they have built homes and not stalled local development on political grounds. So on that not again it is illegal and therefore not national infrastructure and should be dismissed from that category. With Enfield you cannot rule out corruption if you look at the list of councillors involved in it. [REDACTED]

--- On Wed, 2/3/16, North London HPP <NLHPP@pins.gsi.gov.uk> wrote:

> From: North London HPP <NLHPP@pins.gsi.gov.uk>  
> Subject: North London Heat and Power Project EN010071  
> To: "[REDACTED]"

> Date: Wednesday, 2 March, 2016, 15:12

>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>

> Dear Sir/Madam

>  
> North London Heat and Power  
> Project EN010071

>  
> Your reference:  
> 10031923

>  
> Please follow the link below to  
> view the Rule 8 Letter

>  
>  
> <http://infrastructure.planningportal.gov.uk/document/3640714>  
>  
>  
>  
> If this link does not open  
> automatically, please cut and paste it into your  
> browser.  
>  
> Yours faithfully  
>  
>  
> North London Heat and Power Project Team  
>  
> 3/18 Eagle Wing  
> The Planning Inspectorate  
> Temple Quay House  
> Temple Quay  
> Bristol  
> BS1 6PN  
> Email:  
> NLHPP@pins.gsi.gov.uk  
>  
> Helpline: 0303 444 5000  
> Web:  
> [www.planningportal.gov.uk/planninginspectorate](http://www.planningportal.gov.uk/planninginspectorate)  
> (Planning  
> Inspectorate casework and appeals)  
>  
> Web: [www.planningportal.gov.uk/infrastructure](http://www.planningportal.gov.uk/infrastructure)  
> (Planning  
> Inspectorate's National Infrastructure Planning  
> portal)  
  
>  
  
>  
>  
>