

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: SIZEWELL C
Date: 11 October 2021 13:11:01

Dear Planning Inspectorate

(A) We are writing at the end of the planning process with the following comments.

(B) Although decarbonizing the power system by 2035 is of course of critical importance massive nuclear projects like Sizewell C have no place in this strategy. Besides not being green, Sizewell C would be too slow, risky and expensive; it may not even work and is likely to be obsolete by the time it was completed.

(i) The huge cost - over £20bn. - and slow roll out - 10 to 12 years – could be put to better and quicker use by investment in renewables such as offshore wind or hydrogen storage.

(ii) EPR, EDF's chosen reactor, has an appalling track record. Those EPRs under construction are well over budget and in France and Finland running a decade late.

(iii) The only operating EPR in China has reported degraded fuel and sealings and been closed after international attention.

(iv) The financing of Sizewell C and how it will be paid for have not been settled.

(v) The governance and country risk issues associated with EDF's partner CGN have significantly grown over recent months and are reportedly causing the UK Government grave concern.

(vi) The lack of a secured long term water supply and uncertainty about the adequacy of coastal defences represent further major unresolved risks.

(C) The environment, the community and the local economy would suffer very significant harm.

(i) Huge environmental damage would be caused to the Suffolk Coast & Heaths AONB and the adjoining SSI and RSPB Minsmere reserve.

(ii) The tourist industry and associated economy would be irreparably impacted over the construction period and potentially beyond.

(iii) Vastly increased traffic flows and associated disruption would bear heavily on local businesses, the community and its wellbeing.

(D) On these grounds we urge you please to reject EDF's application.

Sincerely

Paul & Carole Sanders.

