

**From:** [Lucy Barnard](#)  
**To:** [SizewellC](#)  
**Subject:** Objection to Sizewell C.  
**Date:** 12 October 2021 14:57:38

---

Dear Sir or Madam,

I'm writing to object to the proposed new Sizewell C nuclear power station in Suffolk which is going to be built in a hub of tourism and natural scenic beauty.

I object for many reasons, the above and including:

I don't think nuclear energy is safe or 'green' as it is described in the press sometimes and creates nuclear waste which is passed down through generations and contaminates land and we need uncontaminated land for housing and to grow food. Also, will generations in the future have access to resources to contain and safely manage the nuclear waste in the future?

It will take 10-12 years to build. Similar types of nuclear power stations (EPR) being built in France and Finland have gone over costs and time.

We don't have the roads infrastructure to take the increase in lorries and vehicles to build another nuclear power station.

Suffolk is quiet, peaceful and has a thriving tourism industry and the construction of the station will put off tourists especially as the roads will become too busy.

It is also proposed that any nuclear waste would stay on the Sizewell C site for over 100 years. This along with the massive increase in heavy vehicles on the roads would put off most tourists.

The cost to build the station will be 20 million plus. It has been proposed that people will pay more on their energy bill to pay for the new nuclear power station. Many people will resent a tax on their energy bills as it is high enough already and many are concerned by nuclear energy.

Can't we be positive and put this money into renewables and be more simple and self sufficient as a country with our energy? Investing in new technologies with renewables will better the technology and create safer jobs. We could be world leading as we live on a windy island. There is also the untapped resource of wave energy...

EPR has a questionable safety record and the EPR nuclear reactor in China is currently closed after only recently completing the building process.

I think we need to think long term when looking at energy and not just rely on nuclear when it can be costly and potentially environmentally damaging.

The future site of the power station would be built on an eroding coast and on protected habitat areas.

The site is within the Suffolk Coast and Heath Area for Outstanding Natural Beauty and next door to RSPB Minsmere.

The new nuclear power station will be built on Sizewell Marshes which is a site of scientific interest.

So these objections are all good solid reasoning for not going through with the new nuclear power station.

My biggest concern is its safety and longevity, and will it become a drain on the public purse if it doesn't work properly?

I hope you will consider seriously my above concerns.

Very best wishes