

Dear Examiner,

I would like to attend all further meetings in connection to this application. Will I be informed directly by email?

As to if I would like to give to personal representation, that is difficult to assess until the meetings actually get going.

I would like to state that I find the level of consultation that WPL offer(ed) over this scheme is very poor. My comments / personal experience are already on record on the audio tape in the pm session of the meeting on the 19th July 2016. To re-iterate, I live at one of the closest dwellings to the proposed Plant and despite chasing WPL and emailing them I don't believe that I am currently on their mailing list.

I never received a postal invitation to the consultation that was performed at Is y Coed Village Hall, nor did I receive notification by post early this year that WPL were intending to apply for a DCO.

I note that the original consultation into the scheme was held over two years ago and that since that date the residents of the village have changed have changed. On this matter some have moved here and were unaware of the proposed Plant.

I note that during the consultation meeting that I did attend at Marchwiel that one of the main reasons given for the location of the Plant on Wrexham Industrial Estate was that it would secure the electrical needs of the Estate. I note that the proposed electrical output is to legacy substation and not to the Marchwiel substation on the Estate and so can WPL clarify how this will secure the supply as it appears that the export will go directly to the Grid.

I asked on the 19th July 2016 if the Plant was truly a combined heat and power Plant and got no response. Can WPL clarify if they have any interested parties that are willing to utilise the waste heat? If they don't, then would it not be more prudent to build the Plant at or close to the Prison? That way they could supply the prison with a form of district heating. I believe that the Plant would be closer to Legacy and closer to the Gas Transmission System. I assume that this would have added benefits in that it would involve less excavation in making the utility connects and by definition less costly. It would also make the Plant much less obvious to local residents.

If I'm honest I don't fully understand the concept of the Photomontage, but it strikes me its a series of photographs that are stitched together. I thinks this gives a distorted look. Perhaps WPL can explain the benefit of the photomontage.

Can I ask that the examiner takes a look at the proposed site from [REDACTED] on the B5130 during his wintertime tour to assess visual impact

Finally the 19th was a long day, but right at the end when Above Ordnance Datum was discussed I recall that Mr Chapman suggested that the proposed Site undulated from 23 to 31m. Can WPL clarify what level the site will be levelled to, to start building up from? It struck me that 30m was the chosen the point. If so are we potentially going to have the tallest stacks in the area built on a pedestal?

Kind regards,

Chris Briggs