

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [SizewellC](#)  
**Subject:** Ref 20026293 Response to questions from the Secretary of State regarding Sizewell C  
**Date:** 23 May 2022 23:55:09

---

Dear Sirs

Please find below our comments on just some of the questions raised by the SoS.

1. Water supply

It is clear that the Applicant and Northumbrian Water have failed to provide an adequate potable water supply for the project. An alternative has been raised of temporary container based desalination plant but there is no room on the site. The alternatives suggested so far all are likely to cause degradation in biodiversity.

2. Relief Roads

It is clear that the existing road infrastructure is incapable of supporting the additional traffic during 'the early years'. Phase 1 must not be allowed to proceed until the SLR and TVB mitigations are built. Given the repeated delays caused by the applicant on this project and the fact that funding has still to be secured, there is time for the SLR and TVB to be built first.

3. Coastal Erosion

We support Nick Scarr's submission 'Coastal considerations and TR553' together with the comments from the RSPB and Suffolk Wildlife Trust that the CPMMP will be ineffective and that the only valid plan is to maintain dynamic shingle features of high conservation value by non-intervention and allow the features to move as required in relation to coastal processes.

4. Spent Fuel and Radioactive Waste

We support Nick Scarr's analysis that 2140 end date for removal of all spent fuel is completely unrealistic and that it is likely to be of the order of 2190 with all the implications therein. The clear risk of flooding whilst spent fuel is still stored on site does not bear thinking about and the applicant's assurance that all fuel will be off site by 2140 is just dangerous.

5. Site biodiversity

The site sits within the Suffolk Coast & Heaths Area of outstanding Natural Beauty and between two SSSIs. After the project is complete the main site will still have suffered a 26% loss of biodiversity. The mitigations so far proposed fall well short of what is required and we support the representations of the RSPB and Suffolk Wildlife Trust on this matter.

Many thanks

Richard & Verena Gray