

From: Robert Singleton [<mailto:Robert.Singleton@enfield.gov.uk>]
Sent: 06 April 2016 22:23
To: North London HPP
Cc: Andy Bates; Andy Higham; Kevin Tohill
Subject: RE: Written Representations Deadline III - London Borough of Enfield - LIR and First Written Questions [SEC=PROTECT]
Importance: High

Classification: PROTECT

Dear Mr Hudson,

Please find attached the LIR and response to Inspector's First Questions submitted on behalf of the London Borough of Enfield. As discussed with Stephanie earlier, the NLWA and LBE are yet to agree the Statement of Common Ground, while the differences between the parties are modest, the Statement cannot be agreed at this time. I will be circulating the amended SoCG to the NLWA tomorrow with a view to agreement. I respectfully request that this late submission be allowed by the ExA. In consultation with the NLWA I am confident that the SoCG can be agreed in the next few days. I will update the ExA as matter progress.

Kind regards

Robert Singleton MRTPI
Principal Planning Officer
Development Management
London Borough of Enfield
Tel: 020 8379 3837
Fax: 020 8379 3811
E-mail: robert.singleton@enfield.gov.uk

Response to Inspector's First Questions for and on Behalf of the London Borough of Enfield

	Question to:	Question:	Response:
5.3	Environment Agency (EA) , Natural England (NE), Historic England (HE), Transport for London (TfL), Canal and River Trust and London Borough of Enfield (LBE)	Please confirm whether the proposals for consultation prior to and during construction and the measures proposed for environmental mitigation in the draft CoCP (APP-032) are satisfactory, and whether these are adequately secured through requirement 16 in schedule 2 of the draft DCO (APP-009).	This is acceptable
6.7	LBE and TfL	Paragraphs 10.3.8 – 10.3.11 of the ES Vol. 2 (APP-041) describe the main assumptions used to derive the number of vehicle trips which would be generated by the development and their distribution to the local highway network. Please confirm agreement with these assumptions and the conclusions of the assessment.	This is agreed in principle, but the vehicles using Ardra Road exit will need further examination
6.8	LBE and TfL	Paragraph 1.7.2 of the ES Vol. 2 Appendix 10.1 (Transport Assessment Methodology (APP-048)) explains that the cumulative effects of the development have been determined by utilising trip generation figures from the relevant transport assessments or through trip generation estimates carried out for these developments specifically for this ES. Please confirm agreement with this approach and with the cumulative effects set out in section 10.12 of the ES Vol. 2 (APP-041).	Agreed – the trips for this Ecopark are based on waste volumes though and not similar developments, this is considered to be more robust
6.9	LBE and TfL	Please confirm agreement with the proposed measures for mitigating/managing the potentially adverse effects on transport described in section 10.6 of the ES Vol. 2 (APP-041) through the:	Agreed. To be secured via s106 (+ other measures)

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CoCP; • Operational Travel Plan; and • Delivery and Servicing Plan. 	
6.10	LBE and TfL	Please confirm agreement with paragraphs i.vii.iii and iv of the Transport Assessment (APP-030) that for all stages of the project the additional traffic generated by the project will not result in any significant increases on the local highway network, and there would be a negligible effect on capacity at the Cooks Ferry Roundabout and the junction of A1055 Meridian Way with Ardra Road.	Agreed
6.11	LBE and TfL	Please confirm agreement with the proposed operational car parking provision set out in section 4.4 of the Transport Assessment (APP-030) which would be in excess of London Plan requirements, but a reduction of 80 spaces on the existing provision at the EcoPark site.	This cannot be agreed at this point
10.3	Applicant, HE and LBE	Please confirm that the arrangements in the draft DCO (APP-009) to protect heritage assets and archaeological interests are satisfactory.	This is satisfactory
10.10	LBE and TfL	Please confirm that the provisions of articles 13 (6) and 38 (3) of the draft DCO (APP-009), are acceptable.	<p>Article 13 (6) – This is not standard drafting and should be removed.</p> <p>Article 38 – This article is unnecessary. Instead the provisions of sections 78 and 79 of the TCPA 1990 should be imported.</p> <p>If the article and schedule 3 are to be accepted, sub-paragraph (3) is not appropriate. Deemed consent is not utilised anywhere within the statutory regime and is not required in this instance.</p>
10.14	LBE	Please confirm that article 32 (3) of the draft DCO (APP-009) is acceptable, given that it effectively disapplies the Hedgerows Regulations 1997.	This is unacceptable. This article should be removed.

--	--	--	--