

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Call for comments from Interested Party re: Sizewell C EN010012
Date: 14 May 2022 15:50:28

Good afternoon,

Environment: As I have previously mentioned in correspondence to the enquiry regarding the building of Sizewell C, this proposed build will encroach on fragile natural habitats that are of national and international significance. These sites are designated by the relevant authorities as being of Special Scientific Interest. The alternative land set aside by EDF does not avoid or minimize the huge disruption to wildlife, some species have taken decades to establish in the area. The loss of wetland cannot be replaced.

Wildlife corridors essential to the fauna and flora will be destroyed or carved up into unproductive areas for wildlife to transit through.

The area around the proposed development is designated as an area of Outstanding National Beauty which makes this part of Suffolk coast so popular with visitors, tourists and the wildlife. These visitors are essential to the economic wellbeing of Suffolk.

Cost: The cost of building Sizewell C has grown significantly. Sizewell C is not the answer to our energy crisis. Even if it was on schedule, which EDF's track record makes unlikely, it would not be generating power until 2035. With proper investment, by then our energy landscape will be transformed by solar, wind, storage and efficiency. Sizewell C is now a 'white elephant' and no longer relevant. Smaller nuclear power stations across the country may be more appropriate, have less impact on the environment and less expensive although there will continue to be the issues around the use of uranium and waste nuclear products.

Water: The lack of a sufficient water supply that is required by the plant is a significant planning issue. Northumbrian Water has advised that they are unable to meet Sizewell C's long-term demand for water supply from existing water resources.

Campus: The campus area will have a detrimental impact on the surrounding area for many years during the build of the plant. EDF have

been less than honest about the supply of labour indicating that there will be many jobs for local people. We know that building a power plant requires a skilled labour force most of whom will be coming from Hinckley Point, not locals.

In conclusion, the proposed development appears to underestimate the sensitivity and fragility of this coastline.

Nuclear power is not the green solution to our energy problems. The uranium for nuclear power is finite while “renewable” resources such as wind and sunlight are effectively infinite whilst of course nuclear power produces long-lived waste.

I urge the planning inspectorate to dismiss the idea of building Sizewell C.

Amanda Crampton

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]