

East Anglia THREE
Offshore Windfarm

East Anglia THREE

Other Environmental Information, Chapter 29 (Track Changes)

Document Reference – Deadline 5/ Action Points/
Tracked Changes OEI Chapter 29 ES

[Power Renewables](#)
[Wind Development](#)
[S: 4th Floor, 1 Atlantic Quay, Glasgow, G2 8JB](#)

gliathree@ScottishPower.com

East Anglia THREE

Update to Chapter 29

Seascape, Landscape and Visual
Assessment

Other Environmental ~~Statement~~ Information

Volume ~~1-4~~

Document Reference – ~~6-1-29~~ OEI / Volume 4 /
LVIA assessment / Chapter 29

Author – Optimised ~~Environments Ltd~~ Environment Limited

East Anglia THREE Limited

Date – ~~November 2015~~ July 2016

Revision History – Revision **A**

scottishpowerrenewables.com

~~This Page Is Intentionally Blank~~**B**

Table of Contents

29	Seascape, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment	16
29.1	Introduction	16
29.2	Consultation	17
29.3	Scope	16
29.3.1	Offshore	16
29.3.2	Onshore	20
29.3.3	Study Area	22
29.3.3.1	Landfall	23
29.3.3.2	Onshore Cable Route	23
29.3.3.3	Substation	23
29.4	Potential Impacts	23
29.4.1	Worst Case Scenario	24
29.4.2	Embedded Mitigation	27
29.5	Assessment Methodology	24
29.5.1	Guidance	24
29.5.1.1	National Policy Statement	33
29.5.2	Data Sources	26
29.5.3	Impact Assessment Methodology	26
29.5.3.1	Types of Impact	36
29.5.3.1.1	Landscape Impacts	36
29.5.3.1.2	Visual Impacts	37
29.5.3.2	Cumulative Impacts	37
29.5.3.3	Sensitivity	37
29.5.3.3.1	Value	38
29.5.3.3.2	Susceptibility	38
29.5.3.4	Magnitude of Change	38
29.5.3.5	Significance of the Impact	40
29.5.4	Cumulative Impact Assessment	33
29.5.5	Graphic Production	34

29.6	Baseline Assessment	3543
29.6.1	Introduction	3543
29.6.2	Landscape Character	3644
29.6.2.1	Overview of Landscape Character	44
29.6.2.2	Landscape Character of Landfall	45
29.6.2.3	Landscape Character of Onshore Cable Route	45
29.6.2.4	Landscape Character of Substation Location	45
29.6.3	Landscape Designations	3846
29.6.3.1	Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)	46
29.6.3.2	Special Landscape Areas (SLA)	47
29.6.4	Visual Receptors and Views	4048
29.6.4.1	Landfall Location	48
29.6.4.2	Onshore Cable Route	48
29.6.4.3	Substation	49
29.7	Residual Impacts	4149
29.7.1	Potential Impacts of Landfall, Onshore Cable Route and Substation	
	Construction	4250
29.7.1.1	Potential Impacts of Landfall Location Construction	50
29.7.1.1.1	Potential Impacts of Landfall Construction on Physical Elements	51
29.7.1.1.2	Potential Impacts of Landfall Construction on Landscape Character	51
29.7.1.1.3	Potential Impacts of Landfall Construction on Visual Amenity	51
29.7.1.2	Potential Impacts of Onshore Cable Route Construction	51
29.7.1.2.1	Potential Impacts of Onshore Cable Route Construction on Physical Elements	52
29.7.1.2.2	Potential Impacts of Onshore Cable Route Construction on Landscape Character	52
29.7.1.2.3	Potential Impacts of Onshore Cable Route Construction on Visual Amenity	52
29.7.1.3	Potential Impacts of Substation Construction	53
29.7.1.3.1	Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Physical Elements	53
29.7.1.3.2	Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Landscape Character	53
29.7.1.3.3	Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Visual Amenity	54
29.7.1.3.4	Single Phase: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 5	56
29.7.1.3.5	Two Phased: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 5	56
29.7.1.3.6	Single Phase: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 6	56
29.7.1.3.7	Two Phased: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 6	57
29.7.1.3.8	Single Phase: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 10	57
29.7.1.3.9	Two Phased: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 10	58
29.7.1.3.10	Single Phase: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 11	58
29.7.1.3.11	Two Phased: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 11	58
29.7.1.3.12	Single Phase: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 12	59
29.7.1.3.13	Two Phased: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 12	59
29.7.2	Potential Impacts of Landfall Location, Onshore Cable Route and Substation	
	Operation.	5464
29.7.2.1	Potential Impacts of Landfall Location and Onshore Cable Route Operation	64

29.7.2.1.1	Potential Impacts of Landfall Location and Onshore Cable Route on Physical Elements	64
29.7.2.1.2	Potential Impacts of Landfall Location and Onshore Cable Route on Landscape Character	64
29.7.2.1.3	Potential Impacts of Landfall Location and Onshore Cable Route on Visual Amenity	65
29.7.2.2	Potential Impacts of Substation Operation	65
29.7.2.2.1	Potential Impacts of Substation Operation on Physical Elements	65
29.7.2.2.2	Potential Impacts of Substation Operation on Landscape Character	66
29.7.2.2.3	Potential Impacts of Substation Operation on Visual Amenity	66
29.7.3	Potential Impacts of Landfall Location, Onshore Cable Route and Substation Decommissioning	5971
29.8	Cumulative Impacts	6173
29.8.1	Introduction	6173
29.8.2	Cumulative Impacts of Substation Construction	686
29.8.2.1	Cumulative Impacts of Substation Construction on Physical Elements	6
29.8.2.2	Cumulative Impacts of Substation Construction on Physical Elements	6
29.8.2.3	Cumulative Impacts of Substation Construction on Landscape Character	6
29.8.2.4	Cumulative Impacts of Substation Construction on Visual Amenity	7
29.8.3	Cumulative Impacts of Substation Operation	708
29.8.3.1	Cumulative Impacts of Substation Operation on Landscape Character	8
29.8.4	Cumulative Impacts of Substation Operation on Visual Amenity	708
29.8.5	The Cumulative Impacts of Substation Decommissioning	708
29.8.5.1	Cumulative Impacts of Substation Decommissioning on Landscape Character	9
29.8.5.2	Cumulative Impacts of Substation Decommissioning on Visual Amenity	9
29.8.6	Summary of Cumulative Impacts	729
29.9	Inter-relationships	7310
29.10	Summary	7311
29.10.1	Landfall Location and Onshore Cable Route Impacts	7311
29.10.2	Substation Impacts	7512
29.11	Conclusions	7613
29.12	References	7815

Chapter 29 Seascape, Landscape and Visual figures are ~~presented in Volume 2: Figures and~~ listed in the table below.

29.1	Study Area
29.2	Landscape Designations
29.3	Landscape Character Assessment
29.4a	Visual Receptors: Section 1-3
29.4b	Visual Receptors: Section 3-6
29.4c	Visual Receptors: Section 6-8
29.4d	Visual Receptors: Section 9
29.5	Viewpoint Location: Substation Location
29.6	Zone of Theoretical Visibility: Substation Location
29.7	Combined Zone of Theoretical Visibility: East Anglia ONE converter station substation and East Anglia THREE substation
29.8	Combined Zone of Theoretical Visibility: East Anglia ONE converter station substation , a future EAOW project substation and East Anglia THREE substations
29.9	Viewpoint 1: Offton Road, near Elmsett Village East Anglia ONE landscape masterplan
29.10	Viewpoint 2: Flowton Village East Anglia THREE landscape masterplan
29.11	Viewpoint 31 : PRoW Offton Road , near Flowton Elmsett Village
29.12	Viewpoint 2: Flowton Village
29.13	Viewpoint 3: PRoW near Flowton Village
29.12 29.14	Viewpoint 4: Minor Road to Hintlesham Priory
29.13 29.15	Viewpoint 5: Orchard lands, near Canes Farm
29.14 29.16	Viewpoint 6: PRoW 147/006/0, near Hill Farm
29.15 29.17	Viewpoint 7: Burstall
29.16 29.18	Viewpoint 8: A1071, near Valley Farm
29.17 29.19	Viewpoint 9: Thornbush Hall
29.18 29.20	Viewpoint 10: PRoW 155/047/0, near Fidgeon's Farm
29.19 29.21	Viewpoint 11: PRoW 155/002/0, near Bullenhall Farm
29.20 29.22	Viewpoint 12: PRoW 155/003/0, near Tye House
29.21 29.23	Viewpoint 13: Tye Lane
29.22 29.24	Viewpoint 14: Valley Road, near Nettlestead
29.23 29.25	Viewpoint 15: Church Hill, near Canes Farm
29.24 29.26	Viewpoint 16: PRoW 155/003/0, north-east of site

Chapter 29 Seascape, Landscape and Visual appendices are ~~presented in Volume 3: Appendices~~ and listed in the table below.

29.1	Seascape, Landscape and Visual Assessment Methodology
29.2	Seascape, Landscape and Visual Environmental Baseline
29.3	Landscape and Visual Assessment of Landfall Location and Onshore Cable Route
29.4	Landscape and Visual Assessment of Substation Location
29.5	Assessment of Haul Road Remaining in situ between Projects

29 SEASCAPE, LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL IMPACT

ASSESSMENT Seascape, Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment

29.1 Introduction

1. This Chapter of the Environmental Statement (ES) presents the Seascape, Landscape and Visual Assessment for the proposed East Anglia THREE project. This includes assessment of onshore and offshore elements of the project during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
2. The assessment has been undertaken by Chartered Landscape Architects at Optimised Environments (OPEN) on behalf of East Anglia THREE Limited (EATL). The assessment has been prepared in accordance with National Policy Statements with specific reference to Overarching NPS for Energy (NPS EN-1) (July 2011).
3. This assessment presents an update to the East Anglia THREE Environmental Statement (East Anglia THREE, 2015) showing the updated East Anglia ONE substation dimensions contained in the East Anglia ONE Offshore Wind Farm (Corrections and Amendments) Order 2016, and the updated visual mitigation design contained in the East Anglia ONE Landscape Masterplan and Management Plan, including additional woodland planting proposed along the western side of Gobert's Grove to mitigate the potential loss of screening by the existing woodland.
4. ~~3-~~ There are ~~four~~five technical appendices which should be read in conjunction with this Chapter and which are included ~~in Volume 3 of the ES~~this submission.
5. ~~4-~~ Figures ~~are contained in Volume 2 of the ES and~~also included in this submission include GIS mapping of the study area, Zone of Theoretical Visibility (ZTV) maps, and visualisations.
6. ~~5-~~ The following elements have been assessed in detail as part of the Landscape and Visual Assessment (LVIA) of the onshore electrical transmission works:
 - Landfall Location: the LVIA assesses the potential impacts of the landfall of the offshore cable together with its onshore underground jointing bay;
 - Onshore Cable Route: the LVIA assesses the potential impacts of the onshore cable route on landscape character and visual amenity; and


- Substation Location: the LVIA assesses the potential impacts of the substation on landscape character and visual amenity.

7. ~~6.~~ The seascape assessment of the offshore electrical transmission works has been scoped out of the LVIA. An explanation of the scope of the assessment is presented in section 29.3.1.

29.2 Consultation

8. ~~7.~~ Consultee responses to the East Anglia THREE Scoping Report (East Anglia Offshore Wind 2012) and Section 42 Consultee responses to the Preliminary Environmental Report (PEIR) are presented in *Table 29.1* below. Previous consultations made in relation to the Zone or the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for the East Anglia ONE project, and which are considered relevant to the proposed East Anglia THREE project have also been included. The response column indicates how the comment has been addressed, with reference to the relevant section of the ES.

~~Table 29.1. Consultation Responses~~

		
Planning Inspectorate	The Applicant needs to take account of updates to regulations, in particular need to reference National Planning Policy Framework	Chapter 3 details the application of planning policy in the ES. Chapter 29 outlines the relevance of planning policy to the LVIA.
	The potential impacts during the operation of the onshore cable route need to be assessed owing to the loss of vegetation and potential time required for re-establishment.	<i>Appendix 29.3</i> presents a detailed assessment of the impacts of the onshore cable route during operation.
	The potential onshore impacts need to be assessed, with information provided on methodology, survey work, models used and with ZTVs utilised where appropriate.	<i>Appendix 29.1</i> presents the methodology; <i>Appendix 29.3</i> and <i>Appendix 29.4</i> present a detailed assessment of potential onshore impacts.
	Photomontages and wireframes should be presented which should be verified and accord with industry standards. The location of the viewpoints should be agreed with the relevant local authorities.	Volume 2 contains photomontages and computer generated models in accordance with industry standards. Agreement made with Suffolk County Council (SCC) and Mid Suffolk District Council (MSDC) regarding viewpoint selection.
	The LVIA should include assessment of access roads, jointing bays and temporary /	<i>Appendix 29.3</i> and <i>Appendix 29.4</i> present detailed assessment of all potential impacts.

	permanent lighting.	
	Careful consideration should be given to the form, siting and use of colours / materials of the substation.	An Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS) will be has been prepared for the proposed East Anglia THREE project which will detail details proposed planting and bunding mitigation measures, coinciding with measures which apply to East Anglia ONE. Landscape Masterplan and Management Plan . OLEMS will be presented as a separate document to the ES.
English Heritage	The LVIA should consider the change to historic landscape and seascape character from the cumulative development of the East Anglia Zone.	Reference is made to the historic seascape and seascape characterisation in <i>Appendix 29.2 – Environmental Baseline</i> and summarised in Section 29.3.1 below.
	Reference should be made to the Historic Seascape Characterisation work undertaken by English Heritage.	Reference is made to the Historic Seascape Characterisations and Seascape Characterisations in <i>Appendix 29.2 – Environmental Baseline</i> and summarised in Section 29.3.1 below.
	Reference should be made to the English Heritage Action Plan 2008-2013 to support implementation of the European Landscape Convention.	Reference made to the European Landscape Convention and English Heritage Action Plan 2008-2013 in <i>Appendix 29.2 – Environmental Baseline</i> .
Suffolk County Council	The EIA needs to distinguish between the impacts of two scenarios; one in which existing ducts from East Anglia ONE would be used for pulling through of cables; and the other in which existing ducts are not present and HDD and open-cut trenching methods for the laying of the onshore cable route would be used.	The consent of East Anglia ONE eliminates the second scenario from the assessment as it can now be assumed the ducts for the proposed East Anglia THREE project would be installed during the construction of East Anglia ONE.
	With reference to landscape mitigation and the substation, consideration should also be given to the potential for landscape bunding, reducing the bed level and off-site planting. An assessment of the cumulative impacts with existing (and proposed) infrastructure in the locality should be undertaken.	An Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS) will be has been prepared for the proposed East Anglia THREE project which will detail details proposed planting and bunding mitigation measures, coinciding with measures which apply to East Anglia ONE. Landscape Masterplan and Management Plan . OLEMS will be presented as a separate document to the ES.
Little Bealings Parish Council	Consideration should be given to an alternative onshore cable route through Little Bealings to avoid Queech Wood.	East Anglia ONE considered alternative routings and in order to minimise landscape and visual impacts along the whole route, the route of the East Anglia THREE onshore cable route would follow that of East Anglia ONE now a consented route for three projects. The alternative route

		through Little Bealings was investigated by PINS but not supported.
	The LVIA should include an assessment of the impacts as a result of tree and hedgerow loss whether temporary or permanent.	<i>Appendix 29.3</i> presents a detailed assessment of the tree and hedgerow loss.
	The LVIA should include a comparison of using HDD construction to cross Lodge Road.	The East Anglia THREE onshore cables would be pulled through existing ducts such that HDD construction would not be required.
I + eC		
Suffolk County Council / Mid Suffolk District Council / Suffolk County District Council	Proposed study areas of landfall, onshore cable route and substation agreed as 1km around onshore cable route, construction consolidation sites and access tracks and 4km around substation location.	These study areas are shown on Figure 29.1 and applied in the assessment presented in <i>Appendix 29.3</i> and <i>Appendix 29.4</i> .
	Agreement made with Suffolk County Council (SCC) and Mid Suffolk District Council (MSDC) to use the 16 viewpoints used previously in the East Anglia ONE project.	These viewpoints are used in the visual assessment presented in <i>Appendix 29.4</i> .
	Agreement made that the potential for cumulative effects would relate principally to the converter station location for East Anglia ONE and East Anglia FOUR.	Following the consent of East Anglia ONE, the substation forms part of the predicted baseline and is therefore not considered in the cumulative assessment. A future EAOW substation is considered in the cumulative assessment.
Section 42 consultation		
Suffolk County Council / Mid Suffolk District Council / Suffolk Coastal District Council	The local landscape designations of Special Landscape Areas (SLA) are not included.	SLAs are shown in Figure 29.2 with reference to the designation in 29.6.3.2 and in the assessment of the onshore cable route in <i>Appendix 29.3</i> .
	Off-site planting works included in the Section 106 agreement for EA ONE should be reviewed in terms of applicability to EA THREE. On-site planting and mounding also to be reviewed. The potential effects of ash die back in Gobert's Grove will need to be reviewed.	An Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS) will be has been prepared as part of the proposed East Anglia THREE project which will detail details proposed planting and bunding mitigation measures, coinciding with measures which apply to East Anglia ONE Landscape Masterplan and Management Plan . OLEMS will be presented as a separate document to the ES.
	The potential for cumulative impacts with SITA's Energy from Waste Plant, need to be reviewed.	The potential cumulative impacts are reviewed in Table 29.12 with comment provided in Section 29.8.1. Significant cumulative effects would not arise in relation to the SITA development owing to the distance of 4.2km that separates it from the East Anglia THREE substation and the

		extent of intervening landform and vegetation which limit the potential for inter-visibility between the two developments. The SITA development is now constructed and forms part of the baseline situation.
Martlesham Parish Council	Request made to use ducts rather than open-trenching on Waldringfield Road near Thatched Cottage and on the farm track opposite Howe's Farm entrance.	Onshore cable routes would be pulled through existing ducts for the length of the cable route so there would be no open trenching used in the construction process.
National Grid	Planting proposals beneath and adjacent to existing overhead lines should comprise only low and slow growing species to conform to statutory safety clearances.	The detailing of planting in relation to existing overhead lines will be addressed in the OLEMS.
Foot Antsey Solicitors (on behalf of the Woolf family)	The LVIA needs to take into account the off-site location of existing woodland that is referenced in terms of its screening effect of the East Anglia ONE, THREE and FOUR converter stations, especially as the continued maintenance and presence of these woodlands cannot be relied upon.	The OLEMS contains proposals for woodland management, subject to necessary agreements..
	The LVIA does not adequately consider the impact of the converter station in relation to the criteria set out in the Scoping Opinion para 754 - (<i>The impact assessment will take into account the size, the location, the height, the colour and frequency of the new structure</i>).	All these criteria have been considered in the LVIA.
Consultation on draft ES		
Suffolk County Council / Suffolk Coastal District Council	Level of confidence unreasonably low for AONB and Historic Parks and Gardens designations.	Level of confidence raised for both in respect of evidence of designation process.
	The definitions of long, medium and short term duration need to be added into <i>Appendix 29.1 – Methodology</i> . Suggestion to add very short term.	The definitions of long, medium, short term and very short term duration have been added into <i>Appendix 29.1 – Methodology</i> with reference updated in Section 29.5.3.4 of this Chapter.
	The methodology for graphic production needs to be set out in <i>Appendix 29.1 - Methodology</i> .	The methodology for graphic production has been included in <i>Appendix 29.1</i> with reference added in 29.5.5 of this Chapter.
	Clarification required regarding the difference in sensitivity ratings presented in East Anglia ONE SLVIA and East Anglia THREE	Clarification presented in Section 29.5.3 of this Chapter, with reference to updated GLVIA3 and the alteration of the baseline by the consent of East Anglia ONE.

	SLVIA.	
	Review required of the assessment relating to the duration of effects occurring periodically over a longer period of time, especially in relation to the onshore cable route.	Duration is kept as a separate consideration to the magnitude of change which is based on the size and scale of the impact. Explanation is presented in Section 29.5.3 of this Chapter.
	Review required of the visual assessment in respect of the anticipated loss of all ash trees to ash die back.	It has been agreed with the Local Authorities that this LVIA should consider the baseline as at the date of production of the LVIA (i.e. without potential future effects of ash die back). Notwithstanding this, EATL has agreed to continue discussions with the Local Authorities in relation to the potential future effects of ash die back, including considering whether it is appropriate to mitigate such effects (if any). <u>Additional woodland planting is proposed along the western side of Gobert's Grove to mitigate the potential loss of screening by the existing woodland.</u>
	Review required of sensitivity ratings of agricultural landscapes especially in relation to AONB.	Sensitivity ratings of agricultural landscapes reviewed and ratings increased where appropriate.
	Review required of the description of the sub-station site to explain how the character has been altered by the presence of energy infrastructure.	Description reviewed and amended to reflect limited visibility of substations / converter station and visibility of electricity transmission lines.
	As East Anglia ONE is established as part of the baseline, reference to it in the CLVIA Table 29.12 requires to be removed.	Reference removed.
	Insufficient acknowledgement is made of the potentially protracted construction period, taking into account overall impact of the EA Array on the onshore cable route.	The extended duration of the impact is considered in Section 29.7.1.2.
	Greater acknowledgement is required with regard to the seascape character assessments that have been carried out for the coast and seascape of East Anglia	A baseline description of the seascape character is included in Section 29.3.1.
	Review required of Special Landscape Areas in terms of their sensitivity ratings.	The sensitivity rating of high is preserved for those landscapes of national value. The SLAs are afforded either medium to high or medium sensitivities. This also factors in their susceptibility to the proposed East Anglia THREE project.
Section 42 Phase III Consultation		

Suffolk County Council	If the Two Phased approach were to be taken, there is concern regarding the condition of the landscape in the interim period between the two phases when there would be no restorative works.	Although no planting would take place in the interim the site areas would be kept in a tidy condition and restoration planting would be phased to take place at the earliest date (taking into account seasonal requirements for planting). Furthermore, the areas for haul roads would be kept to a maximum of 5.5m and so the area would be limited.
	It is recognised that the extent of the redline boundary provides the potential to undertake mitigation planting at the earliest opportunity.	A substantial area of mitigation planting would already be implemented as part of East Anglia ONE. The extent of the red line boundary is utilised with substantial planting proposed to the north.
	Concern regarding the potential effects of ash die back are reiterated.	It has been agreed with the Local Authorities that this LVIA should consider the baseline as at the date of production of the LVIA (i.e. without potential future effects of ash die back). Notwithstanding this, EATL has agreed to continue discussions with the Local Authorities in relation to the potential future effects of ash die back, including considering whether it is appropriate to mitigate such effects (if any). <u>Additional woodland planting is proposed along the western side of Gobert's Grove to mitigate the potential loss of screening by the existing woodland.</u>
Suffolk Preservation Society	The potential effects of the kiosks associated with the onshore cable route should be addressed through careful design.	The detailed positioning of the kiosks would take advantage of the screening effect of hedegrows and trees and the kiosks would be of a subtle colour to blend in with the landscape.
	Concern regarding the design of the converter station (now referred to as a substation) in terms of siting, scale and massing.	The siting of the substation utilises the screening effect of existing woodland, and mitigation planting. Other design issues are addressed in the Design and Access Statement which will be presented as a separate document to the ES.
<u>Section 56 Consultation</u>		
<u>Suffolk County Council / Mid Suffolk District Council / Suffolk Coastal District Council</u>	<u>The sensitivity of the same receptors (in particular of visual receptors around the substation site) has been set lower levels than for the assessment work carried out in respect of East Anglia ONE.</u>	<u>The methodology for rating sensitivity has changed through the replacement of GLVIA 2 with GLVIA 3. Following current guidance, sensitivity is rated through the combination of the value of each receptor and its specific susceptibility to the potential effects of the proposed development. Also, the baseline has changed since the assessment of East Anglia ONE. In the East Anglia THREE assessment the baseline includes the predicted presence of the East Anglia ONE substation. This is because the consent provides a degree of certainty that it will be built. The presence of the substation</u>

		<p><u>changes the baseline character and in this instance, lowers the sensitivity. This is because there is already an influence from this type of development. There are no regional or national landscape designations covering the area around the substation and no formal viewpoints which might otherwise raise the sensitivity.</u></p>
	<p><u>It is understood that the contention of the applicant (Document 29.1, paragraphs 63-67) that this relates principally to the changes in methodology that have taken place in the interval between the two assessments being carried out.</u></p>	<p><u>The changes to the methodology applied in the assessment of East Anglia THREE reflect the majority of changes in the methodology that have been implemented through the update of GLVIA 2 into GLVIA 3.</u></p>
	<p><u>The methodology (Document 6.1.29(1) paragraphs 5-6) explicitly deviates from the current guidance on Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment in respect of the calculation of magnitude of change.</u></p>	<p><u>Through working practice, OPEN have found that, by combining magnitude of change with geographical extent and duration, over-complicates the assessment by over-loading the rating with too many different considerations. A simpler approach, in which the magnitude of change rating is kept separate and then combined with the sensitivity rating, helps to more accurately identify significant effects. For example, by factoring in the short term duration and the very localised geographical extent of certain effects may alter the outcome of the assessment from significant to not significant. Conversely, where certain not significant effects are assessed, factoring in the long term nature of these effects would alter the outcome from not significant to significant. The consent will be for a 25 year period and so all effects will be non-permanent and reversible.</u></p>
	<p><u>Whilst the current methodology states that the size or scale of the effect, its geographical extent and its duration and reversibility should be combined to calculate the magnitude of change, the applicant has chosen to deviate from this focusing on size and scale to calculate magnitude of change while reporting, but not including geographical extent or duration in this calculation, but rather describing them separately.</u></p>	<p><u>The combination of magnitude of change, geographical extent and duration can alter the findings of the assessment and obscure the identification of significant effects, which is ultimately the purpose of the LVIA. For example, if there is a high magnitude of change but if it is over a very short duration then the finding would be that the effect will be not significant. Conversely, if there is a medium magnitude of change and it is over a long period of time, then the finding will be that the effect will be significant. In both cases the assessment has been distorted by the addition of duration. A more transparent assessment is possible by keeping these</u></p>

		<p><u>ratings separate, stating the magnitude of change and whether it is significant or not, and then applying the duration to explain the short, medium or long term nature of this effect.</u></p>
	<p><u>It is understood that the contention of the applicant is that combining all three considerations in one rating can distort the aim of identifying significant impacts in respect of large scale developments.</u></p>	<p><u>Explanation presented above.</u></p>
	<p><u>The sensitivity of the Suffolk Coast Path should be “High” throughout given that it is a nationally promoted route within a nationally designated landscape and the users of which have high expectations and sensitivity in relation the visual amenity of the landscape.</u></p>	<p><u>The rating of sensitivity is based on a combination of the value and the susceptibility of the landscape or visual receptors. GLVIA 3, in paragraph 5.42 states; ‘Since landscape effects in LVIA are particular to both the specific landscape in question and the specific nature of the proposed development, the assessment of susceptibility must be tailored to the project.’ This means that the susceptibility part of the rating considers susceptibility in respect of the potential effects of the specific proposed development. In Appendix 29.2, Section 29.4.8.2, the susceptibility of walkers on the Suffolk Coast Path is assessed to be medium to high. The principle attraction from the coast path is the view along the coast and out to sea and not inland to the agricultural landscape. Furthermore, as the cable route will generally be some distance from the path, the susceptibility of walkers to the effects of the proposed development will be relatively low. The overall rating of susceptibility is, therefore, medium to high. GLVIA3 highlights the fact that factors other than designation can have a bearing on the rating of value; ‘The value of landscape receptors will to some degree reflect landscape designations and the level of importance which they signify, although there should not be over-reliance on designations as the sole indicator of value.’ In Appendix 29.2, Section 29.4.8.2, the value of the Suffolk Coast Path is assessed to be medium to high.</u></p>

29.3 Scope

29.3.1 Offshore

- 9.** ~~8.~~ The offshore assessment addresses seascape, landscape and visual impacts during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the project. This section of the SLVIA examines the potential for significant impacts to arise in relation to the offshore components of the proposed East Anglia THREE project. The offshore components have the potential to affect landward, coastal and seaward receptors, with the seaward area described in terms of the inshore and offshore areas. The offshore components of the proposed East Anglia THREE project comprise wind turbines, offshore electrical platforms, meteorological masts and underwater cabling. The 100 to 172 wind turbines would be of a maximum tip height of 247m. The closest possible location a wind turbine would be located is 69km from the coastline. At this distant range, the wind turbines would not be visible from ground level along the coast owing to the curvature of the earth. From the highest point of 20m AOD on the cliff near Bawdsey, some sections of the blades of the closer wind turbines would be theoretically visible, although actual visibility would be unlikely as this would require excellent visibility conditions which occur very infrequently.
- 10.** ~~9.~~ From coastal areas, there would potentially be views of construction vessels and cable laying vessels which would use lighting during hours of darkness as part of the construction process. The glow of construction lighting from vessels at more distant turbine sites may be visible at night. While there is an existing flow of vessels visible from the coast, the construction and cable laying vessels would add to the volume, but not to the extent that it would give rise to a significant impact on landscape or visual receptors along the coast. There is greater potential for impacts to occur from the seascape, with these impacts acting upon the seascape character as well as visual receptors on-board sea-borne vessels.
- 11.** ~~10.~~ In terms of policy, the UK Marine Policy Statement (2011) makes reference to the definition of 'landscape' contained in the European Landscape Convention (2000), in the absence of a legal definition of seascape in the UK. It states '*In the context of this document, references to seascape should be taken as meaning landscapes with views of the coast or seas, and coasts and the adjacent marine environment with cultural, historical and archaeological links with each other.*'
- 12.** ~~11.~~ The UK Marine Policy Statement identifies the scope of the Marine Plans in terms of the considerations that would be required;

-
- 13.** ~~12.~~ *'When developing Marine Plans, marine plan authorities should consider at a strategic level visual, cultural, historical and archaeological impacts not just for those coastal areas that are particularly important for seascape, but for all coastal areas, liaising with terrestrial planning authorities as necessary.'*
- 14.** ~~13.~~ The Draft East Inshore and East Offshore Marine Plans (2014) have been prepared in response to the UK Marine Policy Statement and present a series of objectives aimed at balancing economic development against environmental protection. *'Objective 5 – To conserve heritage assets, nationally protected landscapes and ensure that decisions consider the seascape of the local area'* ensures that it is not only the coastal landscapes that are considered, but also the inshore area out to approximately 12 nautical miles and the offshore area out to the international maritime border with the Netherlands, Belgium and France.
- 15.** ~~14.~~ The East Inshore and Offshore Character Areas identified in the Marine Plans that are of relevance to the LVIA for the proposed East Anglia THREE project are the Suffolk Coastal Waters (inshore) and the East Anglian Shipping Waters (offshore). Specific information with regard to the character of these areas is presented in the 'Seascape Characterisation around the English Coast' (Marine Plan Areas 3 and 4 and Part of Area 6 Pilot Study) (2012).
- 16.** ~~15.~~ In terms of establishing a scope of those receptors that have the potential to undergo significant impacts, it must be remembered that the offshore components of the proposed East Anglia THREE project would be located an approximate distance of 69km from the coastline. This means that even in good viewing conditions, when there is the possibility that blade tips may be discernible from higher points along the coast, these would appear as extremely small and distant features, and seen in the context of one of the busiest shipping channels around the UK, where built artefacts are a common feature in seaward views. The magnitude of change would be negligible and the impact of the offshore components on coastal and landward receptors would be not significant. Even in respect of the higher sensitivity coastal landscapes, such as the AONB, the impact would not be significant owing to the negligible magnitude of change.
- 17.** ~~16.~~ As distance from the shore increases and distance to the offshore components decreases, the influence of the proposed East Anglia THREE project on receptors would increase, although these would be seascape receptors and water-borne visual receptors rather than landscape or land-borne visual receptors. In terms of seascape character, the inshore and offshore areas are described in the Seascape Characterisation citation in terms of 'Key Characteristics', 'Physical Influences', 'Cultural Influences' and 'Aesthetic and Perceptual Responses'. For the inshore area of the 'Suffolk

Coastal Waters' the citation refers to the coastal features as the principal influence on the seascape character, with comparatively little reference to the seascape features.

- *'Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB and Heritage coast designations recognise a rich mixture of unique and vulnerable coastal lowland landscapes;*
- *Low-lying coastline dominated by coastal processes and estuarine influences;*
- *Unified coastal interface with a nationally significant concentration of vegetated shingle structures and coastal lagoon habitats;*
- *Colourful seafront coastlines lined by brightly painted beach huts;*
- *Steeply sloping shelved shingle beaches;*
- *Prolific wildlife value, particularly bird life;*
- *Dramatic and contrasting developments such as Sizewell nuclear power station, Orfordness transmitting station and commercial dock development at Felixstowe;*
- *Historically heavily defended coastline;*
- *Large scale panoramic views of the seascape dominated by busy offshore North Sea shipping waters;*
- *Perception of seascape is often from the immediate coastal interface due to long estuaries, low landform and coastal shingle structures.'*

18. ~~17.~~ In respect of the extent of the seascape area, the influence of these coastal features would reduce with distance, such that there would be very little influence out at the boundary. The offshore components would be located a distance of 47km from the outer boundary of the inshore area, such that, despite their large scale, they would appear as relatively small scale elements and their influence on the character of the seascape would be limited. With a limited influence from the coastal landscapes the sensitivity of this seascape area would not be especially high and when combined with a low magnitude of change, the impact would be not significant.

19. ~~18.~~ The citation for the offshore 'East Anglian Shipping Waters' describes the seascape as a unified and expansive area of open water with few surface features, other than 'dense concentration of shipping activity' and the additional influence of offshore wind farms, gas fields and areas used for military practice, fishing and dredging. With the absence of any special seascape features and the presence of many human interventions, the

sensitivity of this area to the proposed East Anglia THREE project would be low. Considering the impacts of the proposed East Anglia THREE project on the offshore seascape area of 'East Anglian Shipping Waters' as a whole, the impact would be not significant. This finding relates principally to the vast scale of the seascape area and the existing influence of many developments and other human artefacts which currently characterise the seascape.

20.

21. ~~19.~~ The only other receptors with the potential to be affected by the construction, operation and decommissioning of the offshore components would be people on passing vessels including ferry passengers, merchant seamen, commercial fishermen and recreational sailors.

22. ~~20.~~ The potential offshore impacts during construction, operation and decommissioning include;

- The presence and visibility of wind turbine components being transported on barges to the offshore location during construction;
- The presence and visibility of a variety of installation and support vessels as well as the activities associated with these and the installation of windfarm components during construction;
- The presence and visibility of machinery and activities associated with the installation of wind turbine foundations, ~~towers~~ pylons, nacelles and blades;
- The cable laying vessels, vessels used in cable protection placement and their associated support vessels required for inter array cable and export cable installation during construction;
- The presence and visibility of the wind turbines, ancillary offshore infrastructure and maintenance vessels during the 25 -year operation;
- The presence and visibility of a variety of large decommissioning and support vessels and the activities associated with these and the dismantling and removal of wind turbines and part removal of foundations during decommissioning; and
- The presence and visibility of dismantled wind turbine components being transported on barges to the onshore location during decommissioning.

23. ~~21.~~ While there would be impacts on receptors at sea such as ferry passengers, merchant seamen, commercial fishermen and recreational sailors as a result of the construction, operation and decommissioning of the

offshore wind turbines and associated infrastructure, these impacts are unlikely to be significant owing to the following factors;

- The absence of any scenic designations attached to the seascape as a receptor;
- The relatively limited volume of sea-borne travellers who would gain visibility;
- The transitory nature of the views of sea-borne travellers; and
- The short-term duration of the construction and decommissioning phases.

24. ~~22.~~ Where water borne vessels pass the offshore components, the views would be transitory in nature and short in duration. Sea-borne travellers would not gain the type of long-term or permanent view, which for example residents next to an onshore windfarm may experience. While some recreational sailors and commercial fishermen may obtain views of the offshore components for longer durations, for the majority of sea-borne travellers, views would last for only a small proportion of a much longer journey.

25. ~~23.~~ While there is limited guidance on the sensitivity of seascapes so remote from coastal edges, the absence of any scenic designations, combined with the absence of any sense of context, reduces the relative sensitivity. The seascape gives rise to a sense of vastness, which reduces any sense of scarcity and as a result the offshore components would be considered to occupy a small proportion of a wider entity. The seascape provides an environment with capacity to accommodate the wind turbines, owing to its scale, simplicity and the relative absence of features with which to reference the scale of the wind turbines.

26. ~~24.~~ Taking all these factors into account, this initial assessment concludes that it would be unlikely for significant impacts to arise as a result of the offshore components.

27. ~~25.~~ The East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Report (East Anglia Offshore Wind 2012), section 4.1.2 Seascape, Landscape and Visual Amenity establishes that owing to the distance between the onshore receptors and the nearest point of the East Anglia THREE site, there would be no impacts upon the onshore receptors. The East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm Scoping Opinion (Planning Inspectorate 2012) responds with the following statement:

'The SOS notes that the offshore elements of the proposed development would be located at such a distance that there would not be views of the proposed windfarm from onshore receptors.'

- 28.** ~~26.~~ There is the potential for the offshore components to impact on offshore receptors, but for the reasons presented above it is considered unlikely that these impacts would be significant. In respect of this assessment, for the reasons given above, the impacts of the offshore components of the proposed East Anglia THREE project are not considered further.

29.3.2 Onshore

- 29.** ~~27.~~ The onshore assessment addresses the landscape, visual and cumulative impacts of the onshore components during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the project. The purpose of the assessment is to identify all significant impacts on landscape and visual receptors as a result of the proposed East Anglia THREE project. The assessment process follows a five stage approach which accords with the principles set out in GLVIA 3 (as detailed in *Appendix 29.1*);

- Establish the baseline conditions of landscape character and visual amenity within the study area, through a combination of desk based study and site reconnaissance.
- Establish the potential impacts of the proposed East Anglia THREE project based on an understanding of the form and appearance of the components proposed, the processes involved in their construction, operation and decommissioning, and the extent to which embedded mitigation may reduce potential impacts.
- Assess the sensitivity of the landscape and visual receptors to the proposed East Anglia THREE project, taking into account the value attributed to the receptor and its susceptibility to the potential impacts.
- Assess the magnitude of change on the landscape and visual receptors, which is likely to arise as a result of the proposed East Anglia THREE project, taking into account embedded mitigation as well as the scale, geographical extent and duration of the potential impacts.
- Apply professional judgement to combine the sensitivity of the receptor with the proposed magnitude of change to determine the significance of the impact.

- 30.** ~~28.~~ The LVIA considers the impacts of the onshore electrical transmission works on the physical elements of the site and impacts on the landscape character and visual amenity of the site and surrounding area.

- 31.** ~~29.~~ The LVIA considers two sets of alternatives, the first in respect of the proposed electrical solution to be applied, and the second in respect of the proposed process of phasing.
- 32.** ~~30.~~ East Anglia THREE are currently considering both a High Voltage Direct Current (HVDC) and a Low Frequency Alternating Current (LFAC) electrical solution for the proposed East Anglia THREE project. The key difference of relevance to this assessment is that the LFAC solution would require a compound area for the onshore substation of 160m x 190m while for the HVDC solution it would be 150m x 190m. For both solutions the building dimensions would be 85m x 116m x 25m height. As the LFAC solution presents the worst case scenario in respect of the potential impacts, the larger compound dimensions would be used as the basis of the assessment and this is what is shown in the accompanying graphics. A detailed description of the electrical solutions is presented in Chapter 5: ~~Project~~ Description of the Development.
- 33.** ~~31.~~ East Anglia THREE are currently considering constructing ~~the project in either a Single Phase or a Two Phased approach. In the Single Phase approach the project would be constructed in one single build period lasting approximately 41 months. Under a Two Phased approach the project would be constructed in two phases, with the construction of phase two starting a maximum of 18 months after the start of the onshore construction of phase one giving an overall construction period lasting approximately 45 months.~~ East Anglia THREE substation in either a Single Phase or a Two Phased approach. Under the Single Phase approach, the build out time is estimated to be approximately 55 weeks, this would consist of 43 weeks of construction and mechanical and electrical fitting followed by 12 weeks of testing and commission.
- 34.** If a Two Phased approach to construction is taken the build out time is estimated to span approximately 123 weeks; this would consist of 76 weeks of construction and mechanical and electrical fitting split between two periods over a total of 112 weeks. At the end of each construction phase 12 weeks of testing and commission would follow.
- 35.** ~~32.~~ The differences between the Single Phase and Two Phased approach only affects the assessment of the impacts relating to the construction of the proposed East Anglia THREE ~~project~~ substation. The impacts during operation and during decommissioning would be the same regardless of whether the proposed East Anglia THREE project is constructed in one or two phases. The assessment, therefore, only considers the difference between the Single Phase and Two Phased approach during construction.

- 36.** ~~33.~~ It is assumed in the assessment that East Anglia ONE is constructed and is operational and that the proposed East Anglia THREE project would be added to this baseline situation. Note that for the purposes of this assessment, the dimensions of the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ substation are taken ~~to be those consented in~~ from the East Anglia ONE ~~development consent order (DCO).~~ Offshore Wind Farm (Corrections and Amendments) Order 2016. The size of the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station would be smaller if the currently consented position if the current application for a non-material change to the East Anglia ONE DCO is granted. EATL would undertake further assessment if required on the basis of the outcome of the decision upon the non-material change to the East Anglia ONE DCO.~~ substation is smaller than originally consented and the components are generally located outwith an enclosed building. The dimensions and layout of the revised design of the East Anglia ONE substation have been used as the basis of the assessment and are represented by a model in the computer visualisations.
- 37.** ~~34.~~ In the cumulative assessment a further scenario is considered in which the East Anglia THREE substation is added to a situation which comprises East Anglia ONE and a future EAOW project's substation, with the assumption, for the purposes of the assessment, that a future EAOW project is considered as a relevant project within the cumulative assessment.

29.3.3 Study Area

- 38.** ~~35.~~ The Study Area for each component of the onshore works covers different geographical areas relating to the potential extent to which the impacts of that component may give rise to a significant impact.

29.3.3.1 Landfall

- 39.** ~~36.~~ The Study Area for the ~~landfall location has been set at a 500m radius from the centre of the site. This radius has been agreed with the local authorities during a pre-PEI meeting outlined in Table 29.1. The landfall forms a relatively small scale component which beyond 500m may be visible, but is unlikely to give rise to significant landscape or visual impacts owing to the depreciation in its influence from this range.~~ onshore cable route has been set at a 500m buffer either side, along the working widths of the route (1km in total). This extends across 37km from the landfall location at Bawdsey to the substation at Bramford, west of Ipswich. This buffer has been agreed with the local authorities in respect of East Anglia THREE (Table 29.1 Consultation Responses). The cables would be buried underground and the majority of the impacts would relate to the construction works required to pull the cables through the existing ducts. Machinery would

be relatively small in scale and screening would occur by existing hedgerows and trees.

29.3.3.2 Onshore Cable Route

40. ~~37.~~ The Study Area for the onshore cable route has been set at a 500m buffer either side, along the working widths of the route (1km in total). This extends across 37km from the landfall location at Bawdsey to the substation at Bramford, west of Ipswich. This buffer has been agreed with the local authorities in respect of East Anglia THREE (*Table 29.1 Consultation Responses*). The cables would be buried underground and the majority of the impacts would relate to the construction works required to pull the cables through the existing ducts. Machinery would be relatively small in scale and screening would occur by existing hedgerows and trees.

29.3.3.3 Substation

41. ~~38.~~ The Study Area for the substation has been set at a 4km radius from the edge of the substation location. This was applied in the assessment of the East Anglia ONE converter station and has been agreed with the local authorities in respect of East Anglia THREE (*Table 29.1*). Impacts would occur during the construction, operation and decommissioning stages.

42. ~~39.~~ Initial studies conducted as part of the East Anglia ONE LVIA (EAOL 2012), tested visibility within a 6km radius from the centre of the substation, but found that - owing to the extent of intervening mature woodland, tree belts, hedgerows, and, in fewer instances, buildings - it would be unlikely for significant impacts to arise beyond the 4km radius.

29.4 Potential Impacts

43. ~~40.~~ The construction, operation and decommissioning of the components of the proposed East Anglia THREE project have the potential to affect the physical elements of the sites, as well as the landscape character and visual amenity of the study areas around the sites. There is the potential for cumulative impacts in relation to other large scale infrastructure projects. A list of other relevant projects to be considered within the cumulative impact assessment has been provided in *Table 29.12*.

44. ~~41.~~ The potential impacts of the three components - landfall location, onshore cable route and substation - have been assessed at each of the three stages of the project; construction, operation and decommissioning. The potential impacts are determined through considering the worst case scenario, as well as the mitigation measures embedded in the proposed East Anglia THREE project.

29.4.1 Worst Case Scenario

45. ~~42.~~ Chapter 5 Description of the Development sets out a detailed description of the landfall location, onshore cable route and substation, as well as detailed information on their construction, operation and decommissioning. The worst case scenarios with regard to the LVIA are represented by the potential impacts in *Table 29.2* below. These parameters are applied in the assessment of potential impacts and ensure that it reflects the worst case scenario in every aspect.

Table 29.2. Worst Case Assumptions

Impacts of landfall construction on physical elements, landscape character and visual amenity.	Single Phase: One Secondary Construction Consolidation Site (CCS) required. Two Phased: Two Secondary CCSs required—one during each phase.	CCS would facilitate access to the route, allow storage of materials and accommodate site offices.
Impacts of landfall transition bays on physical elements, landscape character and visual amenity.	Single Phase: Four transition bays constructed (each a maximum of 15m x 10m x 3m depth). Two Phased: Four transition bays constructed (each a maximum of 15m x 10m x 35m depth) —two constructed in each phase.	East Anglia ONE would not install transition bays for subsequent projects.
Impacts of landfall construction period and working hours on landscape character and visual amenity.	Single Phase: Construction period of up to 10 weeks, with maximum working days of 12 hours and 7 days a week. Two Phased: Construction period of two phases of up to 10 weeks each, with maximum working days of 12 hours. Phase 2 works would commence a maximum of 18 months after Phase 1 works with a maximum period between phases of 5 months.	Includes the commencement and re-instatement works. Construction hours and days to be agreed with relevant planning authority in advance
Impacts of landfall construction lighting on landscape character and visual amenity.	Single Phase / Two Phased: There is potential for 24 hour lighting for security at all CCS sites.	Assumes 7 day week.
Impacts of onshore cable route construction on physical elements,	Single Phase: Excavation, construction and jointing operations at 2 bays at up to 62 jointing bay locations along the onshore cable route.	Assumes haul road would be removed between phases in the Two Phased approach.

<p>landscape character and visual amenity.</p>	<p>Access to site where existing track not used, including up to 17.8km of haul road.</p> <p>Jointing bay dimensions of 10m x 5m x 5m depth.</p> <p>Two Phased: Excavation, construction and jointing operations at two bays (one during each phase) at up to 62 jointing bay locations along the onshore cable route.</p> <p>Access to site where existing track not used, including up to 17.8km of haul road.</p> <p>Jointing bay dimensions of 10m x 5m x 5m depth.</p> <p>Kiosk dimensions of 1m x 0.75m x 1m high.</p>	
<p>Impacts of onshore cable route PRow diversions on visual amenity.</p>	<p>Single Phase and Two Phased: Temporary diversions <u>stopping up /management</u> at up to 32 points on PRowS.</p>	
<p>Impacts of onshore cable route construction period and working hours on landscape character and visual amenity.</p>	<p>Single Phase: Construction period of up to 29 weeks, with maximum working days of 12 hours and 7 days a week.</p> <p>Two Phased: Total construction period of up to 31 months, with maximum working days of 12 hours. Phase 2 work would commence a max of 18 months after Phase 1 commences.</p>	<p>Includes the commencement and re-instatement works.</p> <p>Single Phase period would be continuous.</p> <p>Construction hours and days to be agreed with relevant planning authority in advance.</p>
<p>Impacts of onshore cable route construction lighting on landscape character and visual amenity.</p>	<p>Single Phase / Two Phased: Flood lighting during hours of darkness at 7 CCS.</p>	<p>Requirement for lighting would be limited to times when particular CCS locations are active.</p>
<p>Impacts of substation construction period and working hours on landscape character and visual amenity.</p>	<p>Single Phase: Maximum construction period of 55 weeks, with maximum working days of 12 hours.</p> <p>As a worst case scenario, it has been assumed that some periods of 24 hour construction may be required, for which task related flood lighting may be necessary.</p> <p>Two Phased: Maximum construction period of 123 weeks, with maximum working days of 12 hours. Construction period split into two phases with maximum interval with no construction of 5 months.</p>	<p>Includes the commencement and re-instatement works.</p> <p>Single phased period would be continuous.</p> <p>Construction hours and days to be agreed with relevant planning authority in advance.</p>

Operation		
<p>Impacts on physical elements, landscape character and visual amenity as a result of vegetation reinstatement along access roads and around CCS.</p>	<p>Single Phase / Two Phased: Area re-instated to former conditions with full agricultural activities able to continue with exception to those which penetrate the ground along the onshore cable route, e.g. to more than 0.5m.</p> <p>3-5 years required for replanting to infill hedgerows. 2015 years required for tree growth to reach 87m.</p> <p>Permanent easements of 35m retained through hedgerows and woodlands.</p>	<p>•</p>
<p>Impacts on physical elements, landscape character and visual amenity as a result of operations and maintenance of onshore cable route</p>	<p>Single Phase / Two Phased: One annual visit to jointing bays to carry out routine integrity tests.</p> <p>Non-scheduled maintenance to address faults as and when these may arise would also be necessary, and this maintenance could be required in between jointing bay or kiosk locations.</p>	
<p>Impacts on physical elements, landscape character and visual amenity as a result of substation.</p>	<p>Single Phase: Maximum landtake 3.04ha (LFAC).</p> <p>Maximum compound dimensions 160m wide x 190m long (LFAC).</p> <p>Maximum Substation Buildings dimensions: two buildings of 58m wide x 85m long x 25m high to the roof ridge.</p> <p>Maximum height of equipment and ancillary buildings generally 15m or lower.</p> <p>Floor levels of substation buildings approximately 54m AOD.</p> <p>Proposed bunding approximately 4 or 5m above general ground levels. Tree planting with approximately 200-400mm growth per year (depending on species).</p> <p>Two Phased: As above but with Substation Buildings constructed separately – one in each phase. Dimensions of each 58m wide x 85m long x 25m high.</p>	<p>These dimensions would accommodate the various substation designs.</p> <p>The gradient of the roof is based on use of profile sheeting set at practical minimum falls.</p> <p>Ancillary buildings make up the external area to the south of the substation halls.</p>
<p>Impacts of substation operational lighting on landscape character and visual amenity.</p>	<p>Single Phase and Two Phased:</p> <p>Operational lighting requirements at the substation site may entail:</p> <p>Security lighting around perimeter fence of compound, to allow CCTV coverage;</p>	

	<p>Car park lighting – as per standard car park lighting, possibly motion sensitive; and</p> <p>Repair and maintenance – task related flood lighting may be necessary.</p> <p>No additional lighting is proposed along Bullen Road or along the additional access roads within the substation site boundary.</p>	
Decommissioning		
Impacts of landfall location and onshore cable route on landscape character and visual amenity.	<p>Single Phase and Two Phased: Onshore cables de-energised and left in situ. Kiosks removed.</p> <p>Jointing bays left in- situ. Where pre-installed ducts are used, cables may be extracted once de-energised.</p>	
Impacts of substation on landscape character and visual amenity.	<p>Single Phase and Two Phased: Substation removed, components re-used and land returned to initial state.</p>	

29.4.2 Embedded Mitigation

- 46.** ~~43.~~ Embedded mitigation forms an integral part of the proposal and moderates the worst case scenario. Mitigation measures are referenced in *Table 29.3*, highlighting where landscape elements are to be retained or restored.
- 47.** ~~44.~~ Landscape works agreed to be undertaken as part of East Anglia ONE also form embedded mitigation. These works comprise planting and bunding and are summarised below. The detail of the works is covered in the ~~OLEMS for East Anglia ONE ES (EAOL 2012).~~ [East Anglia ONE Landscape Masterplan \(July 2016\)](#) and [East Anglia ONE Landscape Management Plan \(July 2016\)](#), which form part of the submission required to discharge conditions associated with the DCO.
- 48.** ~~45.~~ Embedded mitigation for the proposed East Anglia THREE project has assumed the pulling through of cables into ducts already installed as part of East Anglia ONE. This would minimise the impacts on both landscape character and visual amenity. Overhead electricity transmission lines would have a much greater ~~effect~~ **impact** on both landscape character and visual amenity owing to the prominence of the supporting pylons as structures in the landscape, either appearing at variance with the rural character where other pylons are not present or adding to the cumulative impact where they are, especially around the existing Bramford ~~Substation~~ **Sub-station** and converter station location where there is already a concentration of pylons.

- 49.** ~~46.~~ The pulling through of the onshore cables is the most important mitigation measure undertaken, as it also notably reduces the potential for impacts when compared with the alternative processes of open-trenching and HDD. The potential for significant impacts during the short term of the construction and decommissioning stages and the long term of the operation stage are greatly reduced by the pull through process along the onshore cable route.
- 50.** ~~47.~~ The final routing of cables connecting into the substation is not known at the current time. Therefore, the pre-installed ducts would end just beyond the western boundary of the screening trees and bunding installed by East Anglia ONE to the east of the East Anglia THREE substation. ~~Therefore the~~ The final stretch of cables would be open trenched from the end of the ducts to the substation. This would cover a maximum distance of 300m. Likewise, National Grid would install ducts to connect into the existing Bramford ~~substation~~ Sub-station but these would end at the boundary of the National Grid land, therefore EATL would need to open trench up to the end of these ducts, a distance of up to 235m. In both cases the cables would be laid directly into trenches. The open trenching would occur during the construction phase of the proposed East Anglia THREE project, and would therefore occur in the context of the larger scale construction of the proposed East Anglia THREE substation. The open trenching would occur to the east of the proposed East Anglia THREE substation, in a location where a number of electricity transmission lines converge and the landscape is largely influenced by the existing presence of large scale energy infrastructure. The open trenching would be low lying and screened from many surrounding receptors by existing planting and planting established as part of East Anglia ONE. It would be in respect of this context that the relatively small scale works associated with the open trenching would have a limited influence on landscape and visual receptors.
- 51.** ~~48.~~ Mitigation planting for East Anglia ONE is shown in Figure 29.9 and for East Anglia THREE in Figure 29.10. Mitigation planting proposed for East Anglia ONE includes substantial woodland planting to screen the East Anglia THREE substation. The planting to be implemented as part of East Anglia ONE is to the south-west, immediate north and east of the East Anglia THREE substation. Further planting to be implemented as part of East Anglia THREE is to the north and north-east of the East Anglia THREE substation. While existing woodland currently screens those aspects to the west, north-west and north-east, the mitigation planting would largely ~~surround~~ infill the gaps to ensure the East Anglia THREE substation location would eventually be enclosed from almost all visual aspects. The mitigation planting to the south-west would be set on a 54m high bund and to the ~~east~~ north on a 25m high bund, which would add to the ~~relative~~ height of the trees relative to the levels of the substations.

- 52.** ~~49.~~ The mitigation planting would be designed to comprise a mix of faster growing 'nurse' species and slower growing core 'species'. The core species would comprise a mix of preferred native species that would outlive the nurse species and characterise the woodland structure over the longer term. It is anticipated that the growth rate of these species would be 200-300mm per annum taking into account the clay soils and the 'made' nature of the land. The nurse species would be faster growing and shorter-lived, providing shelter to bring on the core species. The mix ~~may~~**would** contain species such as alder, birch, poplar and rowan, with average growth rates of 400mm per annum. It is anticipated that ~~8~~**6**m growth would take ~~20~~**15** years and that at the end of the 25 year consent period the trees would have reached approximately 11m (assuming planting height of 1m). The nurse species would be sufficiently fast growing to provide substantial screening of the East Anglia THREE substation towards the last 5 to 10 years of the consent period.
- 53.** ~~50.~~ It is anticipated that the construction of East Anglia ONE, including mitigation planting, would commence in 2017. As the construction of the proposed East Anglia THREE project is due to commence at the earliest between 2020 and 2025, the mitigation planting would already have had a minimum of three years of growth which equates to approximately 1.2m in height on top of a base height of approximately 1m (for the faster growing nurse species). The mitigation planting to the south-west would be set on a ~~5m high bund, and to the east on a~~**24**m high bund, which would add to the relative height of the trees. This would mean by the time the proposed East Anglia THREE project would be constructed, the nurse species in the mitigation planting would be at a height of approximately ~~7.2~~**6.2**m in the area to the south-west, ~~4.2 and 2.2~~**4.2 and 2.2**m in the area to the east and ~~2.2m in the area to~~**the** immediate north. It is anticipated that by the end of the 25 year consent period, the planting to the south-west, immediate north and east would be at a height of approximately 12.2m, although with the bunding to the west ~~and~~**east** the total height would be ~~17.2m and 14.2~~**16.2**m.
- 54.** ~~51.~~ Mitigation planting proposed for East Anglia THREE includes substantial woodland planting on a bund of up to 5m high to the north and further woodland planting to the north-east of the East Anglia THREE substation. This would add to the screening effect already provided by existing woodland and the narrow band of new woodland planting that would be implemented to the north as part of East Anglia ONE. The additional planting in the wider area to the north would be reach a maximum height of approximately 11m after 25 years which, in association with the bunding would give an overall maximum height of 16m above the baseline ground level. Figures 29.9 and 29.10 show East Anglia ONE and East Anglia THREE

mitigation planting. Detailed information on embedded mitigation in relation to the East Anglia THREE substation is contained within an *Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS)*.

- 55.** ~~52.~~ While a proportion of the woodland, cited in the LVIA as being of importance to the screening of the proposed East Anglia THREE project, is outwith the control of East Anglia THREE limited (EATL), it is subject to the protection afforded by the Forestry Act (1967).
- 56.** ~~53.~~ Part II of the Forestry Act 1967 is entitled 'Commissioner's Power to Control Felling of Trees' which requires those with the intention of felling trees to apply to the commissioner for a licence. There are restrictions which would be applied in the consideration of issuing such a licence and ultimately the act seeks to protect forest areas.
- 57.** ~~54.~~ Furthermore, Millers Wood, Bullen Wood, Bushey Grove and Fore Grove are all identified as Ancient Semi-Natural Woodland in the local plan and, therefore, also as Country Wildlife Sites (CWS). While CWS are not protected under statute, their importance is recognised by local planning authorities in their consideration of related planning applications.
- 58.** ~~55.~~ In light of the statutory protection afforded by the Forestry Act (1967) and the designation of the woodlands as CWS, it would be unlikely for these woodland areas to be intentionally removed and therefore such a scenario is not considered in the assessment.
- 59.** ~~56.~~ It has been agreed with the Local Authorities that this LVIA should consider the baseline as at the date of production of the LVIA (i.e. without potential future effects of ash die back). Notwithstanding this, EATL has agreed to continue discussions with the Local Authorities in relation to the potential future effects of ash die back, including considering whether it is appropriate to mitigate such effects (if any). It is recognised that the disease is having a significant impact on ash trees within the Suffolk area. Initial indications are that the existing woodlands around the East Anglia THREE substation, but outwith the site boundary, contain ash species. Further information regarding the presence, location and health of the ash trees would be required as the basis for an accurate assessment.
- 60.** ~~57.~~ East Anglia THREE are willing to consider working with the Local Authorities to help land owners implement woodland management plans to maintain the health and longevity of the woodland areas. This would involve an analysis of the existing condition of the woodland, and then selective and phased removal of diseased trees with careful management of replacement tree planting. In the long term this would help to produce a mixed age

woodland with an overall longer life expectancy. The approach to management plans is set out in the OLEMS [for East Anglia THREE](#).

- 61.** [Mitigation measures to be implemented as part of East Anglia THREE project include the planting of a 10m wide woodland strip within the 15m adjacent to the western edge of the existing Gobert's Grove woodland to the north east of the East Anglia THREE substation. This would be located within the site boundary and its purpose would be to ensure the long term screening effect in respect of views from the north and north-east, regardless of the condition of the existing woodland at Gobert's Grove. Details of this planting proposal is contained in the East Anglia THREE OLEMS and set out in the East Anglia ONE Landscape Masterplan and Management Plan.](#)
- 62.** ~~58.~~ [Table 29.3 summarises the embedded mitigation for the proposed East Anglia THREE project and these measures are included in the East Anglia THREE OLEMS. These measures are consistent with the embedded mitigation set out in the East Anglia ONE OLEMS Landscape Masterplan and Management Plan.](#)

Table 29.3 Embedded Mitigation in Relation to Landscape and Visual Impacts

Parameter	Mitigation Measures
General	
Project design	Project decision from outset that cable would be underground not overhead, so as not to be part of the visible landscape and to minimise landscape and visual impacts. Use of pre-installed ducts would further reduce potential impacts during construction of East Anglia THREE.
Landfall	
Project design	Avoid vegetated shingle at landfall.
Construction	Temporary works would be within a single field accessed by existing road. Excavated material from the trenches (earth / sand / shingle) would be stockpiled on the fields or beach for short periods but re-laid to match existing profiles.
Onshore cable route	
Project design	Careful location of 2 primary and 5 secondary CCSs and 62 jointing bay locations (or 124 under Two-Phased approach) including up to 248 kiosks to avoid mature trees, hedgerows and other sensitive features.
Construction	Replace any land drainage disturbed by the works. Carefully handle topsoil to British Standard BS3882: 2007. Reinstate bank profiles.

	<p>Retain and re-lay vegetation to sides of ditches.</p> <p>Reinstatement of affected field boundaries in the same style or with the same species mix of the original and / or to match adjacent boundaries.</p> <p>Early installation of protective fencing would be utilised in order to minimise impacts to trees and their roots.</p>
Substation	
Project design	<p>Careful siting of substation location to the north of the existing Bramford Substation to ensure it is associated with existing large scale infrastructure development.</p> <p>Siting also ensures that the screening effect of surrounding woodland blocks is best utilised.</p>
Construction	Limited 24 hour lighting at substation site during particular construction activities.
Operation	<p>Planting and bunding.</p> <p>Operational lighting requirements at the substation site may entail:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Security lighting around perimeter fence of compound, to allow CCTV coverage; • Car park lighting – as per standard car park lighting, possibly motion sensitive; and • Repair and maintenance – task related flood lighting may be necessary. <p>No additional lighting is proposed along Bullen Road or along the additional access roads within the Substation Site Boundary.</p>

29.5 Assessment Methodology

29.5.1 Guidance

63. ~~59.~~ This section summarises the methodology contained in Volume 3: *Appendix 29.1 – Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Methodology*. The methodology accords principally with guidance set out in the updated GLVIA 3, as well as the other following reference documents;

- Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment: Third Edition (Landscape Institute and IEMA 2013);
- Guidance on the Impact of Offshore Wind Farms: Seascape and Visual Impact Report (DTI 2006);
- UK Offshore Energy Strategic Environmental Assessment (Department of Energy and Climate Change 2009);

-
- Guide to Best Practice in Seascape Assessment (CCW, Brady Shipman Martin and University College Dublin 2001);
 - Visual Representation of Windfarms Good Practice Guidance Version 2 (Scottish Natural Heritage 2014);
 - Advice Note 01/11 Photography and Photomontage in Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (Landscape Institute 2011); and
 - Landscape Character Assessment Guidance for England and Scotland (SNH and TCA 2002).

29.5.1.1 National Policy Statement

64. ~~60.~~ The assessment of potential impacts on the landscape and visual receptors has been made with reference to relevant National Policy Statements (NPS), as discussed in Chapter 3 Policy and Legislative Context. The most relevant NPSs to the LVIA are:

- National Policy Statement for Energy (NPS EN-1 July 2011);
- National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (NPS EN-3 July 2011); and
- National Policy Statement for Electricity Networks Infrastructure (NPS EN-5 July 2011).

65. ~~61.~~ In NPS EN-1, para 5.9.5 states that the applicant should carry out an LVIA, which should include reference to any landscape character assessment and associated studies as a means of assessing impacts on landscape character relevant to the project. The applicant's assessment should also take into account relevant local development policies, which have been based on these assessments.

29.5.2 Data Sources

66. ~~62.~~ Table 29.4 below sets out the features of the key data sources used in the assessment.

Table 29.4. Data Sources Features

Consultation with Suffolk County Council	03/2014	Agreement on issues relevant to East Anglia THREE project LVIA	High	Consultation with officers relevant to LVIA issues
National Character Areas	2014	Classification of English landscape into broad character types	High	Designation undertaken by Natural England.
Suffolk Landscape Character Assessment	2010	Classification of Suffolk landscape into character types	High	Based on Countryside Agency Guidelines
Suffolk Coasts and Heaths AONB ¹	1970	Identification of a landscape of national importance	High	'Designation History Series Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB' sets out justification for designation.
Register of Historic Parks and Gardens	Sep 2010	Listing of protected Parks and Gardens in England	High	Designation undertaken by Historic England with process set out on website.
Ordnance Survey 25,000 Raster from Vattenfall	Mar 2014	Mapping information	High	
Ordnance Survey 250,000 Raster from OS Open data	Jan 2014	Mapping information	High	
East Anglia ONE Environmental Statement	Nov 2012	Reporting impacts of East Anglia ONE project	High	Environmental Statement.
East Anglia THREE Scoping Report and Consultation Comments	Nov 2012 Dec 2012	Defining scope of East Anglia THREE project	High	Statutory and other Consultees provided feedback on scope of EA LVIA.

[1 Subsequent to the LVIA for this project being carried out by the applicant, the character and Special Qualities of the AONB have been formally set out and published in relation to a separate project, but are applicable to the AONB as a whole and follow the recognised Natural England format. This information can be found at <http://www.suffolkcoastal.gov.uk/yourdistrict/sizewell/aonb-special-qualities-document/>](http://www.suffolkcoastal.gov.uk/yourdistrict/sizewell/aonb-special-qualities-document/)

29.5.3 Impact Assessment Methodology

- 67.** ~~63.~~ Chapter 6 Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology sets out the general method which has guided the assessment of landscape and visual impacts. *Appendix 29.1 Seascape, Landscape and Visual Assessment Methodology* sets out in detail the methodology specific to the assessment. The methodology applied in the assessment of the proposed East Anglia THREE project differs in some respects from the methodology applied in East Anglia ONE. The East Anglia THREE methodology is based principally on GLVIA 3 which is an update of GLVIA 2 and which was published in 2013. The methodology applied in East Anglia ONE was based on a combination of GLVIA 2 and the DTI Guidance on the Impact of Offshore Windfarms.
- 68.** ~~64.~~ The main changes include the consideration of the value and susceptibility of receptors as components of the overall sensitivity ratings, the consideration of visual receptors as people and assessing visual impacts more in respect of people's experiences, and defining the geographical extent of impacts rather than basing the assessment on specific locations.
- 69.** ~~65.~~ GLVIA3 sets out an approach to the assessment of sensitivity which combines ratings of value with ratings of susceptibility. While GLVIA2 described sensitivity as the degree to which a landscape or visual receptor could accommodate change, this was assessed in relation to development generically. GLVIA3 [in paragraph 5.43](#) highlights the importance of making reference to specific types of development, such that susceptibility is being assessed in respect of the features and attributes particular to the proposed development being assessed.
- 70.** ~~66.~~ Sensitivity ratings assessed in the LVIA for East Anglia ONE are typically higher than the sensitivity ratings assessed in this, the LVIA for the proposed East Anglia THREE project. This is due to a combination of the change in methodology as described above, as well as the change in the baseline situation, brought about by the consent of East Anglia ONE. This consent affords a degree of certainty in relation to the construction of East Anglia ONE and, therefore, the assessment is based on a predicted baseline in which the components of this development are assumed to exist.
- 71.** ~~67.~~ In respect of the landfall location and onshore cable route, the sensitivity would be typically lower than that assessed for the East Anglia ONE project as the cables would be pulled through existing ducts, avoiding the use of trenching or HDD. Receptors would therefore be less susceptible to this [smaller scale and](#) lower impact process. In respect of the substation, the

sensitivity would also be typically lower as the East Anglia ONE ~~converter-station~~ **substation** is assumed to be present¹² as part of the predicted baseline, ~~establishing which, along with the operational Bramford Sub-station and associated electricity transmission lines, establishes~~ this type and scale of development as an integral part of the localised baseline character ~~and, in instances where visibility occurs, fully or partially screening the East Anglia THREE substation~~. In specific instances, these factors reduce the susceptibility of the landscape and visual receptors to the East Anglia THREE substation.

- 72.** ~~68.~~ OPEN's LVIA methodology accords with the guidance set out in the GLVIA3. Where it diverges from specific aspects of the guidance, in a small number of areas, reasoned professional justification for this is provided as follows.
- 73.** ~~69.~~ GLVIA 3 sets out an approach to the assessment of magnitude of change in which three separate considerations are combined within the magnitude of change rating. These are the size or scale of the impact, its geographical extent and its duration and reversibility. This approach is to be applied in respect of both landscape and visual receptors with reference made in paragraphs 5.48, 5.50-5.52, 6.38 and 6.40-6.41.
- 74.** ~~70.~~ OPEN considers that the process of combining all three considerations in one rating can distort the aim of identifying significant impacts of large scale development. For example, an increased magnitude of change, based on size or scale, may be reduced to a lower rating if it occurred in a localised area and for a short duration. This might mean that a potentially significant impact would be overlooked if impacts are diluted down due to their **limited** geographical extents and/or duration or reversibility. Conversely, a low magnitude of change, based on size or scale, may be increased to a higher rating if it occurred across a wider area or for a longer duration, giving rise to a significant ~~effect~~ **impact** despite the inherently low magnitude of change.
- 75.** ~~71.~~ OPEN has chosen to keep these three considerations separate, by basing the magnitude of change on size or scale to determine where significant and not significant impacts occur, and then describing the geographical extents of these impacts and their duration and reversibility separately.
- 76.** ~~72.~~ Presented below is a summary of the methodology, highlighting the criteria used as the basis for the assessment, the considerations taken into account in rating these criteria, with definitions for the different levels of rating

¹² As discussed previously in section 29.3.2 this is based on the ~~consented~~ dimensions ~~of~~ **contained** in the East Anglia ONE ~~converter-station~~ **Offshore Wind Farm (Corrections and Amendments) Order 2016**

and an explanation of how these criteria are combined to determine whether the impacts are significant or not significant.

29.5.3.1 Types of Impact

77. ~~73.~~ The impacts are categorised into three types: impacts on landscape receptors; impacts on visual receptors; and cumulative impacts on landscape and visual receptors.

29.5.3.1.1 Landscape Impacts

78. ~~74.~~ The LVIA considers the impacts on the physical elements of the site and the impacts on the landscape character of the site and its surroundings.

- Physical elements are those parts of the landscape that may be removed or altered as a result of the proposed East Anglia THREE project, for example trees, hedgerows, grassland.
- Landscape character is the distinct and recognisable pattern of elements that occurs consistently in a particular type of landscape and is often influenced by the way that landscape is perceived. Impacts on landscape character arise either through the introduction of new components that alter the pattern of existing elements, or through visibility of the proposed East Anglia THREE project, which may alter the way in which the pattern of elements is perceived. This category of impacts is considered in terms of landscape character receptors, which fall into two groups; landscape character types and landscape designations.

29.5.3.1.2 Visual Impacts

79. ~~75.~~ The LVIA considers the impact on people's views. Visual impacts include impacts on principal visual receptors and viewpoints.

- An assessment of the impacts on principal visual receptors includes residents of settlements, travellers using roads and railways, people using recreational routes, features and attractions throughout the study area.
- An assessment of the impacts on viewpoints representative of locations relevant to the principal visual receptors and from specific viewpoints, chosen because they are key or promoted viewpoints in the landscape.

29.5.3.2 Cumulative Impacts

80. ~~76.~~ Cumulative impacts arise where the study areas for two or more developments overlap so that both are experienced at proximity where they may have a greater incremental impact, or where developments may combine

to have a sequential impact, irrespective of any overlap in study areas. This means that the addition of the proposed East Anglia THREE project to a situation where other developments are apparent in the baseline landscape and visual context may result in a greater impact than where the proposed East Anglia THREE project is seen in isolation.

29.5.3.3 Sensitivity

81. ~~77.~~ In accordance with GLVIA3, sensitivity is determined by the combination of the value of the receptor and its susceptibility to the proposed East Anglia THREE project. The basis for the assessment is made clear using evidence and applying professional judgement in the assessment of each receptor.

29.5.3.3.1 Value

82. ~~78.~~ The value of a landscape receptor is a reflection of the value which society attaches to that landscape and is influenced by the presence or not of landscape designations, the quality of the landscape and the experience of that landscape.

83. ~~79.~~ The value of a view or series of views is a reflection of the recognition and the importance attached either formally through identification on mapping or being subject to planning designations, or informally through the value which society attaches to the view(s). The rating is determined through consideration of the formal or informal recognition of the view and its scenic quality.

84. ~~80.~~ Ratings of high, medium to high, medium, medium to low and low are used to describe the value of the receptors.

29.5.3.3.2 Susceptibility

85. ~~81.~~ The susceptibility of a landscape character receptor is a reflection of its ability to accommodate the changes that would occur as a result of the addition of the proposed East Anglia THREE project. The rating is determined through consideration of the character of that landscape and its association with the landscape where the proposed East Anglia THREE project is located. Susceptibility also considers the specific nature of the proposed East Anglia THREE project in terms of its potential impacts on the landscape receptor.

86. ~~82.~~ The susceptibility of a viewer relates to the nature of the viewer experiencing the view and how susceptible they are to the potential impacts of the proposed East Anglia THREE project. The rating is determined through consideration of the nature and experience of the viewer, as well as the principle characteristics of the view.

87. ~~83.~~ Ratings of high, medium to high, medium, medium to low and low are used to describe the susceptibility of the receptors.

29.5.3.4 Magnitude of Change

88. ~~84.~~ The magnitude of change on landscape and visual receptors is an expression of the scale of the change that would result from the proposed East Anglia THREE project, and is dependent principally on the size or scale of the change. The geographical extents and the duration and reversibility of the impacts are assessed separately but used to describe the area over which the impact would be experienced and how long that impact would last.

Table 29.5 Definitions of the Magnitude of Change Levels

High	A major alteration to the baseline characteristics of the landscape / view, introducing a new prevailing influence and / or components that are substantially uncharacteristic in the receiving landscape.
Medium	A moderate alteration to the baseline characteristics of the landscape / view, introducing a new and readily apparent influence and/or components that may be prominent but are not uncharacteristic in the receiving landscape.
Low	A minor alteration to the baseline characteristics of the landscape / view, introducing a new and slightly apparent influence and/or components that are characteristic in the receiving landscape.
Negligible	A negligible alteration to the baseline characteristics of the landscape / view, introducing a new and barely discernible influence and/or components that are substantially characteristic in the receiving landscape.

89. ~~85.~~ Intermediate ratings of medium to high and medium to low can also be applied.

90. ~~86.~~ The geographical extent over which the impacts of the proposed East Anglia THREE project would be experienced can be described using the following scales:

- At the site level, within the site of the proposed East Anglia THREE project itself;
- At the localised level, within the immediate setting of the site;
- At the receptor level, within the extent~~s~~ of the landscape or visual receptor; and
- At the multiple receptors level, within the extent~~s~~ of a number of landscape or visual receptors.

91. ~~87.~~ Duration is a separate consideration, whereby the period of time over which the impact is experienced, does not alter the magnitude of change rating, but describes how long the impact would last. This is of particular relevance in respect of the Single Phase and Two Phased options, whereby the impacts of the Two Phased approach would be spread over a longer period of time.

92. ~~88.~~ In terms of the proposed East Anglia THREE project, very short-term relates to a period of 0 to 1 year and applies mostly to the initial construction and decommissioning works. Short-term relates to a period of 1 to 5 years covering the entire construction and decommissioning phases and the period for reinstatement. Medium term relates to a period of 5 to 10 years and relates mostly to the time required for the majority of the vegetation to

re-establish and grow to a reasonable size. Long term relates to a period of 10 to 25 years and relates to the [majority of the](#) operational phase of the proposed East Anglia THREE project.→

- 93.** ~~89.~~ Reversibility is a judgement about the prospects and practicality of a particular impact being reversed. The majority of the impacts relating to the proposed East Anglia THREE project would be reversible.

29.5.3.5 Significance of the Impact

- 94.** ~~90.~~ The objective in assessing the impacts of the proposed East Anglia THREE project is to predict the significant impacts of the proposed East Anglia THREE project on landscape and visual receptors. In accordance with the GLVIA 3, the landscape and visual impacts are assessed to be either significant or not significant. The LVIA does not define levels of significance as guidance does not provide for these.
- 95.** ~~91.~~ The significance of impacts is assessed through a combination of the sensitivity of the landscape element, landscape character receptor or visual receptor, and the magnitude of change that would result from the proposed East Anglia THREE project.
- 96.** ~~92.~~ OPEN's methodology requires the application of professional judgement in accordance with the Landscape Institute's GLVIA3. Although it is not reliant on the use of a matrix, the following matrix has been included to illustrate how combinations of the ratings for sensitivity and magnitude of change can give rise to significant impacts, as well as to give an understanding of the threshold at which significant impacts may arise. *Table 29.6* provides this illustration.

Table 29.6 Impact Significance Matrix

	High	Medium-High	Medium	Medium-Low	Low	Negligible
High	Significant	Significant	Significant	Significant or not significant	Not significant	Not significant
Medium-High	Significant	Significant	Significant or not significant	Significant or not significant	Not significant	Not significant
Medium	Significant	Significant or not significant	Significant or not significant	Not significant	Not significant	Not significant
Medium-Low	Significant or not significant	Significant or not significant	Not significant	Not significant	Not significant	Not significant
Low	Significant or not significant	Not significant	Not significant	Not significant	Not significant	Not significant

97. ~~93.~~ Impacts that are assessed in the red boxes in the matrix are assessed to be significant in terms of the requirements of the EIA Regulations. Those impacts that are assessed in the orange boxes may be significant, or not significant, depending on the specific factors and impact that is assessed in respect of a particular landscape or visual receptor. Those impacts that are assessed in the green boxes are not judged to result in a significant impact. In accordance with the GLVIA3, experienced professional judgement is applied to the assessment of all impacts and reasoned justification is presented in respect of the findings in each case.

Table 29.7 Impact Significance Definitions

Significant	A significant impact occurs where the proposed East Anglia THREE project has a defining impact on the landscape / visual receptor.
Not significant	An impact is not significant where the proposed East Anglia THREE project does not have a defining impact on the landscape / visual receptor.
No change	No change occurs where the proposed East Anglia THREE project has no impact on the landscape / visual receptor.

98. ~~94.~~ A significant impact would occur where the combination of sensitivity and magnitude of change results in the proposed East Anglia THREE project having a defining impact on the physical element, landscape character receptor or visual receptor, so that it becomes the defining characteristic, albeit where it may be one of a number of defining characteristics. A not significant impact would occur where the proposed East Anglia THREE project does not become the defining characteristic, and the baseline

characteristics of the physical element, landscape character receptor or visual receptor continue to provide the definitive influence.

29.5.4 Cumulative Impact Assessment

- 99.** ~~95.~~ Chapter 6 Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology presents the general method and summarises the different steps of the Cumulative Impact Assessment for this chapter. *Appendix 29.1* sets out the more detailed methodology specific to the requirements of the LVIA process.
- 100.** ~~96.~~ The objective of the Cumulative Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (CLVIA) is to describe, visually represent and assess the ways in which the proposed East Anglia THREE project would have additional impacts when considered together with other existing, consented or proposed East Anglia-~~THREE~~ projects and to identify related significant cumulative impacts arising as a result of the proposed East Anglia THREE project. The guiding principle in preparing the CLVIA is to ‘focus on the likely significant impacts and in particular those which are likely to influence the outcome of the consenting process’, in accordance with SNH guidance.
- 101.** ~~97.~~ Projects with the potential to contribute to a significant cumulative impact are presented in Table 29.12 along with an initial assessment of their relevance to the cumulative assessment. Potential cumulative impacts are most likely to relate to East Anglia ONE and future EAOW projects owing to their close proximity and scale.

29.5.5 Graphic Production

- 102.** ~~98.~~ The written LVIA is accompanied by a set of graphics contained in Volume 2. Reference is made throughout the written text to these graphics, as they are an integral part of the overall assessment and of importance in illustrating specific issues. They should be viewed in accompaniment to the written text.
- 103.** ~~99.~~ The graphics can be divided into two categories; maps and visualisations. The maps are based on the study area around the landfall location, onshore cable route, and substation, and present data of relevance to the assessment, such as the location and extent of landscape character types, landscape designations and principal visual receptors. A Zone of Theoretical Visibility ('ZTV') map is also included in relation to the [East Anglia THREE](#) substation. This digitally calculates the extent and level of theoretical visibility across a given area, using OS Terrain 5 mapping with 10 m contour intervals as the basis for the calculations. As this is based only on the ‘bare earth’, it does not take account of potential screening by vegetation or buildings, and is why it is referred to as ‘theoretical visibility’ and not ‘actual visibility’.

104. ~~100.~~ The visualisations are based on the 16 viewpoint locations which are representative of the visual amenity of visual receptors in the surrounding area to the proposed **East Anglia THREE** substation. These viewpoints have been agreed with the statutory consultees. For each viewpoint there is a location plan and baseline photography. Beyond this, visualisations show the following scenarios;

- First phase of the Two Phased approach to the substation construction;
- Second phase of the Two Phased approach / Single Phased approach;
- Completed substation with mitigation planting and bunding after 15 years;
- Completed substation with a future East Anglia project; and
- Completed substation with a future East Anglia project with mitigation planting and bunding after 15 years.

105. ~~101.~~ The East Anglia THREE substation is shown to be added to a predicted baseline in which the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ **substation** is already present²³ - the consent of the East Anglia ONE project affords certainty with regard to the presence of the ~~converter station as part of predicted~~ **baseline substation as part of the predicted baseline. The dimensions and layout of the substation shown represent a non-material change to the Order for East Anglia ONE and proposed final design of the East Anglia ONE substation.** The first phase of the Two Phased approach shows only the western half of the substation developed. The second phase of the Two Phased approach shows the eastern half of the substation developed in addition to the western half. This equates to the Single Phased approach in which the whole substation would be developed in one phase.

106. ~~102.~~ Further visualisations are included which show the effect of mitigation planting and bunding 15 years after completion of the East Anglia THREE substation. This helps to visualise to what extent the substation would be screened from the different viewpoints. The cumulative visualisations show the effect of adding the East Anglia THREE substation to a cumulative scenario in which a future East Anglia project substation already exists. This scenario is also illustrated with mitigation planting and bunding after a 15 -year period.

107. ~~103.~~ For those viewpoints with potential to undergo significant impacts, the visualisations have been prepared as photomontages, using the baseline

²³ As discussed previously in section 29.3.2 this is based on the ~~consented~~ dimensions ~~of contained~~ **in the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ Offshore Wind Farm (Corrections and Amendments) Order 2016**

photography and adding onto this a computer generated model of the substation. For the remaining viewpoints, the visualisations have been prepared as computer models. More detailed information on graphic production is included in the Assessment Methodology in *Appendix 29.1*.

29.6 Baseline Assessment

29.6.1 Introduction

108. ~~104.~~ The following section summarises the baseline condition of the study areas for the landfall, onshore cable route and substation. More detailed descriptions of the landscape and visual receptors associated with the assessment are contained in *Appendix 29.3* and *Appendix 29.4*.

109. ~~105.~~ The baseline conditions are the existing conditions of the site and study area prior to the introduction of the proposed East Anglia THREE project. Establishing the baseline conditions develops an understanding of the important components or characteristics, as well as how these are changing in response to existing forces for change. The baseline assessment helps to define the scope of the assessment by identifying those receptors which will require detailed assessment.

110. ~~106.~~ The baseline conditions are presented under the following three headings:

- Landscape character;
- Landscape related planning policies and designations; and
- Visual receptors and views.

111. ~~107.~~ For the purposes of the assessment the onshore cable route is divided into nine sections, the boundaries mostly defined by major road or river crossings. These sections are used as the basis for the assessment of the onshore cable route. Each section comprises more than one Landscape Character Type (LCT) and where necessary, reference to these individual LCTs is made. The sections are shown in Figures 29.4a – 29.4d.

29.6.2 Landscape Character

112. ~~108.~~ Landscape Character Assessments are produced at the national level by Natural England and at the local level by Suffolk County Council. These publications categorise the landscape into Landscape Character Types; areas which share a distinct and recognisable set of characteristics and pattern of components.

- 113.** ~~109.~~ Natural England, at a national level, has classified the English landscape into National Character Areas (NCA), each of which presents characteristics which make it distinct from the other NCAs. This information is documented in the National Character Areas Study and is useful as a background reference to the assessment.
- 114.** ~~110.~~ A more local and detailed level of landscape classification has been carried out by local authorities. In respect of East Anglia THREE onshore works, Suffolk County Council's Local Character Types (LCTs) are of direct relevance to the assessment.
- 115.** ~~111.~~ Those LCTs which occur within the Study Areas of the landfall location, the onshore cable route and the substation are shown in *Figure 29.3* and referenced in Volume 3: *Appendix 29.2 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Baseline*. It is accepted that the boundaries of each of these LCTs, while clearly defined on plan would not be so readily apparent on the ground, where characteristics of adjoining LCTs are likely to be present.

29.6.2.1 Overview of Landscape Character

- 116.** ~~112.~~ The landscape character of Suffolk, where the onshore components of the proposed East Anglia THREE project are located, is predominantly low-lying, with a relatively flat landscape close to the coast, which becomes gently undulating inland. The coastal landscape is open and exposed, with a narrow band of low cliffs occurring to the north of Felixstowe. The estuary of the River Deben separates the landscape and is edged by saltmarshes and intertidal flats.
- 117.** ~~113.~~ The majority of the landscape throughout the study area is agricultural, with intensive arable production being the main type of farming. While the majority of the landscape has been modified by agricultural practices, there are a number of areas where the special qualities of the natural landscape are evident, such as the beaches and cliff faces, the estuarine marshes and flats, the riverside edges and the woodlands, many of which are ancient and which occur repeatedly across the wider landscape. The prolific extent of hedgerows and trees play an important role in providing enclosure and adding visual interest in an otherwise low and predominantly open landscape.
- 118.** ~~114.~~ The relatively low-lying and level landform, combined with the extent of hedgerow and tree cover means that views within the study area are relatively limited in extent. This effect is accentuated in the shallow valleys which occur where views are especially intimate. More expansive views occur along the coast and across the marshlands where the scale of the landscape is broader and there are fewer intervening features to screen and filter views.

29.6.2.2 Landscape Character of Landfall

119. ~~115.~~ The landfall is located in the Rolling Estate Sandlands LCT which is associated with the coastal landscape to the north of Felixstowe and along the estuary of the River Deben. The coastline comprises narrow shingle beach and low cliffs, with farmland abutting hard onto the cliff tops. Expansive views are drawn out across the North Sea, and often feature passing vessels.

29.6.2.3 Landscape Character of Onshore Cable Route

120. ~~116.~~ The onshore cable route passes through eleven different LCTs. The landscape around the proposed East Anglia THREE project is predominantly farmed agricultural land. Large open fields feature extensively along the route, many used for arable crops. Field boundaries are often hedgerows with occasional hedgerow trees and intermittent woodlands or woodland shelterbelts. The gently undulating agricultural landscape continues for the majority of the study area making it difficult to discern subtle changes between landscape character types within the narrow confines of the 1km study area along the onshore cable route.

121. ~~117.~~ A noticeable change in character occurs where there is a change from large scale agricultural farmland to more intimate narrow valleys. In these areas the open fields are replaced with smaller scale fields consisting of rough grassland and native scrub woodland in places, and with generally a greater provision of enclosure from hedgerows and tree cover. The character of the landscape also changes as the route passes through the coastal levels at either side of the River Deben. Here the landscape is flat and open, allowing long range views across the landscape and the river estuary, with a strong influence from the large, expansive sky.

29.6.2.4 Landscape Character of Substation Location

122. ~~118.~~ The substation is located in the Ancient Plateau Claylands LCT which is characterised by a flat or gently rolling arable landscape of clay soils and a field pattern of ancient enclosure partially modified by agricultural improvement. There are also the characteristic features of a dispersed settlement pattern of loosely clustered villages of medieval origin, albeit with some modern expansion. Hamlets and isolated farmsteads occur along the network of winding lanes and paths, often enclosed by associated mature hedgerows. Deciduous woodland, often ancient in origins, adds to the rural character of the landscape, as well as the containment of local views.

123. ~~119.~~ Built development in this landscape is typically small in scale and rural in character, such that the rural landscape defines the overall character of the LCT. The exception to this occurs around the Bramford substation, where

also the consented East Anglia ONE converter station is to be located. Existing woodland in this area largely screens the substation and converter station such that the impact of these structures is limited to close range and localised parts of the LCT. In association with the Bramford Substation, there is a convergence of electricity transmission lines, in respect of which, the **stanchions pylons** form readily visible structures, extending above the height of the woodland cover. The concentration of these structures comes to characterise this localised part of the LCT, although the **effect impact** dissipates with distance from the substation as the transmission lines disperse in different directions and intervening woodland screens the combined effect.

29.6.3 Landscape Designations

124. ~~120.~~ Landscape designations which occur within the Study Areas of the landfall location, the onshore cable route and the substation location are shown in *Figure 29.2* and referenced in *Volume 3: Appendix 29.2 Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Baseline*. Reference is also made in these appendices to designations relating to archaeology and cultural heritage.

29.6.3.1 Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)

125. ~~121.~~ AONBs are landscapes that are recognised to be of national importance. They are designated and protected under the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000, which places specific duties on local authorities to produce a management plan and places responsibility on all 'relevant authorities' in the area to conserve and enhance the natural beauty of the designated area. The first AONBs came into existence in 1956 and the designation of Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB was confirmed in 1970.

126. ~~122.~~ The Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB extends approximately 60km along the coast of Suffolk from the Stour Estuary in the south to Kessingland in the north. It is characterised by a low-lying coastal landscape including shingle beaches, crumbling cliffs, marshes, estuaries, heathland, forests and farmland. The AONB contains the few remaining fragments of Sandlings Heath and some of the least developed coastline in southern England. Neither of these areas occur within the onshore cable route study area while a short section of the coastline occurs in the landfall location study area.

127. ~~123.~~ The part of the study area subject to the Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB is the eastern part which extends from Bawdsey, on the coast, to Woodbridge where Martlesham Creek meets the River Deben. While the AONB designation predates the Suffolk Landscape Character Assessment, the special qualities of the AONB are based on the LCTs which occur in the area. The route passes through five of the eight LCTs which make up the

AONB, of which four are agricultural landscapes, with the Saltmarsh and Intertidal Flats LCT being the only largely unmodified landscape.

- 128.** ~~124.~~ At the coast, the landfall and initial section of the onshore cable route lie within an area of Rolling Estate Farmlands LCT, before passing into the Coastal Levels LCT, and then passing through a very narrow band of Saltmarsh and Intertidal Flats LCT, prior to crossing the River Deben. On the opposite bank, the route passes again through the Coastal Levels LCT and then a mix of different agricultural landscapes where subtle variations in character occur as a result of the changing topography and extent of enclosure afforded by mature tree cover and hedgerows.
- 129.** ~~125.~~ Beyond the crossing of the River Deben, the onshore cable route lies on the western edge of the AONB where the influence of the Saltmarshes and Intertidal Flats LCT is not readily evident and the character of the landscape is largely influenced by the agricultural land uses. With the exception of small sections of Saltmarshes and Intertidal Flats and Valley Meadowlands LCTs, the location of the onshore cable route would be through an agricultural landscape where the extent of mature tree cover and hedgerows combined with the subtle variations in landform add to the rural identity. ↪

29.6.3.2 Special Landscape Areas (SLA)

- 130.** ~~126.~~ SLAs are landscapes that are recognised to be of local importance. Saved Policy CL2 from the Mid Suffolk Local Plan (1998) states *'Within Special Landscape Areas, particular care will be taken to safeguard landscape quality, and where development does occur it should be sensitively designed, with high standards of layout, material and landscaping.'* With specific reference to utility installations and power lines, there is an expectation that these should be routed away from SLAs to avoid visual intrusion. Suffolk Coastal District Policy AP21 also states that: *'In the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and Special Landscape Areas the form of buildings, choice of materials, and colours must be sympathetic to the general character of the area and seek to reduce visual impact.'*
- 131.** ~~127.~~ Mid Suffolk Core Strategy states in Paragraph 3.18: *'The Landscape Character Assessment does not replace the Special Landscape Area local designations. These designations will form part of the Development Control Policies DPD and will remain adopted until superseded by a level 3 Landscape Character Assessment of the District.'* The level 3 Landscape Character Assessment was not available for areas within the study area at the time of writing.
- 132.** ~~128.~~ The SLAs are shown in *Figure 29.2* and referenced where relevant within the assessment in relation to the sensitivity of the landscape. The East Anglia

THREE onshore cable route passes through areas designated as SLA, while the East Anglia THREE substation lies outwith the SLA designations.

29.6.4 Visual Receptors and Views

133. ~~129.~~ Principal visual receptors which occur within the Study Areas of the landfall location, the onshore cable route and the substation location are shown in *Figures 29.4a to 29.4d* and referenced in Volume 3: *Appendix 29.2 – Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Baseline*.

134. ~~130.~~ Principal visual receptors include roads, settlements, Public Rights of Way (PRoWs) and other features from which visual receptors would experience views. The relatively discreet nature of the landfall location and the onshore cable route means that only the views of close range receptors would be affected and that impacts would be most likely to occur during construction, when machinery, spoil heaps and stored materials would form a more visible feature than the restored land and small kiosks which would be the only visible features post construction. The substation is a larger scale development with a greater extent of visibility and therefore visual receptors over a wider area would potentially be affected, with impacts most likely to occur during operation, as well as construction and decommissioning.

29.6.4.1 Landfall Location

135. ~~131.~~ Starting at the landfall location, the principal visual receptor with the potential to be affected would be the Suffolk Coast Path which extends from Felixstowe to Lowestoft. Some visibility may also occur from the residential properties to the south of Bawdsey and the minor road which connects with the ferry point.

29.6.4.2 Onshore Cable Route

136. ~~132.~~ The main settlements which have the potential to be affected by the onshore cable route include the villages of Waldringfield and Martlesham on the west bank of the River Deben and the southern side of the town of Woodbridge to the north of Martlesham Creek. To the west of Woodbridge, the onshore cable route passes between the villages of Great Bealings and Little Bealings both of which have potential to be affected. Where the onshore cable route passes under the A14, the route is sufficiently south of Claydon that it is unlikely to lead to significant impacts.

137. ~~133.~~ The majority of the roads which cross the onshore cable route are minor roads which access rural areas and which carry low volumes of traffic. To the west of Woodbridge, the onshore cable route passes under the larger and busier A12, as well as the Lowestoft to Ipswich Rail Line. To the south of

Claydon, the onshore cable route passes under the A14 and the Ipswich to Norwich Rail Line.

- 138.** ~~134.~~ The onshore cable route crosses a large number of footpaths; the most important of these in relation to the hierarchy of footpaths are the Fynn Valley Walk and the Gipping ~~v~~Valley River Path, both of which are long distance footpaths. National Cycle Route 1 crosses at various points along the onshore cable route and then passes around the northern side of the substation location.

29.6.4.3 Substation

- 139.** ~~135.~~ The ZTV for the substation gives an initial indication of those principal visual receptors which have the potential to be affected. The valley location of a number of the villages reduces their potential to gain visibility of the substation location. It is only the villages set up on the higher ground that have the potential for visibility, such as the fringes of some of the villages, for example Burstall and Hintlesham. The extent of tree cover in and around most of the villages, combined with the screening effect of the village buildings, reduce actual visibility from many of the properties. The settled nature of this landscape means that a number of dispersed properties, such as farms, occur though the rural landscape and where open aspects occur there is the possibility of impacts arising.
- 140.** ~~136.~~ There are no main roads in the study area, with the exception of the A14 which passes through the eastern edge and which is shown on the ZTV to gain very small patches of visibility. The ZTV shows that only sections of the rural minor road network would gain visibility of the substation. This would be greatly reduced by the extent of hedgerows, hedge-trees, hedge-banks and trees which align with most of the minor roads. It is only where these have been removed that open views from the road occur, for example to the south-west of Flowton and north-east of Elmsett.
- 141.** ~~137.~~ Similar to the study area of the onshore cable route, there are many footpaths through the study area of the substation. The presence of hedgerows, hedge-trees, hedge-banks and trees alongside many of the footpaths and across the wider landscape reduce the extent~~s~~s to which the substation would be visible. There are a number of PRoWs which pass close to the site, and others which are slightly more distant but with short open sections, from which views of the substation may be gained.

29.7 Residual Impacts

- 142.** ~~138.~~ The detailed assessment of impacts on landscape and visual receptors is presented in the following appendices;

- *Appendix 29.3: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment of Landfall Location and Onshore Cable Route;* and
- *Appendix 29.4: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment of Substation.*

143. ~~139.~~ Presented below is text and tables which summarise the findings of these detailed assessments for the Single Phase and Two Phased approaches. In the Single Phase approach all construction works would take place within a relatively continuous period. In the Two Phased approach the construction works would occur in two separate phases ~~with a defined gap period when no construction works would take place. In respect of the landfall location and onshore cable route, the main effect of the Two Phased approach would be the setting up of the seven CCSs and construction of jointing bays at 62 locations over two separate phases.~~ at the substation.

144. ~~140.~~ In respect of the substation at Bramford, the main ~~effect~~impact of the Two Phased approach would be the construction of half the overall built form in the first phase and the construction of the second half of the built form in the second phase. The Single Phase and Two Phased approaches would only have implications during the construction phase – during the operational and decommissioning phases the impacts would be the same regardless of whether the construction has taken place under a Single Phase or Two Phased approach.

145. ~~141.~~ In terms of the implications of the LFAC and HVDC electrical solutions on the assessment, for the landfall and onshore cable route there would be no visible differences (more cables will be used for the LFAC solution but these will be contained in the same number of ducts and buried underground). The HVDC solution would require a converter station while the LFAC solution would require a substation. Although the compound for the LFAC solution would be 10m wider, the buildings for both electrical solutions would be the same dimensions.

146. ~~142.~~ Where significant impacts have been identified, the assessments for the receptors being significantly affected are summarised with specific and relevant detail.

29.7.1 Potential Impacts of Landfall, Onshore Cable Route and Substation Construction

29.7.1.1 Potential Impacts of Landfall Location Construction

147. ~~143.~~ For the purposes of the assessment it is assumed that East Anglia ONE would be installed. HDD construction works would, therefore, already have taken place at the landfall location, and as a result the impact of the East

Anglia THREE construction works would be greatly reduced, requiring smaller scale of works than that required for East Anglia ONE. As the cable ducts would already be installed, the main feature of the construction process would be construction of the transition bays and pulling through of the onshore cables.

29.7.1.1.1 Potential Impacts of Landfall Construction on Physical Elements

148. ~~144.~~ The potential impacts of the landfall location on the physical elements of the cliffs and cliff tops would be ~~reduced~~ **limited** as the existing ducts would already be in place and no further disturbance to the cliffs or cliff tops would occur other than access to the beach, which would be required under the short HDD option (see Chapter 5 Description of the Development). Access onto the beach by plant would potentially be required, and the disturbance which the construction of a ramp would incur, ~~despite its relatively short term duration prior to reinstatement and localised extent,~~ would give rise to a **medium to high magnitude of change and a significant impact**. **This impact would cover the localised extent where the disturbance would occur, and would be very short term (0-1 years) and reversible.** The impact of the construction works on the other physical elements **associated with the beach and the adjacent agricultural land** would be **not significant**. This ~~assessment applies to both the Single Phase and Two Phased approaches, the difference being that for the Two Phased approach the significant impacts predicted would occur across the two separate construction periods, making them short term in duration, as opposed to very short term in respect of the Single Phase approach.~~ **finding relates principally to the relatively lower sensitivity of the physical elements associated with these locations and the relatively small scale of the construction works.**

29.7.1.1.2 Potential Impacts of Landfall Construction on Landscape Character

149. ~~145.~~ The potential impacts on the landscape character of the Rolling Estate Sandlands LCT and the Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB would be limited by the presence of the existing ducts through the cliffs and adjacent farmland- ~~which.~~ **This** would enable the simpler and smaller scale process of pulling through cables without HDD drilling or open-cut trenching. These construction operations would be relatively small in scale ~~and sufficiently localised in extents to ensure that in respect of the wider LCT and AONB their impacts,~~ **giving rise to a medium to low magnitude of change and an impact that** would be **not significant**. ~~This assessment applies to both the Single Phase and Two Phased approaches. Despite the impacts occurring twice during the Two Phased approach, they would remain not significant during each phase.~~ **The impacts would be very short term and reversible, and occur in a localised area around the**

construction works. The extent of the impacts would cover a very small proportion of the much wider LCTs and AONB.

29.7.1.1.3 Potential Impacts of Landfall Construction on Visual Amenity

150. ~~146.~~ The potential impacts on the visual amenity of walkers on the Suffolk Coast Path and road-users on Ferry Road would be limited as the construction works would ~~require~~involve a pull-through of the cables without the requirement for HDD drilling or open-cut trenching. The visible components of the construction process would be sufficiently small in scale and relatively well contained to ensure the impacts ~~would on walkers and road-users would give rise to a medium to low magnitude of change and be not significant.~~ ~~This assessment applies to both the Single Phase and Two-Phased approaches. Despite the impacts occurring twice during the Two-Phased approach, they would remain not significant during each phase.~~ The impacts would be very short term and reversible, and localised around the construction works.

29.7.1.2 Potential Impacts of Onshore Cable Route Construction

151. ~~147.~~ Cable pulling operations would be undertaken at up to 62 locations along the onshore cable route. At each of these locations, there would be a requirement to construct up to two jointing bays and four kiosks. Access would be either, via haul road for isolated jointing bay locations, upgraded track access or directly from the public highway wherever possible. The haul roads and CCS would be constructed as part of the proposed East Anglia THREE project, in locations where they were constructed and removed in relation to East Anglia ONE. In some locations, removal of hedgerows and other types of vegetation would be required for the construction of the jointing bays. ~~Under the Single Phase approach both jointing bays would be constructed in one construction period, while under the Two-Phased approach, one would be constructed in the first phase and the other in the second phase.~~

29.7.1.2.1 Potential Impacts of Onshore Cable Route Construction on Physical Elements

152. ~~148.~~ The potential impacts on the physical elements would be ~~notably reduced~~limited by the existing presence of the ducts. Disturbance to, or loss of vegetation would be limited where the jointing bays would be constructed and cables pulled through. The majority of the works would take place in the less sensitive agricultural land with relatively little disturbance to hedgerows or woodland. Where sections of hedgerow would be removed in relation to the construction of the haul roads and CCSs, these would be the specimens replanted following the completion of East Anglia ONE and therefore would be relatively immature. Their removal would, therefore, have ~~a lesser~~less of an impact than if they were more mature and well established specimens.

153. ~~149.~~ The impact on the physical elements would be **not significant** owing to the relatively small proportion of the wider physical elements that would be disturbed or removed, ~~the localised extent of the impacts,~~ and the reversibility of impacts through the reinstatement of vegetation on completion of the construction works. ~~This assessment applies to both the Single Phase and Two Phased approaches. Despite the impacts occurring twice during the Two Phased approach, they would remain not significant during each phase, although the impacts would occur over a longer period of time~~ The impacts would be contained within those areas where the disturbance would occur, and would be very short term and reversible.

29.7.1.2.2 Potential Impacts of Onshore Cable Route Construction on Landscape Character

154. ~~150.~~ The potential impacts on landscape character would be ~~notably reduced~~ limited by the existing presence of the ducts, whereby the pull-through process would reduce the scale of the construction works and therefore the extent to which the character of the landscape would be altered. Haul roads and CCSs would be a requirement and a concentration of construction activity would occur in these locations and in relation to the jointing bays. The removal of hedgerows in relation to the haul roads and CCSs would coincide with sections where previous removals had occurred in relation to East Anglia ONE and, therefore, the removal of replanted specimens would have ~~a lesser~~ less of an impact than if they were more mature and well established specimens. The magnitude of change would be low or medium to low and the impact on the LCTs and the AONB would be **not significant** owing to the ~~localised influence~~ relatively small scale of the construction works, the limited extent to which the characterising features of the landscape would be altered, the impermanent nature of the construction works and the reversibility of any residual impacts. ~~This assessment applies to both the Single Phase and Two Phased approaches. Despite the impacts occurring twice during the Two Phased approach, they would remain not significant during each phase, although the impacts would occur for a longer period of time.~~ The impacts would be short-term and contained within the localised areas around the haul roads, CCSs and jointing bays, such that the wider extent of the LCTs and AONB would remain unaffected.

29.7.1.2.3 Potential Impacts of Onshore Cable Route Construction on Visual Amenity

155. ~~151.~~ The potential impacts of the onshore cable route on the visual amenity of the residents, road-users, walkers, horse riders, sailors and other visual receptors would arise principally from the construction and activity associated with the haul roads ~~and the~~ CCSs and jointing bays, as well as the presence of machinery, equipment and storage associated with the construction works.

156. ~~152.~~ While the construction works would come close to a number of PRowS, roads, settlements and rivers, the impacts would be **not significant**. This would be on account of the ~~localised influence~~ relatively small scale of the construction works, the limited visibility of the construction works across the wider area, the impermanent nature of the construction works and the reversibility of any residual impacts. ~~This assessment applies to both the Single Phase and Two Phased approaches. Despite the impacts occurring twice during the Two Phased approach, they would remain not significant during each phase, although the impacts would occur for a longer duration~~ The impacts would be very short-term and contained within the localised extents around the haul roads, CCSs and jointing bays.

29.7.1.3 Potential Impacts of Substation Construction

157. ~~153.~~ For the purposes of the assessment it is assumed that East Anglia ONE would be operational and that it would occupy the land to the immediate ~~south~~-west of where the East Anglia THREE substation would be constructed. In respect of some receptors, ~~this would reduce the impact as East Anglia ONE would either partially or fully screen the construction of the East Anglia THREE substation, while in respect of other receptors~~ the construction of East Anglia THREE would be seen in conjunction with the East Anglia ONE ~~converter stations~~ substation. Impacts would arise primarily in relation to the construction of the main building associated with the proposed East Anglia THREE substation, ~~while smaller.~~ Smaller scale construction works, such as the open trenching of the onshore cable route to the east of the substation would have a limited influence on landscape and visual receptors, owing to their small scale, as well as the existing influence of energy infrastructure on this close range and localised area.

29.7.1.3.1 Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Physical Elements

158. ~~154.~~ The East Anglia THREE substation would give rise to the loss of agricultural land and the potential removal of select hedgerows and trees. An area of agricultural land would be developed upon, however, owing to the low sensitivity of the agricultural land and its common occurrence across the wider landscape, the impact of the loss would be **not significant**. In respect of the loss of select trees and hedgerows, only a small proportion would be removed and these losses would be offset by the substantial extent of mitigation planting being proposed. This assessment applies to both the Single Phase and Two Phased approaches. Despite the impacts occurring twice during the Two Phased approach, they would remain not significant during each phase, although the impacts would occur for a longer period of time. The impacts would be localised, very short term and reversible.

29.7.1.3.2 Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Landscape Character

- 159.** ~~155.~~ In respect of the potential impacts on landscape character, the East Anglia THREE substation in addition to East Anglia ONE ~~converter stations~~ substation would give rise to variable impacts depending on the extent of screening. The impact on landscape character to the north-west and north-east ~~is~~ would be reduced by the screening effect of the intervening woodland at Bushey Grove and Gobert's Grove, and to the south by the partial screening effect of the existing ~~substation~~ Bramford Sub-station and surrounding woodland. In these directions the magnitude of change would be low and the impact during construction would be **not significant**.
- 160.** The addition of* East Anglia THREE substation to the immediate east of the East Anglia ONE *substation would mean that* from the landscape to the west and south-west **the construction works would be *seen set behind the operational East Anglia ONE substation. This would screen the majority of the ground level works and while the emerging converter hall or substation hall and associated cranes would be visible above the East Anglia ONE components, they would be seen in a developed context. The magnitude of change would be medium to low and the* impact in these directions would be not significant. *
- 161.** ~~156. The addition of the* East Anglia THREE substation to the immediate east of the East Anglia ONE *converter station means that* the construction works would be *screened* from the landscape to the west and south-west *and the cumulative* - impact in these directions would be not significant.~~ ~~*To the east and north, where the landscape is exposed to the construction of the East Anglia THREE substation, this would be seen with the presence of the East Anglia ONE converter form a more notable feature. The construction works would be seen in a context in which the influences from East Anglia ONE substation and Bramford Sub-station in the background would be less apparent.~~ Out to a radius of 800m to the north and 800m to the east the magnitude of change would be medium to high and the impact on the Ancient Plateau Claylands LCT would be **significant**. The impacts would be very short-term and reversible.
- 162.** ~~157.~~ This assessment applies to both the Single Phase and Two Phased approaches, with the predicted significant impacts occurring during the two separate construction periods and therefore for an overall longer period of time. The not significant impacts would also apply equally to the Single Phase and Two Phased approaches.

29.7.1.3.3 Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Visual Amenity

163. ~~158.~~ An initial assessment to consider the potential impacts of the substation on the viewpoints is presented in *Table 29.8* below. Many of the viewpoints are discounted from the assessment owing to the limited extent to which the East Anglia THREE substation or the East Anglia ONE ~~converter-~~
~~station~~substation are visible, either as a result of distance, the screening effect of intervening woodland or the screening effect of the ~~converter-station-~~
~~or-substation~~substations of one another. In these instances, the impacts are assessed as **not significant**. The shaded cells in the table indicate which viewpoints have the potential to give rise to significant impacts and are to be assessed in detail. Both the Single Phase and Two Phased approaches have been considered in this initial assessment, although ultimately both would lead to the same magnitude of change, albeit occurring over a longer period of time in respect of the Two Phased approach. The implications of phasing are considered in the detailed assessments.

Table 29.8. Potential Impacts on Visual Amenity

VP 1- Offton Road, near Elmsett Village	East Anglia THREE substation and East Anglia ONE converter- station <u>substation</u> would be distant and partially screened by intervening woodland.
VP2 – Flowton Village	East Anglia THREE substation and East Anglia ONE converter- station <u>substation</u> would be partially screened by intervening woodland.
VP3 –Flowton to Burstallhill Minor Road	East Anglia THREE substation and East Anglia ONE converter- station <u>substation</u> would be partially screened by intervening woodland.
VP4 – Minor Road to Hintlesham Priory	East Anglia THREE substation and East Anglia ONE converter- station <u>substation</u> would be screened by intervening woodland.
VP5 – Orchard Lands, near Canes Farm	East Anglia THREE substation would be partially screened by <u>visible to the rear of</u> East Anglia ONE converter station and intervening tree cover and would lie at a greater distance <u>substation with screening from close range hedgerows and partial screening from more distant tree cover. There would be the potential for a significant impact.</u>
VP 6 – PROW 147/006/0, near Hill Farm	East Anglia ONE converter station would largely screen East Anglia THREE substation, reducing its influence on the cumulative situation <u>would be located to the rear of East Anglia ONE substation and intervening tree cover would form partial screening. There would be the potential for a significant impact.</u>
VP7 - Burstall	East Anglia THREE substation and East Anglia ONE converter- station <u>substation</u> would be partially screened by intervening woodland, <u>and seen set</u> int <u>partially below</u> the horizon and with East Anglia THREE seen at a greater distance set behind ONE.
VP8 – A1071, near Valley Farm	East Anglia THREE substation and East Anglia ONE converter- station <u>substation</u> would be distant and screened by intervening woodland.
VP9 – Thornbush Hall	East Anglia THREE substation and East Anglia ONE converter- station <u>substation</u> would be screened by intervening woodland.

VP 10 – PRoW 155/047/0, near Fidgeon's Farm	East Anglia THREE substation and East Anglia ONE converter- station substation would be visible from this viewpoint with the potential to give rise to a significant impact.
VP 11 – PRoW 155/002/0, near Bullenhall Farm	East Anglia THREE substation and East Anglia ONE converter- station substation would be visible from this viewpoint with the potential to give rise to a significant impact.
VP12 – PRoW 155/003/0, near Tye House	East Anglia THREE substation and East Anglia ONE converter- station substation would be visible from this viewpoint with the potential to give rise to a significant impact.
VP13 – Tye Lane	No visibility of East Anglia THREE substation.
VP14 – Valley Road, near Nettlestead	East Anglia THREE substation and East Anglia ONE converter- station substation would be distant and partially screened by intervening woodland.
VP15 – Church Hill, near Canes Farm	East Anglia THREE substation and East Anglia ONE converter- station substation would be screened by intervening woodland.
VP16 – PRoW 155/003/0, north-east of site	East Anglia THREE substation would be screened by intervening woodland.

29.7.1.3.4 Single Phase: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 5

164. For the purpose of the assessment it is assumed East Anglia ONE substation would be operational and partially visible as a collection of electrical infrastructure components, although from this viewpoint, mostly screened by intervening tree cover. The construction of East Anglia THREE substation would occur to the rear of East Anglia ONE substation. The intervening tree cover would screen the majority of the ground level construction works, while the emergence of the substation hall and the tall cranes associated with their construction would be visible above the tree tops.

165. The construction works would be seen to be located in an area where there is already a concentration of pylons, as well as two existing substations. It would, therefore, not appear as a new or unfamiliar feature in this view, but would add to the concentration of energy developments in this area. The East Anglia THREE construction works would not increase the horizontal extent of development as pylons already occur in the same part of the view. While the emerging structure of the East Anglia THREE substation would be in close alignment with the East Anglia ONE substation, it would be more apparent owing to its taller height and more solid form. The magnitude of change as a result of the construction works would be moderated by the influence that the existing Bramford Sub-station and associated pylons have on this view. Taking these factors into account, the magnitude of change would be

medium and the impact would be not significant. The impact would be localised, very short term and reversible.

29.7.1.3.5 Two Phased: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 5

166. In the Two Phased approach to construction it would be the converter hall or substation hall closest to East Anglia ONE that would be constructed during phase one and then the substation hall adjacent to the east that would be constructed during Phase Two. The impact of the construction of Phase One would have the same impact as the Single Phased approach assessed above, as the construction works associated with Phase One would be seen at the same minimum range from the viewpoint. Phase Two construction would be largely screened by phase one and therefore would have less of an impact. The impact of Phase One and Phase Two would be not significant. The impact would be localised, short term and reversible.

29.7.1.3.6 Single Phase: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 6

167. For the purpose of the assessment it is assumed East Anglia ONE substation would be operational, partially visible from this viewpoint as a collection of electrical infrastructure components, although mostly screened by the intervening tree cover. The construction of East Anglia THREE substation would occur to the rear of East Anglia ONE substation. The intervening tree cover would screen the majority of the ground level construction works, while the emergence of the substation hall and the tall cranes associated with their construction would be visible above the tree tops.

168. The construction works would be seen to be located in an area where there is already a concentration of pylons, as well as two existing substations. It would, therefore, not appear as a new or unfamiliar feature in this view, but would add to the concentration of energy developments in this location. While the emerging structure of the East Anglia THREE substation would be in close alignment with the East Anglia ONE substation, it would be more readily apparent owing to its taller height and more solid form. The magnitude of change as a result of the construction works would be moderated by the influence that the existing Bramford Sub-station and associated pylons have on this view. Taking these factors into account, the magnitude of change would be medium and the impact would be not significant. The impact would be very short-term, localised and reversible.

29.7.1.3.7 Two Phased: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 6

169. In the Two Phased approach to construction it would be the converter hall or substation hall closest to East Anglia ONE that would be

constructed during Phase One and then the substation hall adjacent to the east that would be constructed during Phase Two. The impact of the construction of Phase One would have the same impact as the Single Phased approach assessed above, as the construction works associated with Phase One would be seen at the same minimum range from the viewpoint. Phase Two construction would be largely screened by phase one and therefore would have less of an impact. The impact of Phase One and Phase Two would be not significant.

29.7.1.3.8 ~~29.7.1.3.4~~ Single Phase: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 10

- 170.** ~~159.~~ It is assumed East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ substation would be operational ~~and visible as a long and low roofline seen, albeit with very limited visibility occurring where distant components are seen through or~~ above the intervening tree cover. The construction of East Anglia THREE substation would occur to the fore ~~and right~~ of East Anglia ONE ~~converter station,~~ ~~although the~~ substation. ~~The~~ intervening tree cover would screen the majority of the ~~ground level~~ construction works, with ~~the exception of the higher level works which would be seen above the tree tops, including~~ the emergence of the converter hall or substation hall ~~roof structure~~ and cranes ~~needed for~~ associated with its construction ~~— visible above the tree tops. It would be mostly the roof structure that would be visible, which, at a range of 1.1km would form a comparatively minor feature in the view.~~
- 171.** ~~160.~~ The construction works would be seen to be located in ~~an area, where there area part of the view~~ already ~~characterised by large scale~~ pylons and a mast, ~~as well as an existing converter station. It would, therefore, not appear as a new or unfamiliar feature in this view, but would add to the concentration of modern structures in this location.~~ ~~The comparison between the scale of the pylons and masts and the scale of the construction works would act to reduce the perceived scale of the construction works.~~ While the emerging structure of the East Anglia THREE substation would ~~be in close alignment with the East Anglia ONE converter station, it would form an~~ increase ~~to~~ the extents of development ~~to the right in the view, it would be largely screened by intervening woodland, and be seen as a relatively minor component.~~ Taking all these factors into account ~~the magnitude of change would be medium to low and~~ the impact would be **not significant**. ~~The impact would be localised in extent, very short term and reversible.~~

29.7.1.3.9 ~~29.7.1.3.5~~ Two Phased: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint 10

- 172.** ~~161.~~ In the Two Phased approach to construction it would be the converter hall or substation hall closest to East Anglia ONE that would be constructed during ~~pPhase eOne~~ and then the ~~converter hall or~~ substation hall adjacent to the east that would be constructed during ~~pPhase tTwo~~. The impact of the construction of phase one would have a slightly lesser impact than the Single Phase assessed above, as the construction would be seen at a slightly longer range from the viewpoint and seen to occupy a slightly more contained horizontal extent. The impact of phase one would be **not significant**.
- 173.** ~~162.~~ The impact of Phase Two would be the same as assessed in respect of the Single Phase approach assessed above, giving rise to a **not significant** impact. Overall, the duration of the impact would be increased over a longer period of time.

29.7.1.3.10 ~~29.7.1.3.6~~ **Single Phase: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint**
11

- 174.** ~~163.~~ It is assumed that the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ substation would be operational ~~and visible as a large scale structure, although visibility of components would be limited to those parts rising above the tops of the intervening tree cover~~. The construction of the East Anglia THREE substation would occur to the fore ~~and right~~ of the East Anglia ONE ~~converter stations~~ substation, and in the absence of any substantial tree cover, would be readily visible from this viewpoint and sections of the PROW in either direction. The addition of the construction works ~~to a view in which the East Anglia ONE converter station is already a prominent, albeit more distant feature within close proximity to this viewpoint~~, would give rise to a **medium magnitude of change and a significant** impact. **The impact would be localised in extent, very short term and reversible.**

29.7.1.3.11 ~~29.7.1.3.7~~ **Two Phased: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint**
11

- 175.** ~~164.~~ In the Two Phased approach to construction it would be the converter hall or substation hall closest to East Anglia ONE that would be constructed during Phase One and then the ~~converter hall or~~ substation hall adjacent to the east that would be constructed during ~~pPhase tTwo~~. The impact of the construction of ~~pPhase eOne~~ would have a slightly lesser impact than the Single Phase assessed above, as the construction would be seen at a slightly longer range from the viewpoint and seen to occupy a slightly more contained horizontal extent. The impact of ~~pPhase eOne~~ would be **significant** as the construction works would be readily visible and seen at relatively close proximity.

176. ~~165.~~ The impact of Phase Two would be the same as assessed in respect of the Single Phase approach assessed above, giving rise to a **significant** impact. Overall, the duration of the impact would be increased over a longer period of time.

29.7.1.3.12 ~~29.7.1.3.8~~ **Single Phase: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint**
12

177. ~~166.~~ It is assumed East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ substation would be operational ~~and visible as a large scale structure, with components visible in the gap on the skyline between Gobert's Grove to the left of centre of the view and Bushey Grove to the right.~~ The construction of East Anglia THREE substation would occur to the fore of East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ substation, making it a closer range feature to the viewpoint. While some screening would occur from the intervening woodland, the construction works would be readily visible in the gap between Bushey Grove and Gobert's Grove. The ~~addition~~ relative proximity of the construction works to ~~a view in which the East Anglia ONE converter station is already a prominent feature~~ the viewpoint and the prominence of their location along the skyline would give rise to a medium to high magnitude of change and a significant impact, ~~—, despite the existing presence and influence of energy infrastructure and the partial screening by existing woodland. The impact would be localised in extent, very short-term and reversible.~~

29.7.1.3.13 ~~29.7.1.3.9~~ **Two Phased: Potential Impacts of Substation Construction on Viewpoint**
12

178. ~~167.~~ In the Two Phased approach to construction it would be the converter hall or substation hall closest to East Anglia ONE that would be constructed during ~~pPhase eOne~~ and then the ~~converter hall or~~ substation hall adjacent to the east that would be constructed during ~~pPhase tTwo~~. The impact of the construction of ~~pPhase eOne~~ would have a slightly lesser impact than the Single Phase assessed above, as the construction would be seen at a slightly longer range from the viewpoint and seen to occupy a slightly more contained horizontal extent. The impact of ~~pPhase eOne~~ would be **significant** as the construction works would be readily visible and seen at relatively close proximity.

179. ~~168.~~ The impact of ~~pPhase tTwo~~ would be the same as assessed in respect of the Single Phase approach assessed above, giving rise to a **significant** impact. Overall, the duration of the impact would be increased over a longer period of time.

Table 29.9 Summary of Impacts of Landfall Location, Onshore Cable Route and Substation

Construction of Landfall Location					
Physical elements	Beach	Medium to low	Medium to low	Not significant	SP: Very short-term TP: Short-term
	Cliffs / cliff top	Medium to high	Medium to high in area of access road	Significant in area of access route	SP: Very short-term TP: Short-term
			Medium to low in remaining areas	Not significant in remaining areas	SP: Very short-term TP: Short-term
Agricultural land	Low	Medium	Not significant	SP: Very short-term TP: Short-term	
Landscape character receptors	Rolling Estate Sandlands LCT	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	SP: Very short-term TP: Short-term
	Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	SP: Very short-term TP: Short-term
Visual receptors	Suffolk Coast Path	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	SP: Very short-term TP: Short-term
Construction of Onshore Cable Route					
Physical elements	Agricultural land	Low	Low	Not significant	Short Very short-term

	Marshy grassland and swamp / Calciferous grassland	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
	Hedgerow / Hedgerow trees	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
	Trees and woodlands	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
	Watercourses	High	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Landscape Character Section 1	Rolling Estate Sandlands	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Section 2	Rolling Estate Sandlands / Coastal Levels / Plateau Estate Farmlands	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
	Saltmarshes and Intertidal Flats	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Section 3	Rolling Estate Sandlands / Estate Sandlands/ Plateau Estate Farmlands	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
	Valley Meadowlands	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Section 4	Rolling Estate Sandlands / Estate Sandlands	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Section 5	Valley Meadowlands / Rolling Valley Farmlands and Furze	Medium to low	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Section 6	Ancient Rolling Farmlands / Rolling Valley	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term

	Farmlands and Furze				
Section 7	Ancient Rolling Farmlands / Rolling Valley Farmlands and Furze	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Section 8	Ancient Rolling Farmlands / Rolling Estate Farmlands	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Section 9	Ancient Plateau Claylands / Rolling Valley Farmlands / Valley Meadowlands	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB	Saltmarsh and Intertidal Flats / Valley Meadowlands / Coastal Levels / Estate Sandlands / Rolling Estate Sandlands / Estate Farmlands	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Section 1	Walkers	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
	Road-users	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Section 2	Walkers	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
	River-users	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Section 3	Walkers / horse riders	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term

	Road-users	Medium to low	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short -term
Section 4	Walkers / horse riders	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short -term
	Residents	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short -term
	Road-users	Medium to low	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short -term
	Cyclists	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short -term
Section 5	Walkers	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short -term
	Residents	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short -term
	Road-users	Medium to low	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short -term
Section 6	Walkers	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short -term
	Residents	Medium to high	Low	Not significant	Short Very short -term
	Road-users	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short -term
	Cyclists	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short -term
Section 7	Walkers	Medium to high	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short -term

	Road-users	Medium to low	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Section 8	Walkers / horse riders	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
	Road-users	Medium to low	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Section 9	Walkers	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
	Road-users	Medium to low	Medium to low	Not significant	Short Very short-term
Construction of Substation					
Physical Elements	Agricultural land	Low	Low	Not significant	Long-term
	Trees and hedgerows	Medium to high	Low	Not significant	Long-term
Landscape Character	Ancient Plateau Claylands: 800m to north and east,	Medium	Medium to high	Significant	Single Phased: Very short-term Two Phased: Short-term
	Ancient Plateau Claylands: remaining area	Medium	Low / Medium to low	Not significant	SP: Very short-term TP: Short-term
Visual Amenity	<u>VP 5 – Orchard Lands, near Canes Farm</u>	<u>Medium - residents</u>	<u>Medium</u>	<u>Not significant</u>	SP: Very short-term TP: Short-term
	<u>VP 6 – PRow 147/006/0, near</u>	<u>Medium - walkers</u>	<u>Medium</u>	<u>Not significant</u>	SP: Very short-term

	Hill Farm				m TP: Short-ter m
	VP 10 – PRoW 155/047/0, near Fidgeon's Farm	Medium - walkers	Medium to low	Not significant	SP: Very short-ter m TP: Short-ter m
	VP 11 – PRoW 155/002/0, near Bullenhall Farm	Medium - walkers	Medium	Significant	SP: Very short-ter m TP: Short-ter m
	VP12 – PRoW 155/003/0, near Tye House	Medium – walkers / residents low – road-users	Medium to high	Significant – walkers / residents Not significant – road-users	SP: Very short-ter m TP: Short-ter m

29.7.2 Potential Impacts of Landfall Location, Onshore Cable Route and Substation Operation.

29.7.2.1 Potential Impacts of Landfall Location and Onshore Cable Route Operation

180. ~~169. Under both the Single Phase and Two Phased approach, the~~ **The** operational impacts of the project would ~~be similar, each requiring~~ **require** limited maintenance along the landfall and onshore cable route. As a worst case scenario, it is assumed one visit per year per jointing bay would be made for maintenance purposes. Routine maintenance works during operation would either be via excavation at jointing bays or inspection of above-ground kiosks.

181. ~~170.~~ Once the construction phase is complete and the proposed East Anglia THREE project is operational, there would be limited visible evidence of the landfall location and the onshore cable route as they would be concealed below ground surface. Kiosks, if used, would be located at each of the jointing bays and would be the only above ground feature on the onshore cable route. It is assumed that the proposed East Anglia ONE project would

also be operational and this would, therefore, also be concealed below ground surface.

29.7.2.1.1 Potential Impacts of Landfall Location and Onshore Cable Route on Physical Elements

182. ~~171.~~ The potential impacts during operation on the physical elements would be **not significant**, as no further removals or alterations would occur and where gaps in hedgerows had been formed during the construction phase, during the operational phase re-planting would gradually grow to infill these gaps.

29.7.2.1.2 Potential Impacts of Landfall Location and Onshore Cable Route on Landscape Character

183. ~~172.~~ The potential impacts during operation on landscape character would be **not significant**, as there would be no visible evidence of the constructed components, other than the intermittent, small scale and relatively discreet kiosks, and no further presence of construction works or machinery. While there would be residual impacts on landscape character and visual amenity relating to the time required for hedgerow vegetation to re-establish, the impact would be **not significant** owing to the limited **extentscale** of these impacts ~~in relation to the wider extents of the LCTs and the AONB,~~ and the gradual reduction in these impacts as the vegetation grows. The extent of the impacts would be localised and the duration short term.

29.7.2.1.3 Potential Impacts of Landfall Location and Onshore Cable Route on Visual Amenity

184. ~~173.~~ The potential impacts during operation on visual amenity would be **not significant**, as there would be no visible evidence of the constructed components, other than the intermittent, small scale and relatively discreet kiosks, and no further presence of construction works or machinery. While there would be a residual impacts on visual amenity relating to the time required for hedgerow vegetation to re-establish, the impact would be **not significant** owing to the limited extent of these impacts in relation to the distance from many of the visual receptors, the existing condition of the hedgerows and the gradual reduction in these impacts as the vegetation grows. The extent of the impacts would be localised and the duration short term.

29.7.2.2 Potential Impacts of Substation Operation

185. ~~174.~~ For the purposes of the assessment it is assumed that the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~**substation** would be operational. This has an influence on the assessment of the East Anglia THREE substation because ~~from some directions the East Anglia ONE converter station would screen visibility of the~~

East Anglia ONE substation already has an influence on the baseline character, along with Bramford Sub-station and associated electricity transmission lines in the area. This creates a context, in which the introduction of East Anglia THREE substation, ~~while, from other directions, the buildings would be seen in conjunction with one another and would therefore give rise to a cumulative impact.~~ would appear to be associated with these existing uses and be seen to add to the extent of this type of development.

29.7.2.2.1 Potential Impacts of Substation Operation on Physical Elements

186. ~~175.~~ Once operational, the impacts would be **not significant** as there would be no further loss or alteration to the physical elements of the landscape. Mitigation planting, which would have been established as part of East Anglia ONE would occur to the south-west, east and immediate north. The trees would be planted on a 5.4m high earth bund to the south-west and a 2m bund to the east, which would add to the height of the screening effect. ~~It is anticipated that it would take 20 years for the trees to reach 10m.~~ Mitigation planting as part of East Anglia THREE would occur to the north and north-east with trees planted on a 5m high earth bund to the north. Taking into account the fact that East Anglia ONE planting would be implemented a minimum of three years prior to construction of East Anglia THREE substation, the height of the nurse species after 15 years would be as follows; 8.2m in the areas to the west, east and immediate north, and 7m in the remaining areas to the north and north-east. The planting to the north and west that sits on the earth bunds would reach a maximum combined height of 12.2m above baseline ground level to the west and 12m above to the north. By the end of the 25-year period the maximum heights would be 12.2m where there is no bunding, 16.2m on the bund to the west, 16m on the bund to the north and 11m in the remaining areas to the north.

29.7.2.2.2 Potential Impacts of Substation Operation on Landscape Character

187. ~~176.~~ The substation would be located in the Ancient Plateau Claylands LCT and the **significant** impacts would occur 800m to the north and east. The presence of mitigation planting to the north and east would limit the duration of the significant impacts to the first 15 years by which time the planting would have established sufficiently enough to reduce the influence of the substation on the character of the landscape. For the remaining 10 years of the likely project duration, the impacts would be **not significant**.

29.7.2.2.3 Potential Impacts of Substation Operation on Visual Amenity

188. ~~177.~~ Viewpoint ~~10: It is assumed that the East Anglia ONE converter station would be operational and visible as a long and low roofline seen above the intervening~~

~~tree cover. The East Anglia THREE substation would occur to the fore* (east) of the East Anglia ONE* converter station, with the alignment between the two similar, such that the buildings would typically be seen as one mass, with the East Anglia THREE substation forming a small increase in the extent of development. Existing tree cover would screen the lower parts of both buildings*, such that only the roof structure would be visible above the tree tops. *While mitigation planting would take 25 years to reach a comparable 12 metres*, in the interim it would bolster the existing tree planting, **giving the screening effect more depth and substance. **Taking all these factors into account, the *impact* would be not significant* .-5:~~

It is assumed East Anglia ONE substation would be operational, although from this viewpoint, the components would be largely screened by intervening tree cover. While East Anglia THREE substation would occur to the rear of East Anglia ONE substation, owing to its larger size and solid form it would be more readily apparent. Existing tree cover would screen the lower parts of East Anglia THREE substation. The location of the substation at a distance of 1.04km from the viewpoint means it would not be seen as a close range feature and the scale comparisons with the surrounding pylons would act to reduce the perceived scale of the substation.

189. In considering the wider extent this viewpoint represents, views from the minor road, lower ground floors and garden grounds would be likely to be screened by intervening hedgerows and, therefore, much more limited than is shown in the photomontages. While views from the upper floors would potentially show a view similar to that photo-montaged, the orientation for most properties would typically be aligned towards Bramford Sub-station, such that East Anglia THREE substation would not form the main feature. While nurse species in the mitigation planting would take 25 years to reach approximately 12m*, in the interim it would bolster the existing tree planting, *giving the screening effect more depth and substance.
190. *Taking all these factors into account, the *magnitude of change on this view would be medium. The impact of the East Anglia THREE substation on the view would be not significant, owing principally to the screening effect of the intervening tree cover and the existing influence of other energy developments in this view, most notably the pylons, and the comparatively lesser influence which would arise as a result of the addition of the East Anglia THREE substation.
191. Viewpoint 6: It is assumed the East Anglia ONE substation would be operational, although from this viewpoint the components would be largely screened by intervening tree cover. East Anglia THREE substation would occur to the rear of East Anglia ONE substation,

- although components of the closer range East Anglia ONE would also be seen to the right of the substation hall. East Anglia THREE substation would form an increase in the overall extent of energy developments in the view, albeit in an area where electricity transmission pylons already occur. Existing tree cover would screen the lower parts of East Anglia THREE substation, such that only the middle and upper parts would be visible between and above the trees.
192. While nurse species in the mitigation planting would take 25 years to reach approximately 12m, in the interim it would bolster the existing tree planting, *giving the screening effect more depth and substance. *East Anglia THREE substation would be seen in the context of a landscape where the presence of Bramford substation, East Anglia ONE substation and the electricity transmission pylons already have an influence on views from this PRoW.
193. Taking all these factors into account, the magnitude of change on this view would be medium. The impact of the East Anglia THREE substation on the view would be not significant, owing principally to the screening effect of the intervening tree cover and the existing influence of development in this view, most notably the pylons, and the comparatively lesser influence which would arise as a result of the addition of the East Anglia THREE substation.
194. Viewpoint 10: It is assumed that the East Anglia ONE substation would be operational, with a very* limited possibility of visibility *occurring where components might be seen to extend above the intervening tree cover. The East Anglia THREE substation would occur to the right* (east) of the East Anglia ONE *substation, and the larger and more solid form of the substation hall would make it a more visible feature. Existing tree cover would screen the lower parts of East Anglia THREE substation*, such that only the roof structure would be visible above the tree tops. *The substation would appear relatively small scale from this distance of 1.1km, especially in comparison to the much taller masts and pylons visible in this sector of the view. While East Anglia THREE substation would form an increase in the overall extent of development visible in the view, it would not form a notable feature and the magnitude of change would be medium.
195. The impact of the East Anglia THREE substation on the view* would be not significant* owing principally to the screening effect of the intervening tree cover, the existing influence of development in this view and the comparatively small addition which the additional substation would make in respect of the existing development. The impact would be localised, long term and reversible.

- 196.** ~~178.~~ Viewpoint 11: ~~It is assumed the East Anglia ONE converter station would be operational and in Viewpoint 11, visible as a large-scale double shed. The East Anglia THREE substation would occur to the fore of the East Anglia ONE converter station, and would be readily visible from this viewpoint and sections of the PRoW in either direction. The East Anglia THREE substation would align closely with the East Anglia ONE converter station, such that overall, there would only be a minor increase in the extent of development. The closer range of the East Anglia THREE substation would, however, make it appear larger in scale and the additional breadth of the combined developments would be evident. Mitigation planting along the eastern side of the East Anglia THREE substation* would gradually reduce the magnitude of change* as it grows to screen up to the roofline. The impact*- would be significant for the first 15 years and then not significant for the last 10 years of the 25* -year consent period. For the purpose of this assessment, it is assumed that East Anglia ONE substation would be operational, although only partly visible from this viewpoint owing to the relatively small scale of the components and the screening effect of the intervening tree cover. East Anglia THREE substation would occur largely to the fore of East Anglia ONE substation, although components of East Anglia One would continue to be partly visible above the tree tops to the left. East Anglia THREE would be readily visible from this viewpoint and sections of the PRoW in either direction. In this view, East Anglia THREE substation would appear as a close range feature although its perceived scale would be moderated by the presence of the larger scale pylons. In the absence of any other large scale buildings in the view, it would, nonetheless, form a notable addition to the presence of energy developments in this view and the magnitude of change would be medium.~~
- 197.** The impact of the East Anglia THREE substation operation on the view* would be significant for the first 15 years and then not significant for the last 10 years of the 25* -year consent period as mitigation planting becomes more fully established and partially screens visibility of the substation. The impact would be localised, long term and reversible.
- 198.** ~~179.~~ Viewpoint 12: It is assumed that the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~substation would be operational and in Viewpoint 12, visible as a large-scale structure. The East Anglia THREE substation would occur to the fore and left of the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~substation, making it a closer range feature to the viewpoint. While some screening would occur from the intervening woodland, the East Anglia THREE substation would be readily visible in the gap between Bushey Grove and Gobert's Grove and the magnitude of change would be medium to high.

- 199.** Mitigation planting along the northern side of the East Anglia THREE substation would gradually reduce the magnitude of change as the planting **grows** ~~would grow~~ to screen the majority of the substation. ~~*The impact would be significant for the first 15 years and then not significant for the last 10 years of the 25*~~ **This*** would gradually reduce the magnitude of change ***to medium to low.** ~~*The impact would be significant for the first 15 years and then not significant for the last 10 years of the 25*~~ year consent period.

Table 29.10 Summary of Impacts of Landfall Location, Onshore Cable Route and Substation Operation

Operation of Landfall Location					
Physical elements	Beach / Cliff / Agricultural land	Medium to low / medium to high / low	Low / negligible	Not significant	Long Short -term
Landscape character receptors	Rolling Estate Sandlands Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB	Medium to high	Low / negligible	Not significant	Long Short -term
Visual receptors	Suffolk Coast Path	Medium to high	Low / negligible	Not significant	Long Short -term
Operation of Onshore Cable Route					
Physical elements	Agricultural land / marshy grassland / hedgerows / hedgetrees / trees / woodland / water courses	Variable between low and high	Low / negligible	Not significant	Long Short -term
Landscape character receptors	All LCTs Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB	Variable between medium and medium to high	Low / negligible	Not significant	Long Short -term
Visual receptors	All PROWs / Bridleways / NCRs / minor roads / roads and railways / residential areas / rivers	Variable between low and medium to high	Low / negligible	Not significant	Long Short -term
Operation of Substation					
Physical elements	Agricultural land	Low	No change	Not significant	Long-term

	Trees and hedgerows	Medium to high			
Landscape Character	Ancient Plateau Claylands: 800m to north and east	Medium	Medium to high	Significant year 0-15	Long term
	Ancient Plateau Claylands: 800m to north and east	Medium	Medium	Not significant year 15-25	Long term
	Ancient Plateau Claylands: Remaining areas	Medium	Low	Not significant	Long-term
Visual Amenity	VP 1- Offton Road, near Elmsett Village	Medium to low – road-users	Medium to low	Not significant	Long-term
	VP2 – Flowton Village	Medium – walkers / residents Medium to low – road-users	Medium to low	Not significant	Long-term
	VP3 –Flowton to Burstallhill Minor Road	Medium	Medium to low	Not significant	Long-term
	VP4 – Minor Road to Hintlesham Priory	No impact due to lack of visibility			
	VP5 – Orchard lands, near Canes Farm	Medium – walkers / residents medium to low – road-users	Medium	Not significant	Long term
	VP 6 – PRoW 147/006/0, near Hill Farm	Medium - walkers	Medium	Not significant	Long term
	VP7 - Burstall	Medium	Medium	Not significant	Long term
	VP8 – A1071, near Valley Farm	No impact due to lack of visibility			
	VP9 – Thornbush Hall	Medium	Low	Not significant	Long term
	VP 10 – PRoW	Medium	Medium	Not significant	Long term

	155/047/0, near Fidgeon's Farm	-walkers			
	VP 11 – PRow 155/002/0, near Bullenhall Farm	Medium	Medium year 0-15 Medium to low year 15-25	Significant year 0-15 Not significant year 15-25	Long term
	VP12 – PRow 155/003/0, near Tye House	Medium – walkers / residents Low – road-users	Medium to high – year 0-15 Medium year 15-25	Significant year 0-15 Not significant year 15-25	Long term
	VP13 – Tye Lane	No impact due to lack of visibility			
	VP14 – Valley Road, near Nettlestead	No impact due to lack of visibility			
	VP15 – Church Hill, near Canes Farm	Medium to low	Low	Not significant	Long-term
	VP16 – PRow 155/003/0, north-east of site	Medium	Medium to Low	Not significant	Long-term

29.7.3 Potential Impacts of Landfall Location, Onshore Cable Route and Substation Decommissioning

200. ~~180.~~ It is anticipated that the landfall location and onshore cable route would be decommissioned and the cables left in-situ. The kiosks at the jointing bays would be removed. This means that there would be no further impact on the landscape and visual receptors.

201. ~~181.~~ There would be no impact on the physical elements. The magnitude of change on the landscape character types, designated AONB and SLA, and visual receptors would be low at the most. Even with variable sensitivities attributed to these receptors at the landfall location and along the length of the onshore cable route, the impacts would be **not significant**, owing to the absence of any high sensitivity receptors and the anticipated low magnitudes of change.

202. ~~182.~~ If the decommissioning of the substation location does not involve the re-use of the site for future developments, the buildings would be removed. This would reduce the extent of built development and allow for the

restoration of the physical elements of the agricultural landscape to take place. The magnitude of change would be low and the impacts of decommissioning on the physical elements would be **not significant**.

203. ~~183.~~ The decommissioning of the substation location would introduce temporary structures, temporary lighting and traffic movements of machinery, which although associated with the existing development, would appear at variance with the rural character of the surrounding landscape. Mitigation planting around the substation location would, by the end of the 25-year consent, have reached approximately 12m, and would screen most of the ground level operations. The deconstruction of the substation location, especially at the higher levels and where temporary lighting is required, would give rise to a medium to low magnitude of change on the landscape and visual receptors, ~~and factoring in the short term and localised extents of~~ **and** the impacts, ~~they~~ would be **not significant**.

Table 29.11. Summary of Impacts of Landfall Location, Onshore Cable Route and Substation during Decommissioning

Decommissioning of Landfall					
Physical elements	Beach / Cliff / Agricultural land	Medium to low / Medium to high / low	Low / negligible	Not significant	Short Very y short -term
Landscape character receptors	Rolling Estate Sandlands Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB	Medium to high	Low / negligible	Not significant	Short Very y short -term
Visual receptors	Suffolk Coast Path Ferry Road	Medium to high / medium	Low / negligible	Not significant	Short Very y short -term
Decommissioning of Onshore Cable Route					
Physical elements	Agricultural land / marshy grassland / hedgerows / hedgetrees / trees / woodland / water courses	Variable between low and high	Low / negligible	Not significant	Short Very y short -term
Landscape character receptors	All LCTs Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB	Variable between medium and medium to high	Low / negligible	Not significant	Short Very y short -term
Visual receptors	All PRoWs / Bridleways / NCRs / minor roads / roads and railways / residential areas / rivers	Variable between low and medium to high	Low / negligible	Not significant	Short Very y short -term
Decommissioning of Substation					
Physical elements	Agricultural land Trees and hedgerows	Low Medium to high	Low	Not significant	Short Very y short -term
Landscape character	Ancient Plateau Claylands	Medium	Low	Not significant	Short Very y short -term
Visual amenity	All viewpoints	Variable: low-medium	Low	Not significant	Short Very y short -term

29.8 Cumulative Impacts

29.8.1 Introduction

- 204.** ~~184.~~ The cumulative assessment of landscape and visual impacts considers the potential for cumulative impacts to arise in relation to other developments. *Table 29.12* lists other wind farm and major infrastructure projects which are potentially relevant to the proposed East Anglia THREE project along with an initial assessment as to whether the potential cumulative impacts require to be assessed in detail in this Cumulative Landscape and Visual Assessment (CLVIA). The assessment of residual impacts in section 29.7 highlights the fact that significant impacts are more likely to relate to the substation than the landfall location or onshore cable route. In respect of the CLVIA, this is also true as the substations ~~and converter station~~ would be the most visible and readily apparent components of the onshore electrical transmission works, while the onshore cable route and landfall location would be relatively discreet within the wider landscape, especially once beyond construction.
- 205.** ~~185.~~ East Anglia ONE forms part of the predicted baseline, based on the assumption that the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ substation would be built prior to the construction of the proposed East Anglia THREE substation. Reference is made to the presence of the East Anglia ONE ~~converter stations~~ substation in the cumulative assessment, in respect of how it influences the overall cumulative ~~effect~~ impact.

Table 29.12 Summary of Projects considered for the CLVIA

			²⁴ =				
East Anglia ONE	Application	2018 –2019 / 25 years	0	Offshore Windfarm Project Project description available	Complete / high	Yes	Location of East Anglia ONE largely coincides with East Anglia THREE project. Impacts considered as part of predicted baseline.
A future EAOW project	Pre-application	No information	0	Offshore Windfarm Project Outline project data only	Incomplete / low	Yes	Location of a future EAOW project largely coincides with East Anglia THREE project therefore high potential for cumulative impacts to arise.
Sizewell C	Pre-application	Unknown	24.7	Nuclear Power Station No project detail available	Low	No	The distance between Sizewell C and the East Anglia THREE project limits the potential for significant cumulative impacts to arise.
Bramford-Twins lead	Pre-application	Unknown	0	Outline only	Complete / high	No	Insufficient information available to inform a CLVIA.
SITA (EFW plant)	In-construction	Present – late-2014	0.5	Energy From Waste-Plant Project description available	Complete / high	No	The distance between SITA and the East Anglia THREE substation combined with extent of woodland cover, limits the potential for significant cumulative impacts to arise and it is unlikely for significant

²⁴ = Shortest distance between the considered project and East Anglia THREE– unless specified otherwise.

			34				
							cumulative impacts to arise in relation to the onshore cable route despite proximity.
SnOasis	Planning permission granted	Unknown	0.7	Winter sport centre. Master plans available	Incomplete / low	No	The distance between SnOasis and the East Anglia THREE substation limits the potential for significant cumulative impacts to arise and it is unlikely for significant cumulative impacts to arise in relation to the onshore cable route despite proximity.
Old Fisons site (land west of Paper Mill Lane)	Planning application TBD	Unknown	0.7	Business park and housing scheme. Master plans available	Complete / high	No	The distance between Old Fison's site and the East Anglia THREE substation limits the potential for significant cumulative impacts to arise and it is unlikely for significant cumulative impacts to arise in relation to the onshore cable route despite proximity.
Adastral park	Planning application TBD	Unknown	0.8	Business park and housing scheme. Master plans available	Complete / high	No	The distance between Adastral Park and the East Anglia THREE substation limits the potential for significant cumulative impacts to arise and it is unlikely for significant

			34				
							cumulative impacts to arise in relation to the onshore cable route despite proximity.
Ipswich Garden Suburb	Identified in adopted Core Strategy	Primarily after 2020	3	Urban development north of Ipswich. Master Plan at consultation phase.	Incomplete/medium	No	Greenfield site. No overlap with landfall, onshore cable route or substation(s) location. Due to distance and extent of intervening landform and tree cover there will be practically no inter-visibility.
Progress Power, Eye, Suffolk	Consented	Construction 2017-18, Operation by 2019.	28	Gas fired power station development	Complete / high	No	No overlap with landfall, onshore cable route or substation(s) location. Likely to be constructed prior to East Anglia THREE commencement.
Land North Of Woods Lane, Melton, Suffolk	Conditionally Allowed	Unknown	2.7	Outline planning for a residential development for 180 dwellings (8.27ha in size) to include open space and provision of ecological habitat areas.	High	No	No overlap with landfall, onshore cable route or substation(s) location, too distant to impact same receptors.

- ~~206. 186-~~ Table 29.12 shows that the potential for significant cumulative impacts arises in relation to East Anglia ONE⁴⁵ and a future EAOW project but none of the other projects. ~~Owing to the relatively low level and short term nature of the works associated with the landfall location and onshore cable route, the potential for cumulative impacts would be limited.~~ *In respect of the East Anglia THREE substation there is greater potential for cumulative impacts to arise in relation to the scale of the building and its longer term presence. The extent of the enclosure of mature tree cover in the rural landscape** combined with the limited number of large scale developments in close proximity, reduces this potential.* The cumulative scenario of the proposed* East Anglia THREE substation** being added to a cumulative baseline comprising East Anglia ONE* substation* constitutes the predicted baseline situation, which has been assessed in detail in section *29.7, and is, therefore, not reconsidered in this section.
- ~~187. Through the consultation process the potential cumulative impact with the SITA (Efw) Plant has been cited as a concern. This is located south of Great Blakenham close to junction 52 of the A14 and a distance of 4.2km from the* East Anglia THREE substation*. The ZTV in Figure 29.6 shows that theoretical visibility is patchy across the north-east sector of the study area that lies between the East Anglia THREE substation and the SITA (Efw) Plant. Taking into account the extent of woodland cover around the substation and tree belts across the landscape to the north-east, it is unlikely that there would be inter-visibility between the two developments. The separation between the developments means that if inter-visibility were to arise, either one or both of the developments would be at a sufficient distance from the landscape or visual receptor to not have a notable influence. For example, the mid-point between the two developments would be approximately 2km from which range neither of the developments would have a notable influence.~~
- ~~188. In respect of the potential for sequential impacts, the* limited possibility of visibility* of each development across parts of the study area, other than the close range surroundings, means that this type of impact is unlikely to arise. Furthermore, although the developments have the commonality of being large in scale, their appearance and function would be very different and this further weakens the potential for cumulative impacts.~~
- ~~189. The cumulative scenario of the proposed East Anglia THREE project* being added to a cumulative baseline comprising East Anglia ONE** constitutes the predicted baseline situation, which has been assessed in detail in section* 29.7.* The remaining cumulative scenario which requires detailed assessment is the cumulative scenario in which the East Anglia THREE substation is seen in conjunction with the East Anglia ONE* converter station and a future EAOW project substation. The potential for cumulative impacts relates only to~~

⁴⁵ As discussed previously in section 29.3.2 this is based on the ~~consented~~ dimensions ~~of contained in~~ the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ Offshore Wind Farm (Corrections and Amendments) Order 2016

~~the substation component of the proposed East Anglia THREE project and not the landfall location or onshore cable route.~~

- 207.** ~~190.~~ The proposed East Anglia THREE project would not give rise to significant cumulative impacts in respect of the landfall location or onshore cable route. The onshore construction phases for East Anglia ONE, East Anglia THREE and a future EAOW project would not coincide. The construction phase of East Anglia THREE, in respect of all cumulative scenarios, would take place either when the other projects did not exist or were operational. If they were operational, there would be little visible evidence of the landfall location or the onshore cable route, as the components of both would be largely concealed below ground. The addition of the East Anglia THREE substation to either of these situations would therefore be not significant. As the significant impacts all relate to the construction phase and the construction phases would not coincide, all impacts during the construction phase would be solely attributable to East Anglia THREE, with no cumulative impacts arising. ~~This assessment would apply in respect of both the Single Phase and Two Phased approach. In the Two Phased approach, the impacts would remain the same, only spread across two phases and therefore longer in duration.~~
- 208.** *In respect of the East Anglia THREE substation there is greater potential for cumulative impacts to arise in relation to the scale of the building and its longer term presence. The extent of the enclosure of mature tree cover in the rural landscape* , * combined with the limited number of large scale developments in close proximity, reduces this potential. **The remaining cumulative scenario which requires detailed assessment is the cumulative scenario in which the East Anglia THREE substation is seen in conjunction with the East Anglia ONE **substation and a future EAOW project substation.**
- 209.** ~~191.~~ The cumulative scenario is assessed at the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the proposed East Anglia THREE project, considering the impacts on physical elements, landscape character and visual amenity.
- 210.** ~~192.~~ There is the potential that the addition of the East Anglia THREE substation to the cumulative scenario would give rise to significant cumulative impacts in the vicinity of the substations. *Table 29.13* below provides an initial assessment of the potential for such impacts to arise. Many of the viewpoints are discounted from the detailed cumulative assessment owing to the limited extent to which the East Anglia THREE substation, or the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~**substation** and a future EAOW project substation are visible, either as a result of distance, the screening effect of intervening woodland or the screening effect of the ~~converter station or~~ substations of one another. In these instances, the impacts are assessed as not significant. The shaded cells in the table indicate which viewpoints are to be assessed in detail.

Table 29.13. Potential Cumulative Impacts on Visual Amenity

VP 1- Offton Road, near Elmsett Village	East Anglia THREE and a future EAOW project substations would be distant and partially screened by intervening woodland.
VP2 – Flowton Village	East Anglia THREE substation would be partially screened and a future EAOW project substation would be fully screened by intervening woodland.
VP3 –Flowton to Burstallhill Minor Road	A future EAOW substation would be largely screened by intervening woodland.
VP4 – Minor Road to Hintlesham Priory	East Anglia THREE and a future EAOW project substations would be screened by intervening woodland.
VP5 – Orchard Lands, near Canes Farm	A future EAOW project substation would not be visible from this viewpoint owing to screening by East Anglia THREE substation.
VP 6 – PROW 147/006/0, near Hill Farm	A future EAOW project substation would be screened by intervening woodland.
VP7 - Burstall	A future EAOW project substation would be partially set below horizon and screened by intervening woodland.
VP8 – A1071, near Valley Farm	East Anglia THREE and a future EAOW project substation would be distant and screened by intervening woodland.
VP9 – Thornbush Hall	East Anglia THREE and a future EAOW project substations would be screened by intervening woodland.
VP 10 – PRoW 155/047/0, near Fidgeon’s Farm	East Anglia THREE and a future EAOW project substations would be visible from this viewpoint with the potential to give rise to a significant cumulative impact.
VP 11 – PRoW 155/002/0, near Bullenhall Farm	A future EAOW project substation would be partially screened by intervening woodland and located in a portion of the view where pylons are concentrated.
VP12 – PRoW 155/003/0, near Tye House	East Anglia THREE substation would be screened by a future EAOW project substation.
VP13 – Tye Lane	No visibility of East Anglia THREE substation.
VP14 – Valley Road, near Nettlestead	East Anglia THREE and a future EAOW project substations would be distant and partially screened by intervening woodland.
VP15 – Church Hill, near Canes Farm	East Anglia THREE and a future EAOW project substations would be screened by intervening woodland.
VP16 – PRoW 155/003/0, north-east of site	East Anglia THREE substation would be screened by intervening woodland.

211. ~~193.~~ The initial assessment shows that potential for significant cumulative impacts to arise occurs at Viewpoint 10: PRoW Bramford 155/047/0, near Fidgeon's Farm. The cumulative assessment for this viewpoint is presented below in relation to the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the proposed East Anglia THREE project.

29.8.2 Cumulative Impacts of Substation Construction

29.8.2.1 Cumulative Impacts of Substation Construction on Physical Elements

212. ~~194.~~ For the purposes of the cumulative assessments it is assumed that East Anglia ONE and a future EAOW project would be operational. It should be noted that, whilst this is in accordance with GLVIA 3 guidance, the scenario does not represent a realistic one and does not reflect cumulative construction assessment undertaken in other chapters of the East Anglia THREE Environmental Statement.

213. ~~195.~~ Each of the substations would have similar impacts on the physical elements of the site where they are constructed, relating to the loss of agricultural land and the potential removal of select hedgerow and trees. There would be a cumulative impact on physical elements, as the addition of the East Anglia THREE substation to the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ substation and a future EAOW project substation, would increase the loss of agricultural land and the potential removal of hedgerows and trees.

29.8.2.2 Cumulative Impacts of Substation Construction on Physical Elements

214. ~~196.~~ The cumulative impact of the East Anglia THREE substation on the physical elements would be **not significant**. The main loss would be of agricultural land, however owing to the low sensitivity of the intensive arable agricultural land and its extent across the wider landscape, the impact of the loss would be **not significant**. This assessment would apply in respect of both the Single Phase and Two Phased approach. In the Two Phased approach, the impacts would remain the same, only spread across two phases and therefore longer in duration.

215. ~~197.~~ The extent of hedgerow and tree loss is very small in proportion to the wider provision, even taking into account the cumulative loss in relation to the East Anglia ONE converter station and a future EAOW project substation. Furthermore, much more extensive tree and hedgerow planting would occur as part of mitigation planting around the site.

29.8.2.3 Cumulative Impacts of Substation Construction on Landscape Character

216. ~~198.~~ The East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ substation and a future EAOW project substation would be located in the same Ancient Plateau Claylands LCT in which the

proposed East Anglia THREE substation would be located. The ~~converter station and~~ substations would be located in close proximity and there would be the potential for a cumulative impact to arise on the landscape character of the Ancient Plateau Claylands LCT. The landscape surrounding the ~~converter station and~~ substations is not subject to any national or local landscape designations and, ~~therefore~~ as the potential impacts on other LCTs rapidly dissipates with distance, the Ancient Plateau Claylands LCT is the only landscape receptor to be assessed.

- 217.** ~~199.~~ The addition of the East Anglia THREE substation to the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ substation and a future EAOW project substation would generally give rise to **not significant** impacts. This is as a result of the screening of the construction works by ~~the existing buildings~~ a future EAOW project substation in combination with the existing screening provided by the intervening woodland. Where there is the potential for a significant cumulative impact to arise in the landscape to the north, the location of a future EAOW project substation to the fore of East Anglia THREE substation would greatly reduce the cumulative magnitude of change.
- 218.** To the south-west, while the construction of East Anglia THREE would be seen to the fore of a future EAOW substation, it would also be seen set behind the East Anglia ONE substation. While the components of East Anglia ONE substation would screen the ground level construction works, the use of tall cranes and the emerging structure of the converter hall or substation hall would be apparent at a higher level. The cumulative magnitude of change that the addition which East Anglia THREE substation would make, would be moderated by the scale and extent of the influence of existing energy developments, such that the overall impact to the south-west would be not significant.
- 219.** ~~200.~~ The ~~one~~ exception, where screening would not reduce the magnitude of change, occurs to the east where the construction of the East Anglia THREE substation would be seen to the ~~fore of the existing East Anglia ONE converter station~~ left of a future EAOW project substation and a **significant** impact would arise out to 800m in this direction. The establishment of mitigation planting to the east would limit the duration of the significant impacts to the first 15 years by which time the planting would have established sufficiently enough to reduce the influence of the substation on the character of the landscape. For the remaining 10 years of the consent period, the impacts would be **not significant**. This assessment would apply in respect of both the Single Phase and Two Phased approach. In the Two Phased approach, the impacts would remain the same, only spread across two phases and therefore longer in duration. The impacts would be very short term and reversible.

29.8.2.4 Cumulative Impacts of Substation Construction on Visual Amenity

- 220.** ~~201.~~ An initial assessment regarding the potential for cumulative impacts to arise in relation to visual amenity is set out in *Table 29.13*. In respect of the cumulative scenario, only Viewpoint 10 is considered to have potential to give rise to significant cumulative impacts.
- 221.** ~~202.~~ It is assumed that the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ **substation** and a future EAOW project substation would be operational and in Viewpoint 10, visible as two separate large scale structures, both partially screened at the lower level by tree cover. The **smaller scale of the East Anglia ONE substation components and their location behind the intervening tree cover means that they would be largely screened in this view.** The construction of the East Anglia THREE substation would occur ~~to the fore of the East Anglia ONE converter station, and~~ to the left of a future EAOW project substation, such that it would increase the extent~~s~~ of development to a small extent, albeit within the ~~parameters~~ **context** of the existing ~~converter station~~ **pylons** and ~~substation~~ **mast**. The partial screening from the intervening tree cover would moderate the cumulative magnitude of change such that it would be medium to low and the cumulative impact would be **not significant**. This assessment would apply in respect of both the Single Phase and Two Phased approach. In the Two Phased approach, the impacts would remain the same, only spread across two phases and therefore longer in duration.

29.8.3 Cumulative Impacts of Substation Operation

29.8.3.1 Cumulative Impacts of Substation Operation on Landscape Character

- 222.** ~~203.~~ The addition of the East Anglia THREE substation to the East Anglia ONE ~~converter stations~~ **substation** and a future EAOW project substation would generally give rise to not significant impacts. This is principally as a result of the location of the East Anglia THREE substation ~~in the middle of~~ **between** the East Anglia ONE⁵-~~converter station~~⁶ **substation** and a future EAOW project substation, which, in combination with the intervening woodland, ~~means~~ **would mean** that the East Anglia THREE substation ~~is~~ **would** often **be** fully or partially screened. The one exception occurs to the east where the East Anglia THREE substation would be seen ~~to the fore of the existing East Anglia ONE converter station~~ **in conjunction with a future EAOW project substation** and a significant **cumulative** impact would arise out to 800m in this direction.

29.8.4 Cumulative Impacts of Substation Operation on Visual Amenity

29.8.4.1.1 Viewpoint 10

⁵ ~~As discussed previously in section 29.3.2 this is based on the consented dimensions of the East Anglia ONE converter station~~

⁶ As discussed previously in section 29.3.2 this is based on the dimensions contained in the East Anglia ONE Offshore Wind Farm (Corrections and Amendments) Order 2016

~~223. 204.~~ It is assumed ~~that~~ the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ **substation** and a future EAOW ~~project~~ substation would be operational ~~and in Viewpoint 10, visible as two separate large scale structures, both.~~ **In Viewpoint 10, East Anglia ONE substation would be almost completely screened by intervening tree cover and a future EAOW substation would be** partially screened at the lower level by tree cover. ~~The~~ East Anglia THREE substation would occur to the ~~fore of the East Anglia ONE converter station, and to the~~ left of a future EAOW ~~project~~ substation, such that it would increase the extent of development ~~by~~ **to** a small amount, albeit in the ~~parameters of the existing converter station and~~ **context of a future EAOW** substation. The **distance of 1.1km from the viewpoint, combined with the** partial screening from the intervening tree cover would moderate the cumulative magnitude of change such that it would be medium to low and the cumulative impact would be not significant. ~~This assessment would apply in respect of both the Single Phase and Two Phased approach. In the Two Phased approach, the impacts would remain the same, only spread across two phases and therefore longer in duration.~~

29.8.5 The Cumulative Impacts of Substation Decommissioning

29.8.5.1 Cumulative Impacts of Substation Decommissioning on Landscape Character

~~224. 205.~~ The screening effect of the existing woodland, plus the mitigation planting which would have grown to approximately 12m over the 25 ~~year~~ consent period, would largely screen the ground level decommissioning works from the surrounding landscape in all directions. While higher level decommissioning works would be visible above the tree tops, the extent and duration of this visibility would lead to a **not significant** impact on landscape character.


29.8.5.2 Cumulative Impacts of Substation Decommissioning on Visual Amenity

~~225. 206.~~ ~~It is assumed that the East Anglia ONE converter station and a future EAOW project substation would be operational and in Viewpoint 10, visible as roofs, seen above the intervening tree cover. It is likely that the East Anglia ONE converter station would be decommissioned prior to the decommissioning of the East Anglia THREE substation.~~ At the end of the likely 25 ~~year~~ period of consent, when decommissioning would take place, the mitigation planting proposed for the eastern side of the East Anglia THREE substation, in combination with the existing tree cover would screen the majority of the decommissioning works, with the exception of the higher level operations involving cranes and the deconstruction of the building. Taking these factors into account, the cumulative magnitude of change would be medium to low and the cumulative impact would be **not significant**.

29.8.6 Summary of Cumulative Impacts

Table 29.14. Summary of Cumulative Impacts of Substation

			Cumulative magnitude of change	
Cumulative Impacts of Substation Construction				
Physical elements	Agricultural land	L low	<u>low</u>	Not significant
	Trees and hedgerows	M medium to high	<u>low</u>	Not significant
Landscape character	Ancient Plateau Claylands	M medium	<u>medium 800m to east</u> <u>medium to low in all remaining areas</u>	Significant 800m to east Not significant in all remaining areas
	VP 10 – PROW 155/047/0, near Fidgeon’s Farm	M medium to low	<u>medium</u>	Not significant
VP 11 – PROW 155/002/0, near Bullenhall Farm		Medium		Not significant
VP12 – PROW 155/003/0, near Tye House Cumulative Impacts of Substation Operation				
Landscape character	Ancient Plateau Claylands:	M medium	<u>medium 800m to east year 0-15</u> <u>low 800m to east, year 15-25</u> <u>low in all remaining areas</u>	Significant 800m to east year 0-15 Not significant 800m to east, year 15-25 Not significant in all remaining areas
	VP 10 – PROW 155/047/0, near Fidgeon’s Farm	M medium	<u>medium to low</u>	Not significant
VP 11 – PROW 155/002/0, near Bullenhall Farm		Medium		Not significant
VP12 – PROW 155/003/0, near Tye House Cumulative Impacts of Substation Decommissioning				
Landscape character	Ancient Plateau Claylands	M medium	<u>low</u>	Not significant
Visual amenity	VP 10 – PROW 155/047/0, near Fidgeon’s Farm	M medium	<u>low</u>	Not significant
VP 11 – PROW 155/002/0, near Bullenhall Farm		Medium		Not significant
VP 12 – PROW 155/003/0, near Tye House		Medium – walkers / residents		Not significant



- 226.** ~~207.~~ The assessment shows the limited occurrence of significant cumulative impacts in relation to the proposed East Anglia THREE project, which includes localised impacts on landscape character during the construction and operation stages in relation to the cumulative scenario with ~~East Anglia ONE and~~ a future EAOW project. This relates to a combination of three factors; the screening effect of existing woodland cover around the ~~converter station and~~ substations, the screening effect of the ~~converter station and~~ substations to each other; and the additional screening effect of the proposed mitigation planting over the 25 year period.
- 227.** ~~208.~~ The location and maturity of the existing woodland cover and tree belts around the ~~converter station and~~ substations, means that in certain directions one or more of the ~~converter station and~~ substations are would be partially or fully screened. In these instances, the cumulative magnitude of change is would be reduced as the ~~buildings~~ are substations would not be seen to their full extents and often a scale comparison with the overhead pylons, mast or closer range trees ~~arises~~ would arise which helps would act to reduce the perceived scale of the ~~buildings~~ substations.
- 228.** ~~209.~~ The close proximity of the ~~buildings~~ substations to one another, ~~especially the East Anglia THREE substation(s) and the East Anglia ONE converter station, means that in~~ would mean that from certain directions the ~~buildings~~ substations would screen ~~each other~~ one another. In these instances, the cumulative magnitude of change is would be reduced as the extents to which the ~~converter stations or~~ substation(s) are would be visible is becomes limited.

29.9 Inter-relationships

- 229.** ~~210.~~ Inter-relationships exist between the Landscape, Seascape and Visual Amenity and that for Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage. Information from this chapter has been used to help establish any potential landscape character and visual amenity receptors and inform the impact assessment presented here. The Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage chapter makes reference to this chapter. This chapter, however, does not rely on the findings of any other chapters in the ES.

Table 29.15 Chapter Topic Inter-Relationships

Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment	Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage	29.6.3
--	---	--------

29.10 Summary

29.10.1 Landfall Location and Onshore Cable Route Impacts

- 230.** ~~211.~~ For the purposes of the assessment, it is assumed that East Anglia ONE has already been constructed and is operational. The key implication of this is that many of the components required for the landfall location and onshore cable route, which are designed to be shared with the proposed East Anglia THREE project, would already be constructed. This greatly reduces the potential impacts associated with the East Anglia THREE landfall location and onshore cable route.
- 231.** ~~212.~~ As part of East Anglia ONE, a combination of HDD and open-cut trenching construction techniques would be used to install ducts for the onshore cables along the 37km length of the route. Jointing bays, in the 62 locations where the sections of cable route would be joined, would need to be constructed as part of the proposed East Anglia THREE project. The installation of the East Anglia THREE onshore cable route would require the pull through of the cables at each of the jointing bays. This would avoid any further open-cut trenching or HDD construction, although Construction Consolidation Sites for the pull through process **and Haul Roads for access** would be required.
- 232.** ~~213.~~ The most notable impacts relating to the landfall location and onshore cable route would occur during the construction phase. These impacts would be moderated by a combination of the following factors. Firstly, the extent of the construction works would be **especially localised** **relatively small in scale** owing to the existing presence of the ducts, **and** which **means would mean** activity would be largely concentrated at the intermittent jointing bays. This would greatly reduce the disturbance to the physical elements, landscape character and visual amenity. Secondly, without HDD drilling, the scale of the machinery would be more compact and therefore have a lesser impact on receptors. Thirdly, the impacts ~~which~~ would **occur are be** reversible, with embedded mitigation including the restoration of vegetation where loss or disturbance would occur once construction is complete. **Fourthly, the duration of the impacts would be very short term and reversible.**
- 233.** ~~214.~~ The assessment has reported that during the construction phase there would be no significant impacts on any of the landscape or visual receptors, with the exception of the localised and **very** short term impact on the cliffs at Bawdsey, where potentially access onto the beach would be required.
- ~~215. The application of the Two Phased approach would require the construction works to be carried out in two separate phases. The magnitude and significance of the impacts assessed in relation to the Single Phase approach would be the same for the Two Phased approach, although the duration of these impacts would be over a longer period of time.~~

- 234.** ~~216.~~ Once at the operational phase, there would be very little evidence of the landfall location or onshore cable route as the majority of the components for each would be buried underground, apart from intermittent kiosks, located discreetly at the jointing bays and coloured green to blend in with the landscape. The impacts of the landfall location and onshore cable route on all landscape and visual receptors during the operational phase would be not significant. ~~The Single Phase and Two Phased approach to construction would have no bearing on the operational phase of the landfall location or onshore cable route.~~
- 235.** ~~217.~~ As it is likely that the components of the landfall location and onshore cable route would be left in-situ following decommissioning, the impacts of this phase would also be not significant.
- 236.** ~~218.~~ There would be no cumulative impacts in relation to the landfall location and onshore cable route.

29.10.2 Substation Impacts

- 237.** ~~219.~~ For the purpose of the assessment, it is assumed that the East Anglia ONE⁶⁷ ~~converter stations~~ substation is constructed and operational, occupying the land to the immediate west of the East Anglia THREE substation location. The East Anglia THREE substation ~~occupies~~ would occupy a relatively flat plateau landscape, which benefits from the screening effect of mature blocks of woodland to the west, north-west and north-east. The presence of the adjacent Bramford ~~Substation~~ Sub-station and surrounding woodland partially screens the East Anglia THREE substation ~~to~~ from the south and, in association with the pylons, establishes energy infrastructure as part of the baseline character.
- 238.** ~~220.~~ Embedded mitigation, proposed as part of East Anglia ONE, would already be in place to the south-west, immediate north and east. Further planting as part of the East Anglia THREE embedded mitigation would take place to the north. This area would complement the existing mature planting which provides screening to the north-west, west and north-east. It is predicted that the planting would take ~~2015~~ years to reach ~~10m~~ 7m, albeit seen at a relative height of 12m owing to the elevation of the earth bunding. It would not be sufficiently tall to screen the initial construction phase, but then would increasingly screen the substation during the operational phase.
- 239.** ~~221.~~ During the construction phase, significant impacts would occur on the landscape character of the Ancient Plateau Claylands LCT within a localised area ~~where~~ * the screening effect of * the * existing mature woodland * does not occur, approximately 800m to the east and 800m to the north. This finding relates to * the screening effect of

⁶⁷ As discussed previously in section 29.3.2 this is based on the ~~consented~~ proposed variation to the dimensions of the East Anglia ONE ~~converter stations~~ substation

****existing mature woodland***, **combined with the more limited influence of East Anglia ONE substation and Bramford Sub-station which occurs in these directions.** The presence of the East Anglia ONE **converter substation and Bramford Sub-station** prevents **the influences significant impacts** extending to the south-west. Significant impacts would also arise in respect of Viewpoint 11, which lies to the east, and Viewpoint 12, which lies to the north, which are representative of the wider PRoWs and the views of walkers, as well as residents in respect of Viewpoint 12.

- 240.** ~~222.~~ The impacts on all remaining parts of the LCT and on all other landscape and visual receptors during the construction phase would be not significant.
- 241.** ~~223.~~ During the operational phase, the significant impacts reported above would gradually diminish as the mitigation planting would mature and the substation would become largely enclosed by a combination of existing and mitigation planting. By year 15 of the likely 25 -year project duration, the screening by the mitigation planting would be sufficient to reduce the impacts on the LCT and Viewpoints 11 and 12 to not significant.
- 242.** ~~224.~~ While the decommissioning phase would involve potential impacts similar to what would occur during the construction phase, the maturity of the mitigation planting at the 25 -year point, in combination with the existing woodland, would largely screen the majority of the decommissioning works, thus ensuring that no significant impacts would arise.
- 243.** ~~225.~~ There would be **no very limited** cumulative impacts in relation to the cumulative scenario in which the East Anglia THREE substation would be added to the East Anglia ONE **converter station substation** and a future EAOW project substation. This finding relates principally to the extent to which the East Anglia THREE substation is screened by the other **converter station and future EAOW project substations** as well as existing woodland. **A cumulative impact would occur across the Ancient Plateau Claylands out to an extent of 800m to the east, where East Anglia THREE substation would be seen in conjunction with a future EAOW project substation. This impact would last for only the first 15 years, by which time mitigation planting would have matured sufficiently to reduce the impact to not significant.**

29.11 Conclusions

- 244.** ~~226.~~ The majority of the landscape and visual receptors assessed in the LVIA would undergo impacts which would be not significant. Where significant impacts would arise, they would typically be short term, localised and reversible. Potential long term impacts relating to the substation, would be reduced to medium **to long** term by the effects of mitigation planting. These impacts would also be localised and reversible.

- 245.** ~~227.~~ As a lot of the necessary construction works for the landfall location and onshore cable route would have already taken place as part of East Anglia ONE, there would be very few significant impacts as a result. Also the presence of East Anglia ONE converter station would add to the screening of the East Anglia THREE substation from certain directions substation and Bramford Sub-station establish a baseline in which this type of development has a notable influence on existing landscape and visual receptors.
- 246.** ~~228.~~ Embedded mitigation would reduce significant impacts in many aspects of the proposed East Anglia THREE project. Careful site selection for the landfall location and substation, and sensitive routing of the onshore cable route at the design stage has ensured that especially sensitive landscapes and landscape features have largely been avoided. It has also ensured that existing landscape features, such as the existing woodland around the substations, have been used to best effect, as well as providing for additional planting which would supplement the existing woodland and collectively screen almost every aspect of the substation location.
- 247.** ~~229.~~ The contained extent of the construction and decommissioning works, and operational components of the proposed East Anglia THREE project, have ensured that all significant impacts occur within the close range of the proposed East Anglia THREE project ~~and, thus~~ avoiding the spread of significant impacts beyond this close range.
- 248.** ~~230.~~ The limited extent to which the landfall location and onshore cable route are visible, relates to the relatively flat or gently undulating landscape in which they are located. This means that the proposed East Anglia THREE project is not exposed across wider extents, as it would be if it were seen routed across hillsides, and also that there are few prominent vantage points from which expansive views, revealing a substantial section of the route, ~~can~~ would be obtained. The limited extent of visibility is further reduced by the frequency of hedgerows and trees, which form enclosure and often ~~screen~~ contain views ~~beyond~~ to within the close range. These features of the landscape ensure that the influence is largely local, and rapidly dissipates with distance from the proposed East Anglia THREE project.
- 249.** ~~231.~~ The extent of impacts is notably reduced because the majority of the proposed East Anglia THREE project occurs in land which has been modified by intensive agricultural practices. Where CCSs and jointing bays would be constructed, the disturbance caused would not be as notable as it would ~~be,~~ were they ~~to be~~ located in natural or semi-natural vegetation which was previously undisturbed.
- 250.** ~~232.~~ The surrounding landscape to the substation is not subject to any national or local landscape designations which would otherwise denote a special sensitivity to the proposed East Anglia THREE project. The landscape is characterised by ~~the extent to~~

~~which it has been modified by intensive agricultural practices, whereby many hedgerows~~ rural agricultural land uses, and while much of the woodland and hedgerow enclosures remain intact, many areas have been removed to consolidate fields and create a more open, and less intimate, landscape ~~has been created~~. Furthermore, the existing presence of the Bramford Substation and predicted presence of the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station~~ substation, establishes energy infrastructure as a component of the baseline situation such that additional development would not have the same impact as if it were in a previously undeveloped area.

- 251.** ~~233.~~ Despite the extended construction period that would occur under the Two Phased approach, compared to the Single Phase approach, the impacts would remain the same. Construction works assessed under the Single Phase approach as significant or not significant would have the same impact when carried out for the first and second time in the Two Phased approach.
- 252.** ~~234.~~ While significant impacts would arise in relation to the construction of the landfall location, onshore cable route and substation, and the operation of the substation, these impacts would all be either short or medium to long term, localised and reversible.
- 253.** ~~235.~~ Note that for the purposes of this assessment, the dimensions of the East Anglia ONE ~~converter station are taken to be those consented in the East Anglia ONE DCO. The size of the East Anglia ONE converter station would be smaller than the currently consented position if the current application for a non-material change to the East Anglia ONE DCO is granted. EATL would undertake further assessment if required on the basis of the outcome of the decision upon the non-material change to the East Anglia ONE DCO.~~ substation are not taken from the East Anglia ONE Development Consent Order (DCO), but taken from the East Anglia ONE Offshore Wind Farm (Corrections and Amendments) Order 2016. The size of the East Anglia ONE substation is smaller in the current application and the components are generally located outwith an enclosed building. The dimensions and layout of the revised design of the East Anglia ONE substation have been used as the basis of the assessment and are shown as a model in the computer visualisations.

29.12 References

East Anglia THREE Environmental Statement (2015)

Landscape Institute and Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment. (2013) *Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment*, London: Routledge.

Horner and MacLennan and Envision for Scottish Natural Heritage, The Scottish Renewables Forum and the Scottish Society of Directors of Planning. (2006) *Visual Representation of Windfarms: Good Practice Guidance*.

Scottish Natural Heritage. (2012) *Assessing the Cumulative Impact of Onshore Wind Energy Developments*.

Land Use Consultants on behalf of SNH and the Countryside Agency. (2002). *Landscape Character Assessment: Guidance for England and Scotland*.

Landscape Institute. (2011) *Landscape Institute Advice Note 01/11, Photography and photomontage in landscape and visual impact assessment*.

Department of Communities and Local Government, (2012) *National Planning Policy Framework*, [Online], Available:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/6077/2116950.pdf

Department of Communities and Local Government, (2013) *Planning Practice Guidance for Renewable and Low Carbon Energy*, [Online], Available:

<http://aawt.org.uk/planningpracticeguidanceforrenewableandlowcarbonenergy.pdf>

Department of Energy & Climate, (2011a) *Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1)*, [Online], Available:

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/47854/1938-overarching-nps-for-energy-en1.pdf

Department of Energy & Climate, (2011b) *National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3)*, [Online], Available:

<http://www.official-documents.gov.uk/document/other/9780108510793/9780108510793.pdf>

Department of Trade and Industry, (2005) *Guidance on the Assessment of the Impact of Offshore Wind Farms*

Mid Suffolk District Council, (2008) *Core Strategy Development Plan Document*, [Online], Available:

<http://www.midsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/UploadsMSDC/Economy/Strategic-Planning-Policy/LDF/Adopted-Core-Strategy/Core-Strategy-with-CSFR-label-and-insert-sheet-07-01-13.pdf>

Mid Suffolk District Council, (2012) *Core Strategy Focused Review*, [Online], Available:

<http://www.midsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/UploadsMSDC/Economy/Strategic-Planning-Policy/LDF/Core-Strategy-FR/C-SFR-adopted-December-2012.pdf>

RSK, (2013) *EAOW Converter Stations: Geophysical Report*, unpublished report, ref. 293287.

Suffolk Coastal District Council, (2013a) *Suffolk Coastal District Local Plan - Core Strategy and Development Management Policies*, [Online], Available:

<http://www.suffolkcoastal.gov.uk/assets/Documents/LDF/SuffolkCoastalDistrictLocalPlanJuly2013.pdf>

Suffolk Coastal District Council, (2013b) *Suffolk Coastal Local Plan remaining 'Saved Policies'*, [Online], Available:

<http://www.suffolkcoastal.gov.uk/assets/Documents/District/Planning-policy/Local-Plan/LocalPlanSavedPoliciesT ext.pdf>

The Planning Inspectorate, (2012) *Scoping Opinion for East Anglia THREE Offshore Windfarm*, [Online], Available:

<http://infrastructure.planningportal.gov.uk/projects/eastern/east-anglia-three-offshore-wind-farm/?ipcsection=docs>

Countryside Commission, (1999) *Designation History Series – Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB*

Council for Europe, (2004) *European Landscape Convention (Treaty Series no. 176)*, [Online],

Available:<https://rm.coe.int/CoERMPublicCommonSearchServices/DisplayDCTMContent?documentId=09000016802f80c6>

Natural England, (2012) *An approach to seascape character assessment – Commissioned Report NECR105* [Online], Available:
<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/landscape-and-seascape-character-assessments>

HM Government, (2014) *East Inshore and East Offshore Marine Plans* [Online], Available:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/east-inshore-and-east-offshore-marine-plans>

Natural England, (2011) *Seascape Characterisation around the English Coast (Marine Plan Areas 3 and 4 and Part of Area 6 Pilot Study)*[Online], Available:
<http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/2736726>

Suffolk Coast and Heaths, (2013) *Suffolk Coast and Heaths Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (2013-2018)* [Online], Available:
<http://www.suffolkcoastandheaths.org/assets/AONB-Management-Plan-20132018.pdf>

Historic England Website [Online], Available:
<https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/what-is-designation/registered-parks-and-gardens/how-do-parks-and-gardens-become-registered/>English Heritage (2007) *England's Historic Seascapes Pilot Study: Southwold-to-Clacton Final Project Report*

Archaeology Data Services (2007) *England's Historic Seascapes Pilot Study: Seascape Character* [Online], Available
Areas http://archaeologydataservice.ac.uk/archives/view/ehsclacton_ah_2007/web_map.cfm?CFID=52&CFTOKEN=B5466FBE-B6D9-44CC-9A3A4C7E05AFD7F4

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010025/EN010025-000042-The%20East%20Anglia%20ONE%20Offshore%20Wind%20Farm%20Corrections%20and%20Amendments%20Order.pdf>

Chapter 29 Ends Here

Document comparison by Workshare Compare on 13 September 2016
14:17:47

Input:	
Document 1 ID	interwovenSite://DMSCLUSTER/4A-LIVE/33789375/1
Description	#33789375v1<4A-LIVE> - For D5 comparite: ORIGINAL 6.1.29 Volume 1 Chapter 29 Seascape Landscape and Visual
Document 2 ID	interwovenSite://DMSCLUSTER/4A-LIVE/33789386/1
Description	#33789386v1<4A-LIVE> - For D5 comparite: OEI Seascape Landscape and Visual Chapter 29
Rendering set	BP2 - Basic - colour

Legend:	
<u>Insertion</u>	
Deletion	
* <u>Moved from</u> *	
* <u>Moved to</u> *	
Style change	
Format change	
Moved deletion	
Inserted cell	
Deleted cell	
Moved cell	
Split/Merged cell	
Padding cell	

Statistics:	
	Count
Insertions	656
Deletions	748
Moved from	22
Moved to	22
Style change	0
Format changed	0
Total changes	1448