

From: McCallum, Scott [<mailto:Scott.McCallum@shepwedd.co.uk>]
Sent: 20 November 2015 17:00
To: Katherine King; Kathryn Dunne
Subject: RE: Requirement for further hearing

Katherine

Thank you for your email. The Applicant is surprised that E.ON E&P (E.ON) consider that a further hearing is necessary. E.ON has been involved throughout the examination to date, attending and making oral representations at previous issue specific hearings and making detailed written submissions into the process. The Applicant has clearly, consistently and in good time set out its position on the issues of concern to E.ON. The Applicant is therefore unclear what has prompted such a request at this stage. I have tried to contact E.ON's legal advisor, Gordon McCreath of Pinsent Masons, this afternoon to discuss this but have thus far been unable to speak to him.

That said, if the Examining Authority consider that a further hearing on the E.ON representations is necessary the Applicant would not object.

The Applicant does, however, note that it made a request at the issue specific hearing on the 27 October for a further hearing to be scheduled to consider orally outstanding ornithological matters. This request was prompted by the fact that Natural England's ornithologist was unable to attend the issue specific hearings due to illness, thus depriving the Applicant and the Examining Authority of the opportunity to properly explore the Natural England case. The Examining Authority declined the Applicant's request for that additional hearing at that time. In the event that the Examining Authority decides that a further hearing should be held pursuant to the E.ON request, the Applicant re-asserts its request that ornithological matters are also dealt with at that hearing.

Kind regards

Scott

Scott McCallum
Partner, Planning & Environment Group
For and on behalf of Shepherd and Wedderburn LLP
DL: 0141 566 7255
Mob: 0770 347 1758
www.shepwedd.co.uk