

**From:** [Tim Beardmore-Gray](#)  
**To:** [SizewellC](#)  
**Subject:** Sizewell C  
**Date:** 12 October 2021 16:05:59

---

Dear Members of the Planning Inspectorate for Sizewell C

I am writing in objection to the building of Sizewell C, on the Suffolk Coast in an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

- The model proposed for the site is deeply flawed. Initial costs inflated, building times extended and the only one working, in China, was closed due to safety concerns.
- The RAB tax model proposed to fund this 'project' is unjust and the huge, eye-watering costs required would be more efficiently spent on renewables - which nuclear is not. True renewables could be in use well before Sizewell C is projected to be in service.
- There is an estimated loss of 40 million a year, in tourism for the local area, the workers will be brought in from Hinkley, so this 'project' will not provide employment locally. The imported workforce would live on a campus near to the tiny, peaceful village of Eastbridge and too close to RSPB Minsmere.
- There would be no power from this site until, at the very earliest, 2034. Renewable energy generation is operational already with capacity growing.
- EDF have admitted that **the carbon emitted in the making of this site will not be offset for at least 10 years after completion.**
- There is a lack of secure long-term water supply.
- As if all of this wasn't enough, it is a baffling location for such a project: the Suffolk coast has been eroding for centuries. In the 14th Century Leiston Abbey was deconstructed and moved further inland, for this very reason. Dunwich, once a thriving and important port is now mostly under water. You only have to visit and walk the coastline, which I thoroughly recommend, to see the erosion for yourself. Sea defences do not exist and building any for such a site is unrealistic.
- Construction of this site would cause a devastating loss of wildlife of SSI. **Britain already has the lowest biodiversity in Europe**, we do not need any new, large scale projects that will do even more to endanger us and our future in this way. As a species, we now know unequivocally that biodiversity and an abundance of it, as opposed to a paucity of it, underlies all existence, ours included.
- The costs, on every level, of this proposal are unviable.

**In short, this nuclear power project is not sustainable from any perspective, environmental or financial, local, national or global.**

Thank you for taking the time to consider these points. Please stop Sizewell C.

All the best

Tim B-Gray