

East Anglia THREE  
Offshore Windfarm

East Anglia THREE

**'Artificial Lighting and Wildlife  
Interim Guidance:  
Recommendations to minimise  
the impact of artificial lighting'**  
Bat Conservation Trust

Document Reference – Deadline 2/ First Written  
Questions/ Artificial Lighting Interim Guidance/  
EL2



## Artificial lighting and wildlife

### Interim Guidance: Recommendations to help minimise the impact artificial lighting

Wherever human habitation spreads, so does artificial lighting. This increase in lighting has been shown to have an adverse effect on our native wildlife, particularly on those species that have evolved to be active during the hours of darkness. Consequently, development needs to carefully consider what lighting is necessary and reduce any unnecessary lighting, both temporally and spatially. When the impacts on different species groups are reviewed, the solutions proposed have commonalities that form the basis of good practice. These are outlined in the following document.

#### Overview of impacts

##### Invertebrates

Artificial light significantly disrupts natural patterns of light and dark, disturbing invertebrate feeding, breeding and movement, which may reduce and fragment populations. Some invertebrates, such as moths, are attracted to artificial lights at night. It is estimated that as many as a third of flying insects that are attracted to external lights will die as a result of their encounter.<sup>1</sup> Insects can become disoriented and exhausted making them more susceptible to predation. In addition, the polarisation of light by shiny surfaces attracts insects, particularly egg laying females away from water. Reflected light has the potential to attract pollinators and impact on their populations, predators and pollination rates. Many invertebrates natural rhythms depend upon day-night and seasonal and lunar changes which can be adversely affected by artificial lighting levels.

It is not always easy to disentangle the effects of lighting on moths from other impacts of urbanisation. However, it is known that UV and green and blue light, which have short wavelengths and high frequencies, are seen by most insects and are highly attractive to them. Where a light source has a UV component, male moths in particular will be drawn to it. Most light-induced changes in physiology and behaviour are likely to be detrimental. They discern it to be 'light', so they do not fly to feed or mate.<sup>2</sup>

##### Birds

There are several aspects of changes to bird behaviour to take into account. The phenomenon of robins and other birds singing by the light of a street light or other external lighting installations is well known, and research has shown that singing did not have a significant effect on the bird's body mass regulation. However, it was felt that the continual lack of sleep was likely to be detrimental to the birds' survival and could disrupt the long-term circadian rhythm that dictates the onset of the breeding season<sup>3</sup>. Many species of bird migrate at night and there are well-documented cases of the mass mortality of nocturnal migrating birds as they strike tall lit buildings. Other UK bird species that are particularly sensitive to artificial lighting are long-eared owls, black-tailed godwit and stone curlew.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Bruce-White C and Shardlow M (2011) A Review of the Impact of Artificial Light on Invertebrates - See more at: <http://www.buglife.org.uk/advice-and-publications/publications/campaigns-and-reports/review-impact-artificial-light#sthash.s7GPA1vL.dpuf>

<sup>2</sup> As above

<sup>3</sup> Pollard A. (2009) Visual constraints on bird behaviour. University of Cardiff

<sup>4</sup> Rodriguez A., Garcia A.M., Cervera F. and Palacios V. (2006) Landscape and anti-predation determinants of nest site selection, nest distribution and productivity in Mediterranean population of Long-eared Owls, *Asio otus*. *Ibis*, 148(1), pp. 133-145

## Mammals

A number of our British mammals are nocturnal and have adapted their lifestyle so that they are active in the dark in order to avoid predators. Artificial illumination of the areas in which these mammals are active and foraging is likely to be disturbing to their normal activities and their foraging areas could be lost in this way. It is thought that the most pronounced effect is likely to be on small mammals due to their need to avoid predators. However, this in itself has a knock-on effect on those predators.

The detrimental effect of artificial lighting is most clearly seen in bats. Our resident bat species have all suffered dramatic reductions in their numbers in the past century. Light falling on a bat roost exit point, regardless of species, will at least delay bats from emerging, which shortens the amount of time available to them for foraging. As the main peak of nocturnal insect abundance occurs at and soon after dusk, a delay in emergence means this vital time for feeding is missed. At worst, the bats may feel compelled to abandon the roost. Bats are faithful to their roosts over many years and disturbance of this sort can have a significant effect on the future of the colony. It is likely to be deemed a breach of the national and European legislation that protects British bats and their roosts.

In addition to causing disturbance to bats at the roost, artificial lighting can also affect the feeding behaviour of bats and their use of commuting routes. There are two aspects to this: one is the attraction that short wavelength light (UV and blue light) has to a range of insects; the other is the presence of lit conditions.

As mentioned, many night-flying species of insect are attracted to lamps that emit short wavelength component. Studies have shown that, although noctules, serotines, pipistrelle and Leisler's bats, take advantage of the concentration of insects around white street lights as a source of prey, this behaviour is not true for all bat species. The slower flying, broad-winged species, such as long-eared bats, barbastelle, greater and lesser horseshoe bats and the *Myotis* species (which include Brandt's, whiskered, Daubenton's, Natterer's and Bechstein's bats) generally avoid external lights.

Lighting can be particularly harmful if it illuminates important foraging habitats such as river corridors, woodland edges and hedgerows used by bats. Studies have shown that continuous lighting along roads creates barriers which some bat species cannot cross<sup>5</sup>. It is also known that insects are attracted to lit areas from further afield. This could result in adjacent habitats supporting reduced numbers of insects, causing a further impact on the ability of light-avoiding bats to feed.

These are just a few examples of the effects of artificial lighting on British wildlife, with migratory fish, amphibians, some flowering plants, a number of bird species, glow worms and a range of other invertebrates all exhibiting changes in their behaviour as a result of this unnatural lighting.

## Recommendations

### Survey and Planning

The potential impacts of obtrusive light on wildlife should be a routine consideration in the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process<sup>6</sup>. Risks should be eliminated or minimised wherever possible. Some locations are particularly sensitive to obtrusive light and lighting schemes in these areas should be carefully planned.

In August 2013, Planning Minister Nick Boles launched the new National Online Planning Guidance Resource aimed at providing clearer protection for our natural and historic environment. The guidance looks at when lighting pollution concerns should be considered and is covered within one of the on line planning practice

---

<sup>5</sup> Stone E. L., Jones G and Harriss (2009) Street lighting disturbs commuting bats. *Current Biology*, 19, pp 1-5

<sup>6</sup> See also: Institution of Lighting Professionals – Professional Lighting Guide (PLG 04) Guidance on undertaking lighting environmental impact assessments)

guides<sup>7</sup>. The guide provides an overview for planners with links to documents that aim to give planners an overview of the subject through the following discussion points:

1. When is obtrusive light / light pollution relevant to planning?
2. What factors should be considered when assessing whether a development proposal might have implications for obtrusive lighting / light pollution?
3. What factors are relevant when considering where light shines?
4. What factors are relevant when considering how much the light shines?
5. What factors are relevant when considering possible ecological impact?

This can help planners reach the right design through the setting of appropriate conditions relating to performance and mitigation measures at the planning stage.

The Institution of Lighting Professionals (ILP) recommends that Local Planning Authorities specify internationally recognised environmental zones for exterior lighting control within their Development Plans<sup>8</sup>. In instances lacking classification, it may be necessary to request a Baseline Lighting Assessment/Survey conducted by a Lighting Professional in order to inform the classification of areas, particularly for large-scale schemes and major infrastructure projects.

When assessing or commissioning projects that include the installation of lighting schemes, particularly those subject the EIA process, the following should be considered and relayed to applicants:

- ***Ecological consultants should confirm the presence of any sensitive fauna and flora***, advising the lighting designers of bat routes and roosts and other areas of importance in order to ensure that reports correspond with each other.
- ***Ecological consultants should consider the need for quantitative lighting measurements***. In some instances it may be necessary for further lighting measurements to be taken. For example, outside an important bat roost. These should follow best practice guidance from the ILP and would ideally be conducted by a Lighting Professional.
- ***Where appropriate, professional lighting designers should be consulted*** to design and model appropriate installations that achieve the task but mitigate the impacts. This should be done at the earliest opportunity. Early decisions can play a key role in mitigating the impact from lighting.
- ***Reports submitted should outline the impacts of lighting in relation to ecology***, making clear reference to the ecological findings, highlighting any sensitive areas and detail proposed mitigation. Consideration should also be given to internal lighting where appropriate.
- ***Post -installation checks and sign off upon commissioning should be carried out*** by the lighting designer to ensure that the lighting installation has been installed in accordance with the design, that predictions were accurate and mitigation methods have been successful.

## Principles and design considerations

### ***Do not***

- ***provide excessive lighting***. Use only the minimum amount of light needed for the task.
- ***directly illuminate bat roosts*** or important areas for nesting birds

### ***Avoid***

- ***installing lighting in ecologically sensitive areas*** such as: near ponds, lakes, rivers, areas of high conservation value; sites supporting particularly light-sensitive species of conservation significance (e.g. glow worms, rare moths, slow-flying bats) and habitat used by protected species.
- ***using reflective surfaces under lights***.

---

<sup>7</sup><http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/light-pollution/when-is-light-pollution-relevant-to-planning/>

<sup>8</sup> Institution of Lighting Professionals (2011) Guidance Notes for the Reduction of Obtrusive Light GN01:2011.

## Do

- **consider employing a competent lighting designer** who will apply the principals of providing the right light, in the right place, at the right time and controlled by the right system.
- **minimise the spread of light** to at, or near horizontal and ensure that only the task area is lit. Flat cut-off lanterns or accessories should be used to shield or direct light to where it is required.
- **consider the height of lighting columns.** It should be noted that a lower mounting height is not always better. A lower mounting height can create more light spill or require more columns. Column height should be carefully considered to balance task and mitigation measures.
- **consider no lighting solutions where possible** such as white lining, good signage and LED cats eyes. These options can also be effective. For example, light only high-risk stretches of roads, such as crossings and junctions, allowing headlights to provide any necessary illumination at other times.
- **use temporary close-boarded fencing until vegetation matures,** to shield sensitive areas from lighting.
- **limit the times that lights are on to provide some dark periods.** The task being lit often varies, for example roads are less used after 23.00hrs and car parks are empty. A lighting designer can vary the lighting levels as the use of the area changes reducing lighting levels or perhaps even switching installations off after certain times. This use of adaptive lighting can tailor the installation to suit human health and safety as well as wildlife needs.

## Technological specifications

Research from the Netherlands has shown that spectral composition does impact biodiversity.

- **Use narrow spectrum light sources** to lower the range of species affected by lighting.
- **Use light sources that emit minimal ultra-violet light**
- **Lights should peak higher than 550 nm**
- **Avoid white and blue wavelengths of the light spectrum** to reduce insect attraction and where white light sources are required in order to manage the blue short wave length content they should be of a warm / neutral colour temperature <4,200 kelvin.

Further guidance on the spectral composition of artificial lighting will be made available following the publication of research from the Netherlands.

### Further reading:

- A review of the impact of artificial light on invertebrates. Buglife. 2011
- Royal Commission on Environmental Pollution. 2009. Artificial light in the environment. London, HMSO
- The Ecological Consequences of Artificial Night Lighting" edited by Longcore and Rich
- Shedding Light: A survey of local authority approaches to lighting in England. CPRE 2014

For more information on lighting and wildlife see:

- Bat Conservation Trust (BCT) — [www.bats.org.uk](http://www.bats.org.uk)
- Campaign for Dark Skies (CfDS) — [www.britastro.org/dark-skies](http://www.britastro.org/dark-skies)
- Bats and Lighting Research project — [www.batsandlighting.co.uk/index.html](http://www.batsandlighting.co.uk/index.html).
- Institution of Lighting Professionals (ILP) — [www.theilp.org.uk](http://www.theilp.org.uk)
- Lichtopnatuur - Impact of artificial light on flora and fauna in The Netherlands - <http://www.lichtopnatuur.org/>