

From: DAvid Arweny [REDACTED]  
Sent: 09 March 2016 23:30  
To: North London HPP  
Subject: > <http://infrastructure.planningportal.gov.uk/document/3640714>  
Project EN010071

> Your reference: > 10031923

Proper consultation means that you do consult the local resident. Enfield did not do that before they presented the plan to you. It is only when I started challenging their consultation process that they started to send correspondence to residency in Edmonton. It is when they suggested anyone who wanted to resist to do so by email all in tiny wee little prints knowing well and clearly that most resident in Edmonton would not attempt to even or if they decided to may not find the information in the big web site. It is only when I sent the information to the government that they started giving the illusion of consultation being carried out and backdating postage of information on web sites. It is something out of this world and unprofessional for a local council that the government has given statutory power. Everything about NWLA Edmonton has been illegal and this heat plan is like a face saving attempt to come out clean. but no. if it was wrong all along it cannot continue to be right if due process was not followed. And even variation of any kind has to be have resident consulted. Compare this consultation with those consulted in powerday case in Enfield highway. And they knew that this is coming under heat and decided to get on with that illegally as well without even consulting residents in ponders end or even Edmonton. Similar to this as well

Sharon Igwiro, a 17-year-old student at Lee Valley, said that she was always worried about the younger children walking or taking the bus to school in the polluted air.

At least the Enfield MP is now appreciating what I have been banging on about that NWLA. Edmonton MP she uttered any word about this. none what so ever. I thought if you are representing an area that is one of the most important things to consider. There was discussion on improving the number of shops in the high street. I did not see the local MP for Edmonton in the meeting. These are some of the question we are beginning to ask. Did we really pick the right person for the area.

Anyway back to air quality. Local council which truly represent local people such as Gloucester council was on the side of residents. You know why because it is the resident that pay council tax for the local services. And to even show solidarity with then gave at least £2100 to campaign to local group to help campaign to improve the quality of air in their areas and help them in their campaign against incinerator by Veola. It was the same in Hertfield. In all these councils they did actually consult residents.

Now turn to NWLA Edmonton. They have decided to illegally go against the grain of democratic movement by ignoring the basics of consultation.

Their idea of consultation is similar to EU where you dilute the votes and ignore local residents. So they claim to have formed a company but have their local councillors employed in it.

All in an attempt to abuse the planning process. So you have the 7 council including Camden who have a say on air quality of residents in Edmonton. Look it is so similar to EU having control on UK is it not. And is that fair to residents in Edmonton. No . It is exactly the same reason why most are going to vote out.

And the reason why Council border have to be redrawn to abuse such misuse of council boundaries.

This is not consultation , and I do not know how a council like Camden can use its legal services to spoil air for resident in another London. The same goes to Hackney, Islington which is Corbyns council, Barnet ( all the rich council. They are so rich they do not want refuse in their area. It is the same in Edmonton too. We do not want you refuse in our area to)

It is similar to insider dealing. where the trader already know when the share price or information on how high a share would rise and when.

Similar to the US pharmaceutical attempting to buy the similar business in Ireland. Or that attempted purchase of Glaxo pharmaceutical.

Yes the council wants all wanting planning has to comply to their rule. But why should people comply when the council in this case is play outside the box bending rules to suit them and ignoring residents. Look at the other contract involving Powerday Ltd. Did they ask councillors in the 7 council. Did they also ask residents in Edmonton.

Nothing was received from the council and this is why this should be refused and once and for all.

Since NWLA started no proper consultation has been carried. Instead they have continue to act seedy illegally outside due process.

It is not a mafioso running the show here. They should be forced to sell up and as a penalty resident compensated for each of the years they have soiled the air in the area. It is this abuse of system that makes all people so annoy. It was wrong then and any variation now does not make it right any more. So go and visit the refusal plan and continue from there. It is not an infrastructure that benefit the local. Why because the council has been bending rules to make sure that few understand it.

So they have not increased the number of resident in the area because they feel that it would impact on their plan to have the NWLA at whatever cost regardless of resident. This goes to the grain of consultation. Edmonton has had the worse outcome from losing its local council. All decision made regarding the area has been adverse and not beneficial to resident. moving the council to Enfield has been abused as shown by the 7 council who behave like they control Edmonton. Even the legal services is in Camden. it is as if Camden is running Edmonton and decision about Edmonton.

Even Meridian water has not been built as yet and they continue to delay it because of this waste plan and yet they have got the money.

if you look to rugby they have built homes and not stall local development on political grounds. So on that not again it is illegal and therefore not national infrastructure and should be dismissed from that category. with Enfield you

cannot rule out corruption if you look at the list of councillors involved in it. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]