



Together Against Sizewell C

Deadline 10 Submission TASC IP no. 20026424

TASC comments on document 9.10 Statement of commonality of statements of common ground [REP9-013] at deadline 9

TASC wish to advise the ExA that the Statement of commonality between the Applicant and ourselves, as submitted by the Applicant at deadline 9, contains some information that is not correct.

Table 3.1 pages 14/15 states the position at deadline 7 as ‘no response received from TASC.’ TASC advise we responded to the Applicant regarding the SOCG via email on the 2nd September 2021 with updates for deadline 7, receipt of which was subsequently acknowledged and TASC were advised that the updated position would be filed at Deadline 8.

TASC also refer to paragraph 2.1.1 page 3 which sets out, “The SOCG cover matters raised within the relevant representations of the Interested Parties, topics listed within the ExA’s Rule 6 letter [PD-011], the ExA’s first round of written questions [PD-016 to PD-022] and any other issues raised over the course of the examination process. TASC are therefore surprised see in table 4.1 page 24 that the Applicant has shown TASC as having ‘Matter not relevant to a particular SOCG party’ on the following issues;- Air Quality, Alternatives, HRA, Cumulative Impacts, Health and Wellbeing, Marine Water Quality, Noise and Vibration, Radiological Considerations and Waste and Material. All of these issues were raised as concerns in TASC’s RR [RR-1231] and the majority expanded on throughout the course of the examination process. TASC has frequently relied upon research of other IP’s SOCGs as a way of discovering information on the Applicant’s SZC project and our concern now is that other IP’s may have been misrepresented in their SOCG resulting in information being missing or incorrect in the examination process.