

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 04 March 2015 22:35  
**To:** MYG  
**Subject:** 2nd Questions

Dear Sir, I have been having trouble accessing the site and in doing attachments so I am submitting a brief reply below. We are asked to use document numbers but I don't seem to be able to find them.

Alternative Routes. The developer states that they are unable to provide alternative routes for the bridleways so their offer does not help equestrians. Given that the site is not in an SSA, that they cannot offer alternative routes, that the Assembly Government twice states, 2011 & 2014, that they do not intend wind farms to be developed outside an SSA except where there this is part of the LAs development plan, then surely this is an instance where such development should be curtailed.

I attended early consultation. The layout mapping did not include the RoW layer but the layout did not appear to impinge on Bridleways. As it has evolved the proposal has moved from being of low concern to BHS to one presenting major problems. It seems that disregard for RoW, after all if they are not mapped they are not likely to be considered, has been an enduring feature through out the evolution of the scheme. It is accordingly weak in this respect where other schemes which include RoW at the outset are better and even offer a gain. Here there is only a loss in that the site piles deterrent on deterrent, turbine on obstruction.

In Wales there is a target for renewable energy from wind. Let it come from well presented applications promoting well planned sites. They are more likely to deliver.

M.Mosse. BHS Access. N.Powys.

Sent from Windows Mail

This email was scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisations IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.