

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [SizewellC](#)  
**Subject:** Objection to Development  
**Date:** 12 October 2021 22:03:53

---

Dear sir/madam

I write to object to the above development.

Sizewell C will take 10-12 years to build, so not generate any power until 203 and is expensive, costing £20+ billion, which could be invested in renewables such as offshore wind or hydrogen storage and takes a lot of carbon to build.. The type of reactor EDF wants to build (the EPR) has an appalling track record.

EDF wants consumers to help pay for the financing through a nuclear tax on energy bills (called a RAB model) and is pushing hard for legislation to allow this, but nuclear projects remain very risky. Nuclear energy is not green energy. There is as yet no long-term solution for nuclear waste.

Sizewell C would damage the local economy. EDF wants to bring its Hinkley workers to Sizewell. EDF estimates almost 6,000 workers would come into the area; 2,400 of them would live in a “campus” near the tiny hamlet of Eastbridge. This would cost the tourism industry up to £40 million a year (independent research) and losing 400 jobs.

There would be around 12,000 extra vehicles a day on the A12, including 700 HGVs. The Sizewell C site is on an eroding coastline and surrounded by protected wildlife habitats. Toxic nuclear waste would have to remain on site for well over 100 years. The site is wholly within the Suffolk Coast & Heaths Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Construction will cut the AONB in half for a decade and cause irreparable damage

The site adjoins the internationally renown RSPB Minsmere reserve, and some of Sizewell Marshes Site of Scientific Interest will be built on and lost for ever.

There is no assured long term water supply for Sizewell C. To obtain enough potable (drinkable) water for construction, EDF has been forced to propose a desalination plant.

Steve & Mary Waite