

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Wrexham Energy](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Follow from meeting on 19 July
Date: 25 July 2016 20:02:11

FAO Tracey Williams Case Manager

Further to the meeting last week I would like to reiterate in respect of Article 38 of the DCO the standard applied, in respect in obtaining the name and address of the person having an interest in land, is not high enough if it remains as "reasonable enquiry" and needs to be higher. My experience of this process to date is that communication at the start of the process was lacking in that despite living very close to the proposed site I found out about the proposed development by word of mouth and not through a formal communication as should have been the case.

Further to the meeting having had time to reflect I would like to raise two points firstly, I don't understand in R17 of the DCO why it will require twenty four months for the submission of a decommissioning strategy? Secondly the solicitor for the developer continually referred to the DCO for the development at Hirwaun as being a precedent. I am not content with this as each project should be judged on its merits and as i noted at the time there should be consideration for improvement of the document rather than being "satisfied" with the past.

Many thanks for your time and consideration

Kind regards
Clive Roberts

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>
