

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SizewellC](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Deadline 8 Submission
Date: 24 September 2021 21:20:44

Dear Planning Inspector,

Sizewell C Co's drinking water debacle

I am sorry to find myself needing to write yet another letter to you with regard to the planning application for Sizewell C. This time in relation to the supply of potable water.

It was back in 2010 that the *East Anglian Daily Times* published a letter written, I am reminded, by one of Together Against Sizewell C (TASC)'s members, highlighting that securing enough potable water for such a mammoth project as Sizewell C in famously dry East Anglia would be a gargantuan undertaking and yet, we find ourselves eleven years later, at the eleventh hour, deep into the DCO process, with the Applicant only now seeking to find an answer to this huge problem and, in so doing, raising yet more questions...

I know that TASC and Stop Sizewell C (SSC) have written to you at length about this and I would simply like to add my voice and express my agreement with all that they say. They are an intelligent, measured, thoughtful, objective bunch doing their level best to speak sense for us all in an increasingly machiavellian process and they are rightly angry - they are not alone. You may question the use of the word 'machiavellian' but please note that, for this response, stakeholders have been given only three weeks to respond in the heart of the holiday season and at a time when many people may be daring to take a break for the first time in nearly two years.

I too urge the ExA to 'accept the inevitable': on top of the many issues which have been raised since the inquiry opened which clearly show that this project represents 'the wrong development, in the wrong place at the wrong time,' without the prospect of a safe, environmentally sound and sustainable supply of potable water for the time it takes to be built and, indeed, for the sixty years or so that the plant is intended to be operational (sea level rises notwithstanding...), the SZC proposal cannot go ahead. Evidence has convinced me that EdF is not a credible or trustworthy Applicant and that the planning application should be thrown out without further ado...

Yours sincerely

Amanda Taylor (interested party no: 20025666)

[REDACTED]