

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]@gmail.com; [REDACTED]@yahoo.com; [Stop Sizewell C](#)
Subject: Submission to DCO: IP 20026505
Date: 12 October 2021 21:05:45
Attachments: [2021_10_12_D Robb submission to Planning Inspectorate.pdf](#)

Dear Sir / Madam

In my final submission to the DCO please find the attached document. There are so many things I could have written about, but I think we are all tired of this process now.

It is clear the project will not work: There is no water to run it!

Thank you for your judgement on the application.

Yours faithfully
Dr David HF Robb

Dave Robb PhD.

SeaFurther™ Sustainability Program Lead
www.cargill.com/seafurther

Cargill Animal Nutrition and Health
Cargill
mobile: [REDACTED]
Addlestone, Surrey [REDACTED] UK

From:
David Robb
[REDACTED]
Eastbridge
Suffolk [REDACTED]
IP – 20026505

12th October 2021

Re: Sizewell C DCO

Dear Examining Authority

I am writing to you as my last chance to give you inputs on EDF's application for Sizewell C. As a resident of the village of Eastbridge, my family will be some of the most directly impacted by the build and subsequent operations. However, I have tried to keep NIMBY sentiments out, as anyone who states a word against EDF is regularly portrayed as such – perhaps even “as thick as mince” according to their Head of Stakeholder Engagement Tom McGarry so eloquently put it in his Tweet.

I could write to you on so many topics that you have heard about over the last few months. About how EDF lied to you on points of public fact (such as their destruction of Coronation Wood which they stated in a hearing was done after the appropriate licences were issued – when of course it was before). About the incredible lasting damage which will be done to Britain's premier nature reserve, RSPB Minsmere, and the surrounding SSSIs and AONB, when on a crowded island we don't have a lot of truly wild spaces left. About the influx of workers and their impact on local communities through traffic and the accommodation campus which will swamp local resources. About the weight of traffic, which will be higher at the beginning than at the “peak” time and with an undetermined number of HGVs needed until basic facilities such as water are sourced. About the link road, which is almost double the shortest possible distance to where it needs to go, drives through Theberton properties, cuts communities in two and will have no legacy benefit. About the noise and dust which will envelope the local communities making our lives hellish. Or, about the failure of EDF to carry out sufficient studies to identify impact and mitigation (not just compensation with money that comes from the public purse). There just is no net positive side for this project for locals or for the country.

But, instead, I want to make my point very short. **This project is not viable – there is no water to run it; barely enough to build it.**

EDF themselves have stated to the Examination Authority that they have no sustainable solution for water – to build or run the plant. The desalination plant is a temporary fix for the build – if they can use it. But then they have no viable plan. It beggars belief that they have submitted a plan for submission with such a glaring omission – one which was observed by many stakeholders during the repeated public consultation exercises, but which EDF in their wisdom chose to ignore.

I urge you to reject the proposal. It is the wrong project in the wrong place. It is not complete and there is no conclusion that it can successfully generate, given the lack of water. If Sizewell C is built, with EDF's track record it will be massively over budget (paid for by the public through the Regulated Asset Base, which removes any incentive for EDF to manage the project to a budget) and late (too late to contribute to Britain's need for lower carbon energy sources as by that time there will be many other lower carbon power sources on line.

Yours faithfully
Dr David HF Robb