

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Subject: Sizewell C
Date: 12 October 2021 11:50:26

Dear Planning Inspector

My ref 20023350

I am taking this opportunity to write once last time before the Sizewell C planning process ends tomorrow to register my continuing objection to its construction.

Such is the strength of feeling this project engenders that I imagine you may be receiving a great many such emails, I pray that this will give you pause in these final moments...

I will not rehash here the myriad compelling arguments that have been put forward during this process by many wiser and more articulate than me but choose to highlight instead the most recent unresolved issue around the lack of a secured long-term water supply which, in turn, raises concerns around the impacts of a water desalination plant during construction.

Then, I look down the coast to Thorpeness where only yesterday they were shoring up the ever-eroding coast ahead of this winter and think with trepidation of the lack of clarity with regards to coastal defences especially now given the latest report received on sea level rise [new reports on sea level rise](#).

And then, I cannot think of new ways to write this but it has to keep being stated:

EDF's record-to-date of delivering nuclear plants on time and on budget is, put simply, terrible.

I know of course that this will be taken into account in this process as it would be a first if their promise of £20bn cost and a 10-year build were actually met. **Please** examine EDF's promises at the same stage of the Hinkley process and compare these promises to what has actually transpired.

The specific model planned for Sizewell is - to put it mildly - unproven (the type of reactor EDF wants to build (the EPR) has an appalling track record):

The few EPRs under construction are all well over budget and – in France and Finland – running a decade late. The only operating EPR in China has reported degraded fuel rod sealings and been closed after international attention.

I have gone on longer than I meant and, as the government appears to grasp at any straw to sound 'green', I will finish with a quote from a letter written by Alison Downes of Stop Sizewell C and published in *The Times*:

'Nuclear energy is neither green, because its waste remains radioactive for 100,000 years, nor renewable, as uranium is a depleting resource.'

We still have nuclear waste 'temporarily' stored at Sizewell now because no one else wants it and this **before** Sizewell C (andD) are built.

PLEASE, do not allow this hell-on-earth problem to be the inheritance we hand on to future generations. This is the Suffolk coast, a place for holidays, for buckets and spades and rock pools, for rest and restoration, for rich biodiversity, for awe and wonder at creation, this extraordinary gift, placed in our care...

I beseech you, please, to reject the planning application for the building of Sizewell C.

With many thanks for all your hard work during this process.

Yours sincerely

Amanda Taylor

