

Appendix 1.4 Consultation Matrix

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
1	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council (NPTCBC)	Paul Coleman	A pre-application meeting was held with NPTCBC on 4 th March 2013 to discuss the project. A draft version of the Scoping Report (excluding Chapter 14, which was not sent as part of the draft) was provided to NPTCBC with an informal pre-application response provided on the 28 th April 2013.		Chapter 1
2	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council (NPTCBC)	Laura Palmer, Biodiversity Officer	Telephone conversation 13 th May 2013 between Laura Palmer (NPTBC) and Ursula Jones (AECOM) regarding the translocation of kidney vetch.	LP recommended translocation of kidney vetch within the site boundary or TATA Steel Works site as opposed to a receptor site outside of the site boundary/TATA Steel Works site.	Chapter 6
3	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council (NPTCBC)	Paul Coleman and NPTCBC County Ecologist	Consultation with NPTCBC resulted in additional viewpoints being agreed for the assessment of landscape and visual effects and further consultation undertaken with the NPTCBC County Ecologist on the 30 th May 2013 regarding breeding and nesting bird surveys.		Chapter 6 and Chapter 7
4	Planning Inspectorate (PINs)	PINs Case Officer Oliver Blower	A pre-application meeting with PINs was held on 4 th June 2013.		Appendix 1.5
5	Natural Resource Wales	Jenny Dickinson	A meeting with NRW was held on the 26 th June 2013 and the same meeting, the draft Scoping Report (excluding Chapter 14, which was not part of the draft) provided to NPTCBC was submitted for NRW comments on the 27 th June 2013.		Appendix 1.8

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
			NRW's response to the draft Scoping Report was provided on the 19 th July 2013.		
6	Planning Inspectorate	PINs Case Officer Oliver Blower	Meeting held on 12 th September 2013 to discuss Scoping Response.		Appendix 1.5
7	Natural Resource Wales	Barry Cox, Flood Risk Analysis, Maes Newydd, Llandarcy, Neath Port Talbot, SA10 6JQ	27 th September 2013: Email submitted by (AECOM) to BC, CC'd to JD, regarding the scope of the flood risk section of the submitted Scoping Report. Attached flood risk section of the Scoping Report and requested details of whether modelling of the flood risk would still be required.		Chapter 13
8	Natural Resource Wales	Barry Cox, Flood Risk Analysis, Maes Newydd, Llandarcy, Neath Port Talbot, SA10 6JQ	30 th September 2013: Response from BC to AECOM outlining that further details were required on building footprint and that the model would have to be validated.		Chapter 13
9	Natural Resource Wales	Barry Cox, Flood Risk Analysis, Maes Newydd, Llandarcy, Neath Port Talbot, SA10 6JQ	30 th September 2013: AECOM responded with Scoping Report Figure 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 and asked for clarification regarding the validation and requested details of the contact for the modelling.		Chapter 13
10	Neath Port Talbot CBC Highways	Terry Davies, Rob Hayman NPTCBC Highways	Traffic links for inclusion in assessment. Data source for traffic data, including growth factors.	AECOM suggested the route between the site and junctions 38 and 40 of the M4. Initially Oakwood Road was proposed, however NPTCBC advised that the level crossing is likely to remain closed in future. Terry Davies	Chapter 10

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
				<p>requested that the route between the site and the M4 Junction 41 was also included. The study area was agreed by email from Terry Davies on 30/09/13.</p> <p>This email (30/09/13) also agreed the use of modelled data from the PDR project for existing and future traffic flows. It was agreed that traffic growth factors used in the PDR modelling could be used pro-rata where the assessment years do not match the modelled years.</p>	
11	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council (NPTCBC)	M. Mohammed, Contaminated Land Officer	Telephone conversation 14 th October 2013 between M. Mohammed (NPTBC) and Emma Ibbotson (AECOM) regarding an Environmental Data Search. Email submitted by (AECOM), regarding the Environmental Data Search, including redline boundary map from the scoping report and address.		Chapter 9
12	Neath Port Talbot CBC Highways	Rob Hayman NPTCBC Highways	Traffic data information requested from Rob Hayman and received 29/10/2013 Scoping out operational traffic.	AECOM presented evidence to NPTCBC that there would be minimal net change in traffic generated by the proposed development compared with the existing facility in the operational phase. It was agreed by Terry Davies, by email on 11/11/2013, that operational traffic could be scoped out of the ES.	Chapter 10

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
13	Glamorgan-Gwent Archaeological Trust	Neil Maylan	In November 2013 Neil Maylan advised via telephone conversation that he would like to see a draft of the cultural heritage report prior to final submission and that we should contact him if we require anything further or establish whether GI is taking place and a confirmed construction methodology.	The cultural heritage report was sent to GGAT prior to submission on the 2 nd June 2014.	Chapter 11
14	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council (NPTCBC)	Martin Jervis	NPTCBC were contacted via email on 04/11/13 to obtain details of ordinary watercourses and highway drainage of relevance to the proposed development.	Details of the embankment, culverts, and the railway crossing near to Cefn Gwrgan Road constructed for Harbour Way have been provided by NPTCBC.	Chapter 13
15	Planning Inspectorate (PINs)	PINs Case Officer Oliver Blower	Scoping Opinion received 7 th November 2013.		Appendix 1.2
16	Natural Resources Wales and	Richard Griffiths and David Willey	Consultations via phone calls 08/11/2013 were undertaken with both NPTCBC and NRW to discuss the approach to the modelling.		Chapter 5
17	Neath Port Talbot CBC	Martin Hooper	Operational traffic effects were agreed to be scoped out via email received 11/11/2013 from Martin Hooper at NPTCBC.		Chapter 5
18	Glamorgan-Gwent Archaeological Trust	Neil Maylan	Email received from Neil Maylan on 15 th November 2013 regarding the ASIDOHL.	Neil Maylan advised that due to the heavily industrialised nature of the current area, an ASIDOHL was not warranted. However, it is unclear if Neil has seen the scoping report or	Chapter 11

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
				photomontages of the proposed development.	
19	Natural Resources Wales	Nick Jenkins	Phone conversation on 18/11/2013. Discussed noise monitoring plan which was originally issued on 08/11/2013.	We have gone through his various comments and scope has been generally agreed – his main comment is that the proposed 24-hour monitoring period should be extended to 1-week in order to account for variations in the noise environment over the week as well as to account for changes in weather conditions.	Chapter 8
20	Natural Resource Wales	Jenny Dickinson, Douglas Cowie, David Watkins, Lloyd Jones and Mark Broom	A meeting was held between AECOM, Tata and NRW on 25/11/2013.	Meeting to primarily discuss water quality, abstraction and discharge and the environmental permit in relation to the proposed development, but also with brief discussion over a request for ASIDOHL and comments on flood risk.	Chapter 11, 13, 14 and 15.
21	Natural Resource Wales	Jenny Dickinson	Email correspondence between Poppy Michelsen and JD NRW following a meeting between AECOM, Tata and NRW on 25/11/2013.	Poppy Michelsen sent the meeting minutes to NRW following the meeting on 25/11/2013 and also requested the water balance model from the River Afan Management Group via NRW in an email sent on the 4/12/2013. JD responded with initial comments on the meeting minutes and provided contact details for Lloyd Jones at NRW in an email received 5/12/2013. NRW requested that AECOM/Tata present the modelling results to the River Afan Management Group. JD also requested a copy of the submission timeline / anticipated response deadlines. Final comments on	Chapter 14

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
				meeting minutes from NRW were received on 13/12/2013.	
22	Natural Resource Wales	Lloyd Jones	Email correspondence between Poppy Michelsen and LJ at NRW over obtaining the water balance model.	Email sent by Poppy Michelsen on 9/12/2013 requesting the water balance model from LJ who responded on 9/12/2013 stating that Tata should have a copy of the model. Further email correspondence proceeded between Poppy Michelsen and Richard Leonard at Tata who did not have the water balance model but requested this at the River Afan Management Group meeting on 11/12/2013. Richard Leonard provided AECOM with contact details for Gideon Carpenter at NRW who has the model and explained the data for the model is currently being updated.	Chapter 14
23	Natural Resource Wales	Gideon Carpenter	Email and telephone correspondence between Poppy Michelsen and GC regarding the water balance model.	Email sent by Poppy Michelsen on 17/12/2013 to GC requesting the model and information on when the updated data will be available. Response received from GC on 19/12/2013 explaining that the data updates won't be ready for the early New Year (2014), however the existing model should provide useful initial outputs and the findings can be validated against the updated data in the spring. Poppy Michelsen sent an email on 19/12/2013 agreeing with this suggested approach. A telephone conversation was held between	Chapter 14

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
				<p>Poppy Michelsen and GC on the 19/12/2013 regarding the options for sending over the water balance model. It was agreed that GC will send over relevant reports to the model via email and send the model on a disk to AECOMs Cardiff Office. GC confirmed the model is in the form of excel spreadsheets developed by Halcrow in 2009 and to telephone in the new year to discuss how the model is to be run. It was also confirmed that updates to the model should be available in March 2014.</p> <p>Email received from GC on 19/12/2013 confirming telephone discussions and attaching relevant reports. A confirmation email was also received on 20/12/2013 confirming the model will be sent on disk. This was received by AECOM on 02/01/2014.</p>	
24	Natural Resources Wales and Neath Port Talbot CBC	Jenny Dickinson, Nick Jenkins NRW Paul Colman NPT CBC	Sent via email updated noise monitoring plan on 20/12/2013.	Monitoring plan was revised to include comments from Nick Jenkins as per phone call on 18/11/2013. Awaiting any further comments.	Chapter 8
25	Natural Resources Wales and Neath Port Talbot CBC	Jenny Dickinson NRW Paul Colman NPT CBC	Dialogue by email on 20 th December 2013 for confirmation of noise monitoring methodology and measurement locations.	5 locations proposed. 1 long term 24hr duration, 4 short term daytime/night-time.	Chapter 8

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
26	Neath Port Talbot CBC	Martin Hooper Pollution Control Officer	Phone conversation 07/01/2014. Requested use of weather data at Port Talbot fire station and installation of noise monitor at weather station location.	Weather data is available from NPTCBC website – will use to support noise monitoring surveys. Have agreed with Martin Hooper that okay to place long-term monitor at weather station location. To contact Martin prior to survey date (TBC) to confirm access.	Chapter 8
27	Natural Resource Wales	Jenny Dickinson	Email correspondence between JD, GC and Poppy Michelsen regarding water balance modelling scenarios.	Email sent to JD, LJ and GC on 21/01/2014 outlining proposed scenarios to be run through the model. Comments on this proposal from GC were received via email from JD on 05/02/2014. Comments have been noted and will be incorporated into the scenarios prior to the presentation of model outputs to the River Afan Water Management Group on 20 th February.	Chapter 14
28	Section 42 Consultation	Consultation with the prescribed list of bodies set out in Schedule 1 to the Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 ("APFP Regulations") and	The Applicant carried out a statutory consultation exercise pursuant to section 42 of the Planning Act 2008 ("the Act") between 27 th January and 14 th March 2014.	Section 42 responses were received from Natural Resources Wales (NRW), Neath port Talbot County Borough Council (NPTCBC), Bridgend County Borough Council (BCBC), City and County of Swansea (CCS), Coal Authority, Network Rail, Office of Rail Regulation, NATS Safeguarding, Civil Aviation Authority, Crown Estate, ESP Utilities, Brecon Beacons National Park Authority (BBNPA), Public Health England, South West Wales Integrated Transport Consortium (SWWITCH), Welsh Government	Throughout the ES

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
		with host and neighbouring Local Authorities and those who have an interest in the land affected by the proposed application.		and Western Power Distribution (WPD).	
30	Neath Port Talbot CBC	NPTCBC EHO Officer	Consultations were held on the phone with NPTCBC following the submission of the PEIR as part of the S42 consultation between 27 th January and 14 th March 2014 to gauge the reaction of the NPTCBC's Environmental Health Officer (EHO) on the contents of the assessment.	NPTCBC's EHO confirmed that the PEIR addressed those items that had been requested within the councils scoping response and confirmed that they are happy with the assessment undertaken and the results presented in the PEIR. NPTCBC EHO did, however, note that he is not expert on industrial dispersion modelling and that he could not comment on the modelling undertaken to generate the results presented. NPTCBC would, therefore, accept the outcome of the NRW Air Quality Modelling Assessment Unit (AQMAU) review of the dispersion modelling.	Chapter 5
31	Natural Resource Wales and NPTCBC	Laura Palmer, Biodiversity Officer (NPTCBC), Michael Launder (ML) Senior Conservation Officer (NPTCBC), Jenny Dickinson, Planning	Meeting with Natural Resources Wales (NRW) and Neath Port Talbot Borough Council (NPTBC), held at the Natural Resources Wales Llandarcy office on 14 th February 2014 to discuss the Ecology and Air Quality chapters of the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR)	Discussed the translocation of the kidney vetch on site, the location to be confirmed as soon as possible. After Phase 1 walkover of cable route in March the areas in which the kidney vetch can be translocated will be identified and confirmed with TATA. A potential invertebrate sanctuary to the north of	Chapter 5 and Chapter 6

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
		Liaison Officer (NRW) and Alex Harding, Environmental Impacts Advisor (NRW).	and the Habitats Regulations Assessment.	<p>the site was preliminarily identified. The kidney vetch will need to be translocated in late autumn ensuring that the roots of the plants are removed intact with the plant. Landscaping should be as natural as possible, preferably allowing areas of vegetation to regenerate naturally rather than by seeding.</p> <p>Potential pollution pathway linkage of a ditch that flows between the cable route and Margam Moors. It is not known which way the ditch is flowing so reasonable measures to prevent pollution and runoff during construction should be detailed in the ES.</p> <p>An invasive shrimp species (<i>Dikerogammarus villosus</i>) is present in the Eglwys Nunydd Reservoir, Margam and mitigation should be outlined in the ES to prevent its spread into the wider aquatic environment.</p> <p>Issue draft HRA document to NRW.</p> <p>It was requested that all communications should be sent to JD in the first instance with other interested parties cc'd in.</p> <p>The EIA should ensure that the benefits and positive effects in relation to air quality of the</p>	

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
				<p>proposed development should be made clear. AH also suggested that it could be worth comparing the volume of process gas through the flares before and after development.</p> <p>Recommended that APIS critical load function tool should be used instead of AQTAG 06, which allows a single figure to be produced for acid deposition, combining both NO_x and SO_x.</p> <p>If revised figures are below 1% then liaison with NRW will be undertaken to screen these sites out.</p>	
32	Natural Resource Wales	Jenny Dickinson, Gideon Carpenter	Conference call held on 18/02/2014 between Poppy Michelsen, George Heritage, Jenny Dickinson and Gideon Carpenter to discuss water balance modelling scenarios.	PM requested a conference call with GC via email on the 14/02/2014 to discuss scenarios to be modelled in response to GC comments received by AECOM on 05/02/2014. Clarification was provided by GC to PM and GH on how to input new abstraction data and variable residual flow over Greenpark weir data into the model. GC requested that a scenario was run using the old fixed residual flow to compare the difference against a new variable residual flow, which takes into account the new fish pass installed over the weir. GC provided clarification that he would like to see three scenarios run in the model for proposed operations; a worst case scenario (without planned improvement	Chapter 14

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
				works) and two best case scenarios (with planned improvement works and with and without Tata's current impoundment rate). It was confirmed between GC and PM that a commissioning and decommissioning scenario was not required to be modelled. GC had a request from a colleague that change in temperature of discharges to the Port Talbot Dock is looked at and possible ways to manage this is investigated. PM stated this was not possible before the presentation to the River Afan Management Group on 20 th February 2014 and that it has been previously agreed with NRW in a meeting held on 25 th November 2013 that the priority of concern is the effects of abstraction from the dock feeder and improvement works on the River Afan rather than the ecological impacts on the Dock.	
33	Neath Port Talbot CBC Highways	Terry Davies NPTCBC Highways	Chris Carter (AECOM) emailed Terry Davies (19/02/2014) regarding the Highways section of the PEIR and its acceptability to NPTCBC Highways, and whether any further inputs were required.	TD responded to CC email 19/02/2014 stating that he has supplied a positive response to planning and had no adverse comments. It is considered that common ground has been reached and the application is acceptable to NPTCBC in highways terms.	Chapter 10
34	Natural Resource Wales and River Afan Management Group	NRW: Jenny Dickinson, Gideon Carpenter. River Afan	Presentation of water balance modelling results to the River Afan Management Group on 20 th February 2014.	Scenarios run through the model were presented to the group and a discussion was held over how the proposed abstraction hierarchy system can be implemented	Chapter 14

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
		Management Group: NRW, Associated British Ports (ABP), NPTCBC, Afan Valley Angling Club.		including agreement of the trigger mechanisms. It was agreed that the River Afan would be the primary source of abstraction, with the Port Talbot Dock as the secondary back-up water supply and the Nant Ffrwdwyllt only used as a back-up if Tata experience problems with water quality in the dock. It was discussed that NRW would monitor the River Afan flows upstream of Tata's abstraction at the Marcroft gauging station and issue an operating advisory notice to Tata when low flows are not expected to meet the abstraction requirements. A commitment was made to agree trigger mechanisms and points of contact outside the planning application. ABP requested clarification on anomalous dock level results and clarification on the volumes of abstracted dock water returned to the dock. It was agreed technical notes on this would be issued.	
35	Glamorgan-Gwent Archaeological Trust	Judith Doyle	Email correspondence between Judith Doyle and the Applicant regarding Copper Works on the 3rd March 2014.	GGAT voiced concern over possible remnants of 17th-18th century works surviving in development site. Depending on the method of construction, further archaeological works may be required.	Chapter 11
36	Natural Resources Wales and Neath Port Talbot County	Paul Coleman (NPTCBC) and Jenny Dickinson	Regular progress meetings were held leading up to the submission of the DCO. A meeting was held on 5 th March 2014 to	Further meetings were held on 26 th march 2014 and 16 th April (NRW only), 1 st May 2014 to discuss cumulative viewpoints and 19 th	Chapter 1, Appendix 1.6, 1.7 and

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
	Borough Council (NPTCBC)	(NRW)	outline the phased approach. Full technical briefing notes were provided to gain additional comments from the consultees.	June to discuss draft ES chapter responses.	1.8
37	Natural Resource Wales and Associated British Ports(ABP)	NRW: Jenny Dickinson, Gideon Carpenter APB: Bob Slorach	Two technical notes on updated modelling work and potential effects tables were issued to NRW and ABP via email by Poppy Michelsen on 07/03/2014 and 14/03/2014. Comments received from JD at NRW on 28/03/2014. Comments received from BS at ABP on 27/03/2014. Meeting held on 9/04/2014 with NRW and ABP to discuss updates to modelling and comments received from technical notes.	<p>Comments from NRW on potential effects tables and technical notes included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confirmation that no new abstraction licences / no abstraction licence amendments are required; • Confirmation that anomalous results from modelling on dock level need to be considered in more detail before further comments are provided; and • Confirmation that low flow monitoring and advisory system is an operational management procedure and further discussions on how this can be formalised are welcomed. <p>Comments from ABP included requests for further clarification on modelling work and the proposed abstraction / discharge regime. During the meeting held on 9/03/2014 clarification was provided to ABP on the proposed abstraction / discharge regime and what this means for ABP in terms of dock level and extant agreements between ABP and Tata. NRW advised that anomalous modelling results on dock level are a result of prioritising a high flow level on the River Afan,</p>	Chapter 14

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
				which would decrease dock level. This isn't a true representation of the proposed operations and so NRW requested the modelling scenarios are re-run based on a new flow requirement for the river. NRW are to provide details of this new flow requirement and AECOM are to re-run the scenarios through the model and issue a further technical note to ABP and NRW for comment.	
38	Glamorgan-Gwent Archaeological Trust	Judith Doyle	Dialogue at Stakeholder workshop held on 13 th March 2014.	GI – GGAT recommended archaeological monitoring of any GI works and a review of any historic data. This will enable better understanding of truncation, overburden and archaeological potential.	Chapter 11
39	Natural Resources Wales	Jenny Dickinson, Planning Liaison Officer	S42 Consultation response from NRW on the 13 th March 2014 included the following: <i>With regard to the potential impact on fisheries, reference should be made to paragraph 6.8.3, Table 6.9 (under the heading of 'Ditch') which is to be partially infilled. Prior to this activity being carried out, a survey should be undertaken to ensure the ditch does not contain either fish or eels. Currently there is an EEC Directive /requirement to avoid harm to eels and they must be removed before any infill takes place.</i>	Follow up email to NRW received the following information: Eels are understood to travel distances across land between water bodies. NRW suggested that rather than a survey, the very short area affected be in-filled by hand to a depth sufficient to dry the wet area. This will allow any eels that may be present to escape. After which the ditch can be filled. This method will help ensure there is no harm to any eels that may be present.	Chapter 6

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
40	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council (NPTCBC)	Rebecca Sharp, Biodiversity Officer	Telephone conversation at 15:20 on 13 th March 2014 to discuss the reptile translocation for the Preliminary Distributor Road in 2009/2010, the proposed cable route and the potential to recommend habitat management without the need to conduct additional reptile surveys.	<p>The PDR reptile translocation in 2009/2010 removed 1000s of slow worms from the vegetated area to the north of the proposed cable route, adjacent to the railway line.</p> <p>Trapping continued for over 90 days with no clear days, at which point Rebecca allowed the trapping to stop and the construction to start as there were safety issues regarding the steepness of the bank and works next to the active railway line.</p> <p>The translocation had maxed out 1 receptor site near Junction 38 of the M4, and an emergency receptor site to the top of the county in Banwen was used.</p> <p>It has been a number of years since the translocation and slow worms were still present on the site (i.e. no clear trapping days in 2009/2010). Therefore, it is possible that now there is a population breeding present in the vegetated area along the proposed cable route.</p> <p>Without surveys the reptile population size is not known (and it could be high, given the</p>	Chapter 6

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
				previous numbers at the site), and as such NPTBC cannot agree to habitat management without the necessary surveys to inform the proposed mitigation.	
41	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council (NPTCBC)	Michael Launder, Senior Conservation Officer	Email conversation on 14 th March 2014 regarding air quality effects on Cwm Du Woodlands SSSI and other designated sites.	<p>Response from ML stated:</p> <p><i>...the Environment Agency H1 Environmental Risk Assessment Guidance (Annex F - Air Emissions) advises that SSSI >2km from the installation do not need to be considered with regard to air quality and deposition effects.</i></p> <p><i>Given that Cwm Du Woodlands SSSI is >10km from the site, we are not of the opinion that it requires further consideration with regard to air quality and deposition effects for the proposed TATA Internal Power Generation project.</i></p>	Chapter 6
42	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council (NPTCBC)	Laura Palmer, Biodiversity Officer	Email conversation on 21 st and 24 th March 2014 regarding S42 Consultation response from NPTBC that requested a survey for shrill and brown banded carder bees.	<p>LP responded:</p> <p><i>I haven't seen the official responses but my actual comments were</i></p> <p><i>If further surveys are planned it is suggested that they include surveys for Shrill and Brown-banded carder bees and these are considered in any future landscaping proposals.</i></p> <p><i>I consider the surveys adequate and targeted</i></p>	Chapter 6

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
				<i>bee surveys are only suggested if other surveys are to be done. I think something was lost in translation somewhere. I've copied the planning officer in so they are aware of this.</i>	
43	Planning Inspectorate (PINs)	PINs Case Officer Tracey Williams	A meeting was held on 24 th March 2014 to discuss S42 responses and progress of application		Appendix 1.5
44	Glamorgan-Gwent Archaeological Trust	Judith Doyle	Phone and email correspondence 25/03/2014 regarding an ASIDOHL.	ASIDOHL – Judith Doyle recommended that an ASIDOHL was completed due to the proximity of the development to the boundary of the Mynydd Margam Registered Historic Landscape. However, on further consultation, Judith confirmed that an ASIDOHL was not needed.	Chapter 11
45	County Bird Recorder	Mark Hipkin	As a result of the S42 Consultation, Welsh Government requested further consultation with the County Bird Recorder Mark Hipkin regarding the increasing population of the very rare Dartford Warbler on the hillside inland of the site.	Telephone conversation 25/03/2014: Mark Hipkin confirmed that the proposed development will not have an effect on the Dartford warbler. Follow-up email to confirm in writing sent 03/04/2014; response confirming on: 03/04/2014	Chapter 6
46	Natural Resource Wales and Associated British Ports	NRW: Jenny Dickinson, Gideon Carpenter APB: Bob Slorach	Meeting held 9 th April 2014 with NRW and ABP to discuss surface water environment assessment and water balance modelling.	ABP also stated in this meeting that they had not received the PEIR. This was investigated and it was found that the contact details provided by PINS included a contact that had retired some time ago and so the PEIR was not opened and returned to sender. Therefore the Applicant provided ABP with a copy of the PEIR on the 28 th April 2014 and were given	Chapter 14

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
				42 days consultation period until the 9 th June 2014 to respond. ABP responded on the 4 th July 2014. Details of their comments are provided within Volume 3, Appendix 1.11. The Applicant responded on 17 th July 2014 where ABP were informed of NRW's response approving the water balance model. The Applicant seeks to consult with ABP as the DCO progresses and will seek to resolve any additional queries on the water balance model.	
47	Natural Resource Wales	Gideon Carpenter	Email received from Gideon Carpenter on 11/04/2014 detailing a summary of proposed abstraction discharge regime and details for the amended residual flow to be used in the model.		Chapter 14
48	Natural Resources Wales	Doug Cowie, Mark Broom and Gareth Lewis.	Meeting held on 16 th April 2014 between the Applicant and NRW with AECOM's Air Quality specialist (Patrick Froggatt) in attendance.	Meeting was held to discuss the permit application and the Air Quality modelling in response to NRW S42 comments on the PEIR.	Chapter 5
49	PINS, NRW, NPTCBC, Welsh Government and GGAT	Tracey Williams (PINs), Jenny Dickinson (NRW), Paul Coleman (NPTCBC), Welsh Government and Judith Doyle (GGAT)	A round table meeting and site visit was held on the 28 th April 2014.		Appendix 1.5, 1.7, 1.8 and 1.10

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
50	Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council (NPTCBC)	Laura Palmer, Biodiversity Officer	<p>Telephone conversation on 13th May 2014 at 10:30am to discuss the reptile trapping and translocation programme.</p> <p>AECOM Ecology suggested doubling the refugia and halving the time; i.e. from 50/ha for 60 days to 100/ha for 30 days, with 3 consecutive days of no capture before trapping ceasing. Laura Palmer agreed but stated 10 consecutive days of no capture should be used.</p>	Agreed to publish the recommended trapping in report and ES. 100 refugia/ha for 30 days with 10 consecutive days of no capture before trapping ceases.	Chapter 6
51	Natural Resources Wales	Jenny Dickinson	A new hydraulic model was presented to NRW for their validation on the 14th May 2014. It was then confirmed on the 23rd May 2014 that NRW agree with the findings of the FCA and were satisfied with the proposed development can comply with the requirements of TAN15.		Chapter 13
52	Natural Resources Wales and Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council (NPTCBC)	Louise Edwards (NRW) and Paul Coleman (NPTCBC)	<p>Due to change in NRW case officer, an informal meeting was held on the 29th May 2014, with NPTCBC in attendance, to meet the new case officer.</p> <p>The draft ES chapters were provided to NRW and NPTCBC at a meeting on the 29th May 2014. Comments on the draft ES chapter were presented by NRW and NPTCBC on the 19th June 2014.</p>		Appendix 1.7 and 1.8
53	Natural Resource	Louise Edwards	Correspondence between Catherine	AECOM provided the water balance model to	Chapter 14

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
	Wales and Planning Inspectorate (PINs)	(PINs) and Gideon Carpenter (NRW)	Mackay of AECOM and Gideon Carpenter / Louise Edwards of NRW.	NRW on the 29th May 2014, together with Appendix 14.2 (detailing modelling methods and results) and Appendix 14.6 and 14.7 (detailing the proposed developments total gross abstractions, and either the reduction or increase from the existing abstractions as a percentage). These appendices explained that the model was still showing an anomalous result, even after the input as recommended by NRW at the 9th April 2014 meeting. NRW provided its response on the 25th June 2014 explaining that whilst it recognises that the anomaly was still there, the abstractions were still within the allowable limits of the Applicant's existing Permit and the anomaly was of low risk to the actual results.	
54	Glamorgan-Gwent Archaeological Trust (GGAT).	Judith Doyle	The draft ES chapter was submitted to GGAT on the 2 nd June 2014 for comment including the introductory chapters (chapters 1 to 3) and relevant figures and appendices. A response from received on the 9 th June 2014 confirmed that there was no objection to the conclusions of this chapter and recommended Requirements to be included on the DCO.		Chapter 11
55	Planning Inspectorate (PINs)	PINs Case Officer Tracey Williams	A teleconference was held to discuss the project with PINs on the 16 th June 2014.		Appendix 1.5
56	Planning	PINs Case Officer	A pre-submission meeting was held on		Appendix

Table 1.4.1 Consultation Matrix					
Ref	Consultee	Contact	Information Requested or Submitted / Record of Discussion	Notes	ES Location
	Inspectorate (PINs)	Tracey Williams	the 8 th July 2014 with PINs to provide comments on the Consultation Report and draft DCO documents.		1.5
57	Natural Resources Wales	Louise Edwards	Update ES Chapter to respond to comments on the Draft ES Chapter received on 08 th July 2014.	Patrick Froggatt update of ES draft (09/07/2014) to take account of comments made by NRW	Chapter 5
58	Natural Resources Wales	Nick Jenkins	Phone conversation and email correspondence on 16 th July 2014. Discussed NRW comments on noise chapter (from NRW letter ref SH/2014/116774/01-L01, dated 25 th June 2014).	Discussed return comments from NRW re Noise ES Chapter. NRW requested multiple clarifications which are addressed in the revised ES chapter.	Chapter 8
59	Natural Resources Wales	Louise Edwards	A pre-submission meeting was held with NRW on the 17 th July 2014 to discuss any outstanding points and to agree the wording of the permit statement.		Appendix 1.8