

From: Sukhpreet Khull [<mailto:Sukhpreet.Khull@london.gov.uk>]
Sent: 30 June 2016 16:21
To: Newman, Stephanie; KJ Johansson
Cc: Peter North; Andrew Richmond; Peter Heath; steensmedegaard@tfl.gov.uk
Subject: GLA final response to the North London Heat and Power Project prior to the final hearings 5-6 July 2016
Importance: High

Dear Stephanie and KJ,

Thank you for your earlier email of 27 June 2016 in response to my query about the forthcoming final examination hearings and whether the GLA would be required to attend. You mentioned that an agenda for the meeting of the 5th of July would be published on Tuesday 28 June and that this would help to decide whether attendance at the hearing would be necessary.

Having seen the agenda and noting the names of the authorities required to attend- the GLA does not feature. Although Officers are aware that this does not preclude our attendance, we are of the opinion that all the matters raised throughout the consultation period by the Inspector have been satisfactorily addressed by NLWA. (Separately, as the hearing on the 6 June 2016 concerns compulsory land acquisition, the GLA is aware that TfL (or their representatives) will be present on that day).

Officers have reviewed the responses provided by NLWA to the ExA's second written questions (2Q.2.11- bottom ash and 2Q.1.3- safeguarding of heat pipes) and are content with the responses provided.

In terms of bottom ash:-

- the applicant proposes to use this material as a secondary aggregate for road surfacing/construction projects and would not count it towards meeting apportionment targets- this is supported.

In terms of the safeguarding of heat pipe routes:-

- The GLA is interested in the on-site route being safeguarded to ensure the DH pipework can be easily installed at a later date and its route not fettered by other development that might detract from the pipe line's feasibility and viability. The applicant has set out clearly its approach in regard to CHP and how it intends to safeguard a heat network route once the other utilities are better defined. This is acceptable from the GLA point of view.
- The GLA will respond to NLWA's approach on their steam turbine – however this is very much a working level issue and the SoCG establishes the way forward.

Finally, as set out in the GLA's written representation paragraph 54 (conclusion), the applicant should be required to apply the guidance set out in the Control of Dust and Emissions During Construction and Demolition SPG in the DCO. This will meet the requirements of policy 7.14 of the London Plan.

I hope that the above clarifies the Inspector's concerns/questions.

Many Thanks,

Sukhi

Sukhpreet Khull

Senior Strategic Planner

Development & Projects

GREATERLONDONAUTHORITY

City Hall, The Queen's Walk, London SE1 2AA

Tel: 020 7983 4806

Fax: 020 7983 4706

http://www.london.gov.uk/mayor/planning_decisions