

From: [Ed Jessamine](#)
To: [Wrexham Energy](#)
Cc: [Tony Wehby \(Larch Group\): "Neil Foxall"](#)
Subject: Responses and Comments from Earthworm Energy EN010055
Date: 04 January 2017 18:23:15
Importance: High

Dear Sir/ Madam,

Reference: Application by Wrexham Power Ltd for the Order Granting Development Consent for the Wrexham Energy Centre.

Your Ref: EN010055

Our Ref: WREX-AFP042

On Behalf of Earthworm Energy please find the following responses and comments to your consultation.

Q13 – Please note that the solar array is currently under construction and should be complete and connected to the grid by 6.3.17. To date improved access has been created and the foundations for the DNO substation have been installed along with other site preparation works which can be inspected as a proof of commencement.

Q14 – wording could be changed to suit, but should emphasis protection of ‘apparatus’ which is equipment that facilitates both the generation and export of electricity and ancillary protection and security devices.

Q17 – It is not considered that 28 days notice would be sufficient time to remove apparatus. The solar array planning permission allows a decommissioning period of 12 months and a similar period may be required as the site would need to be re-engineered possibly resulting in the need to apply for planning consent to make the changes that would allow it to continue to operate most viably. It would also not be reasonable for the undertaker to take a power to execute the removal itself unless they can demonstrate the technical experience to do so and provide the ongoing performance warranties required by the operator of the site.

Q18 – please refer to Q17 response – removal of the equipment could only be carried out by a qualified operative, in line with planning, operational and legal constraints and warranties.

Q19 – this does not provide a reasonable or sufficient process for potential reinstatement for the reasons highlighted in response to Q17 & 18

Q20 – see previous responses

Q4 & Q 21 – reasonable compensation cannot be properly calculated until the actually working corridor is determined and the site redesigned/ re-engineered to compensate for this impact. The costs of re-engineering the site are unknown at this point as is the impact on the Net Asset Value which cannot just be a measure of the capital equipment removed. The landowner is paid a rent based on the total installation capacity not on acreage and therefore would need to be compensated for loss of income should the capacity be reduced.

It should be noted that we are seeking a mutually beneficial outcome and if the deviated route

proposed by Wrexham Power is permitted we believe that we should be able to make investors and insurers comfortable that the risk presented by the proposed gas main is minor.

Currently we are having to take the financial lead on the project which has a significant financial impact and hope that a swift decision will be forthcoming on the alternative route avoiding our solar array.

Regards
Ed

Ed Jessamine

ipvflexgen

Nelson House, Hamilton Terrace, Leamington Spa CV32 4LY

[Tel: 07921 756452](tel:07921756452) / e-mail: edj@intelligentpv.co.uk

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>
