

From: [Matt Steel](#)
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Objection to Sizewell C
Date: 12 October 2021 23:58:59

Dear planning inspectorate,

I object to the plans for Sizewell C for a number of reasons:

Access: the proposed road access to Sizewell is insufficient for the 'road-led' materials delivery invoking up to 700 HGVs a day.

Location: the planned site is in an AONB and right next to the important wildlife reserve of Minsmere. The existing reactors should not be there, let alone 2 new ones. It will spoil the rare ecosystems, take its toll on wildlife and spoil tourism in the area.

Necessity and impact on climate change:

Although nuclear is billed as low CO2 a reactor does have a huge carbon footprint in its construction. It takes 12 years to build and 6 years to offset its footprint. So there is no benefit until near 2040. By this time the renewables and energy storage industry will be incredibly mature. It's seems better to invest in these to actual make a difference fit climate change. The flawed design, Chinese involvement and stratospheric costs are also key talking points that need to be taken into consideration. Not to mention the legacy of nuclear waste on future generations.

Matt Steel,
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]